Graphs without Increasing Paths: 10572

Richard P. Stanley; Stephen C. Locke

The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 106, No. 2. (Feb., 1999), p. 168.

Stable URL:
http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9890%28199902%29106%3A2%3C168%3AGWIP1%3E2.0.CO%3B2-X

The American Mathematical Monthly is currently published by Mathematical Association of America.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/maa.html.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

http://www.jstor.org
Sat Dec 22 05:17:15 2007

Graphs without Increasing Paths

10572 [1997, 168]. *Proposed by Richard P. Stanley, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA.* Let $f(n)$ be the number of graphs (without loops or multiple edges) on the vertices $1, 2, \dots, n$ such that no path of length two has vertices i, j, k (in that order) with $i < j < k$. Let $g(n)$ be the total number of subspaces of an n-dimensional vector space over a 2-element field. show that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} f(n)\frac{x^n}{n!} = e^{-x}\sum_{n\geq 0} g(n)\frac{x^n}{n!}.$$

Solution by Stephen C. Locke, Florida Atlantic University, Boca Raton, FL. Let V be an n-dimensional binary vector space, and let S be a subspace of V. We may take S to be the row space of an $m \times n$ binary matrix M. Furthermore, we may assume that M is row-reduced, so that the leading 1 in each row is the only 1 in its column. We call these entries *pivots*.

Construct a graph G whose vertices are the nonzero columns of M (G is empty when S has dimension 0). For each pivot element $m_{i,j}$, the vertex representing column j is adjacent to the vertex representing column r if $m_{i,r} \neq 0$. Thus all edges consist of a pivot column and a higher-indexed non-pivot column. In particular, G has no path i, j, k with $i < j < k$. Furthermore, the row-reduced matrix M and thus S can be retrieved from G.

If we relabel the vertices of G with $1, 2, \dots, k$ preserving the order of the original labels, then the new graph is of the type counted by $f(k)$. Thus there are $\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}f(k)$ such graphs, and the bijection with subspaces yields $g(n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}f(k)$.

When multiplying power series, the coefficient of $x^n/n!$ in the product of $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n x^n/n!$ and $\sum_{n\geq 0} b_n x^n/n!$ is $\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}a_k b_{n-k}$. Thus $\sum_{n\geq 0} g(n)x^n/n! = e^x \sum_{n\geq 0} f(n)x^n/n!$.

Solved also by D. Beckwith, D. Callan, R. J. Chapman (U. K.), J. E. Dawson (Australia), R. Ehrenborg, R. Holzsager, D. E. Knuth, L. Pebody (U. K.), and the proposer.

A Card-Matching Game

10576 [1997, 169]. *Proposed by Donald E. Knuth, Stanford University, Stanford, CA.* Alice and Bill have identical decks of 52 cards. Alice shuffles her deck and deals the cards face up into 26 piles of two cards each. Bill does the same with his deck. If any one of Alice's top cards exactly matches any of Bill's, the matching cards are removed. Play continues until none of the cards on top of Alice's piles matches any of the cards on top of Bill's piles. What is the probability that all 52 pairs of cards will be matched?

Solution by Philip D. Straffin, Beloit College, Beloit, WI. Given a particular deal of $2n$ cards for Alice, let T_n be the number of possible deals for Bill, let W_n be the number of these that succeed (all cards are matched), and let L_n be the number that lose. We compute W_n/T_n.

Given a deal for Alice, a game is specified by Bill's piles: a partition of $\{1, 2, \dots, 2n\}$ into pairs and a choice for the top card in each pair. After specifying which of Bill's cards is paired with 1 and which is the top card in this pair, there are T_{n-1} ways to complete the deal. Hence $T_n = 2(2n-1)T_{n-1}$.

Now consider L_n. As long as either player has any single-card piles, the game is not lost, since more than half of that player's cards are exposed and there must be a match. Hence when a game is lost, each player retains $k \geq 1$ piles of two cards, and Bill's top cards must be exactly Alice's bottom cards. The piles that were removed were a successful game of size $n-k$. Since the hidden cards in Bill's blocked piles are chosen from Alice's top cards and can be arranged in $k!$ ways, we have $L_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}k!\,W_{n-k}$.

Since $T_n = W_n + L_n$, we have $T_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}k!\,W_{n-k}$. Thus

$$nT_{n-1} = n\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}k!\,W_{n-1-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{k+1}(k+1)!\,W_{n-1-k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n}{k}k!\,W_{n-k} = L_n.$$