

## **Binary Expansions and kth Powers: 10596**

Paul Bateman; David Bradley; David Callan; Richard Stong

The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 106, No. 4. (Apr., 1999), pp. 366-367.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9890%28199904%29106%3A4%3C366%3ABEAKP1%3E2.0.CO%3B2-5

The American Mathematical Monthly is currently published by Mathematical Association of America.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html">http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html</a>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <u>http://www.jstor.org/journals/maa.html</u>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Solution by the proposer. If  $B_i$  is the midpoint of  $A_{i+1}A_{i+2}$  for i = 1, 2, 3, then triangles  $A_1A_2A_3$  and  $B_1B_2B_3$  are similar, so  $|B_1B_2| = (1/2)|A_1A_2|$ ,  $|B_2B_3| = (1/2)|A_2A_3|$ , and  $|B_3B_1| = (1/2)|A_3A_1|$ . Hence

$$|A_1B_2| + |B_1B_2| = \frac{1}{2} |A_1A_3| + \frac{1}{2} |A_1A_2| = |B_1B_3| + |A_1B_3|,$$

and similarly for the other conditions of both parts.

(a) We prove that for any triangle  $B_1 B_2 B_3$  there exists exactly one triangle  $A_1 A_2 A_3$  such that  $|A_i B_{i+1}| + |B_i B_{i+1}| = |A_i B_{i+2}| + |B_i B_{i+2}|$  for i = 1, 2, 3. This implies our assertion. Fix a triangle  $B_1 B_2 B_3$ , and suppose that for a triangle  $A_1 A_2 A_3$  the conditions are satisfied. Let (i, j, k) be a permutation of (1, 2, 3). Consider the hyperbola with foci  $B_j$  and  $B_k$  passing through  $B_i$ . Since  $|A_i B_j| + |B_i B_j| = |A_i B_k| + |B_i B_k|$ , the hyperbola passes through  $A_i$ . Write  $h_i$  for the part of the branch of the hyperbola passing through  $A_i$  that is on the opposite side of the line  $B_j B_k$  from  $B_i$ . Since  $B_j$  and  $B_k$  are the foci of the hyperbola,  $h_i$  is entirely contained in the union of all lines joining  $A_i$  and some point on the segment  $B_j B_k$ .

Now suppose that A is any point on  $h_1$  different from  $A_1$ . (This A is a candidate for the vertex  $A_1$  in a new triangle satisfying the conditions.) If A is inside triangle  $B_2A_1B_3$ , then the line from A through  $B_2$  intersects  $h_3$  in a point P that is on the opposite side of the line  $A_2A_3$  from  $A_1$ , and if A is outside of  $B_2A_1B_3$  then P is on the same side of  $A_2A_3$ as  $A_1$ . (Point P is the candidate for point  $A_3$  of the new triangle.) The same holds for the intersection Q of the line  $AB_3$  with  $h_2$  (the candidate for  $A_2$  of the new triangle). Therefore, the line segment PQ does not pass through  $B_1$ . We conclude that A cannot be a vertex of a triangle that satisfies our requirements. A similar argument shows that no point A outside triangle  $B_2A_1B_3$  can be a vertex of a triangle that satisfies our requirements. Thus  $A_1A_2A_3$ is the only triangle for which the conditions hold.

(b) Let  $a_k = (1/2)|A_iA_j|$ ,  $b_k = |B_iB_j|$ , and  $x_j = a_j - |A_iB_j|$ , where (i, j, k) is an even permutation of (1, 2, 3). By hypothesis,  $a_i + x_i + a_k - x_k = b_i + b_k$ . Adding two of these equations and subtracting the third yields  $b_i = a_i - x_j + x_k$ , so

$$b_i^2 = a_i^2 + x_j^2 + x_k^2 - 2a_i x_j - 2x_j x_k + 2a_i x_k$$
(1)

By the law of cosines we obtain  $b_i^2 = (a_j + x_j)^2 + (a_k - x_k)^2 - 2(a_j + x_j)(a_k - x_k) \cos A_i$ . Since  $\cos A_i = a_i^2 + a_k^2 - a_i^2/2a_ja_k$  we get after simple transformations

$$b_i^2 = a_i^2 + x_j^2 + x_k^2 + \frac{x_j}{a_j}(a_j^2 + a_i^2 - a_k^2) - \frac{x_k}{a_k}(a_k^2 + a_i^2 - a_j^2) + \frac{x_j}{a_j}\frac{x_k}{a_k}(a_j^2 + a_k^2 - a_i^2)$$
(2)

Let  $z_i = x_i/a_i$ . Comparing expressions (1) and (2) for  $b_i^2$ , we get

$$z_j(a_j + a_i - a_k) - z_k(a_k + a_i - a_j) + z_j z_k(a_j + a_k - a_i) = 0$$

If one of the  $z_i$ 's is 0, then all of them vanish. If they are all nonzero, then dividing by  $z_j z_k$  and adding all three equalities we get  $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 = 0$ , which is evidently false. Therefore, all the  $x_i$ 's vanish and the assertion is proved.

Solved also by M. Vowe (Switzerland) and GCHQ Problems Group (U. K.).

## **Binary Expansions and kth Powers**

**10596** [1997, 456]. Proposed by Paul Bateman, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL, and David Bradley, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada. (a) Prove the identity

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} (-1)^{k-1-\eta(j)} (y+j)^k = k! \cdot 2^{(k-1)(k-2)/2} (y+(2^{k-1}-1)/2),$$

where  $\eta(j)$  is the number of ones in the binary expansion of the nonnegative integer j. (b) Use part (a) to infer that there is a positive integer s = s(k) such that every integer n is expressible in the form  $n = \epsilon_1 x_1^k + \epsilon_2 x_2^k + \cdots + \epsilon_s x_s^k$  in infinitely many ways, where  $\epsilon_i = \pm 1$  for  $1 \le i \le s$  and where  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_s$  are distinct positive integers.

Solution I to part (a) by David Callan, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI. With n = k-1, equating coefficients of y reduces the proposed identity to

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^{n}-1} (-1)^{n-\eta(j)} j^{r} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r < n; \\ n! 2^{n(n-1)/2} & \text{if } r = n; \\ (n+1)! 2^{n(n-1)/2} (2^{n}-1)/2 & \text{if } r = n+1 \end{cases}$$

Let S(j) denote the set of positions containing 1 in the binary representation of j, so that, for example,  $S(13) = S((1101)_2) = \{1, 3, 4\}$ . Write  $j \leq k$  when  $S(j) \subseteq S(k)$ . Consider a set of boxes labeled  $1, \ldots, 2^n - 1$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ , let  $G_i$  be the set of boxes with labels  $2^i, \ldots, 2^{i+1} - 1$ . Note that  $|G_i| = 2^i$  for  $i \geq 0$ .

Let f(j) be the number of placements of r distinguishable balls into  $\bigcup_{i \in S(j)} G_i$ . Clearly  $f(j) = j^r$ . Let g(j) be the number of such placements in which, for each  $i \in S(j)$ , at least one ball is in at least one box in  $G_i$ . By the Inclusion-Exclusion Principle,  $g(k) = \sum_{j \leq k} (-1)^{\eta(k)-\eta(j)} f(j)$ . In particular,  $g(2^n - 1) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{n-1}} (-1)^{n-\eta(j)} j^r$ .

Since  $S(2^n - 1) = \{1, ..., n\}$ , the distributions counted by  $g(2^n - 1)$  are those with all n groups nonempty. When r < n, there are none. When r = n, one of the  $2^i$  boxes in  $G_i$  is used, for each i. When r = n + 1, we distribute n balls and then one more, dividing by 2 to eliminate overcounting. Thus both sides of the identity equal  $g(2^n - 1)$ .

## Solution II by Richard Stong, Rice University, Houston, TX.

(a) Letting  $\Delta_r f(y) = f(y+r) - f(y)$ , the left side of the identity is  $\Delta_1 \Delta_2 \Delta_4 \cdots \Delta_{2^{k-2}} y^k$ . If f is a polynomial of degree n with leading coefficient c, then  $\Delta_r f$  is a polynomial of degree n-1 with leading coefficient crn. Since we have applied k-1 such operators, the left side of the identity is a polynomial of degree 1 with leading coefficient  $k! 2^{0+1+\dots+(k-2)} = k! 2^{(k-1)(k-2)/2}$ .

Since  $\eta(2^{k-1}-1-i) = k-1-\eta(i)$ , the terms for j = i and  $j = 2^{k-1}-1-i$  in the sum exactly cancel if  $y = -(2^{k-1}-1)/2$ . Thus the left side of the identity is the polynomial of degree 1 with leading coefficient  $k!2^{(k-1)(k-2)/2}$  that vanishes at  $y = -(2^{k-1}-1)/2$ . This agrees with the right side.

(b) If k = 1, then s = 3 suffices, because the identities n = (n + 2 + m) - (1 + m) - 1 and n = (m + 2 + n) - (m + 1 - n) - (1 - n) give suitable representations for all  $m \ge 1$  in the cases  $n \ge 0$  and n < 0, respectively.

Now consider  $k \ge 2$ . Let  $M = k! 2^{(k-1)(k-2)/2}$ , and let  $s(k) = M + 2^{k-1}$ . Given any integer *n*, choose integers *q* and *r* such that  $n = (2^{k-1} - 1)M/2 + Mq + r$ , where  $0 \le r < M$ . Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_r$  be multiples of *M*, and let  $x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_M$  be numbers congruent to 1 modulo *M*. Now  $n + \sum_{i=1}^{M} x_i^k$  is congruent to  $(2^{k-1} - 1)M/2$  modulo *M*. Thus for some *y* we have  $n + \sum_{i=1}^{M} x_i^k = M(y + (2^{k-1} - 1)/2)$ . The identity in (**a**) now yields

$$n = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{k-1}-1} (-1)^{k-1-\eta(j)} (y+j)^k - \sum_{i=1}^M x_i^k.$$

The numbers  $x_1, \ldots, x_M$  were chosen arbitrarily subject to congruence conditions modulo M; there are infinitely many such choices. By fixing  $x_1, \ldots, x_{M-1}$  and making  $x_M$ sufficiently large, we can ensure that y exceeds  $x_M$ , since  $k \ge 2$ . Thus we have infinitely many choices in which  $x_1, \ldots, x_M, y, y+1, \ldots, y+2^{k-1}-1$  are distinct, as desired.

Solved also by K. McInturff, J. H. Lindsey II, GCHQ Problems Group (U. K.), R. J. Chapman (U. K.), and the proposers. Part (a) solved also by D. Beckwith.