

A Supremum of Sine Differences: 10604

Joseph Rosenblatt; Thomas Hermann; John H. Lindsey II

The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 106, No. 4. (Apr., 1999), p. 368.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9890%28199904%29106%3A4%3C368%3AASOSD1%3E2.0.CO%3B2-A

The American Mathematical Monthly is currently published by Mathematical Association of America.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at http://www.jstor.org/journals/maa.html.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

A Supremum of Sine Differences

10604 [1997, 567]. Proposed by Joseph Rosenblatt, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL. (a) Determine positive constants c and C such that if 0 < a < b then

$$c\left(1 - \frac{a}{b}\right) \le \sup_{x > 0} \left| \frac{\sin(ax)}{ax} - \frac{\sin(bx)}{bx} \right| \le C\left(1 - \frac{a}{b}\right). \tag{*}$$

(b)* What are the largest constant c and smallest constant C such that (*) holds whenever 0 < a < b?

Solution of part (a) by Thomas Hermann, SDRC, Milford, OH. We may take $c = 2/\pi$ and C=4. To see this, let $\varphi(x)=\sin x/x$, $\lambda=a/b$, and $\mu(\lambda,x)=(\varphi(x)-\varphi(\lambda x))/(1-\lambda)$. The problem is to find positive lower and upper bounds for $M(\lambda) = \sup_{x>0} |\mu(\lambda, x)|$. Since $|\varphi(x)| \leq 1$ for all x,

$$|\mu(\lambda, x)| \le \frac{2}{1 - \lambda} \le 4 \tag{1}$$

when $0 < \lambda \le 1/2$. By the Mean Value Theorem, there is a $y \in [\lambda x, x]$, such that $\mu(\lambda, x)/x = \varphi'(y)$. Now $x\varphi'(y) = (x/y)(\cos y - \varphi(y))$, so

$$|\mu(\lambda, x)| \le 2 \frac{x}{y} \le \frac{2}{\lambda} \le 4 \tag{2}$$

when $\frac{1}{2} \le \lambda < 1$. Combining (1) and (2), we obtain $M(\lambda) \le 4$ for all λ in (0, 1). To get a lower bound, observe that $\mu(\lambda, \pi) = \frac{\sin(\lambda \pi)}{(\lambda(1 - \lambda)\pi)} = \mu(1 - \lambda, \pi)$, so it is enough to consider the case when $\lambda \in (0, 1/2]$. Since $\varphi(x)$ is decreasing on $(0, \pi/2]$, $\mu(\lambda,\pi) \geq (1/(1-\lambda)) \left(\sin(\pi/2)/(\pi/2)\right) \geq 2/\pi$. Therefore $2/\pi \leq M(\lambda) \leq 4$.

Editorial comment. For part (b), John H. Lindsey II and the GCHQ Problems Group independently computed that the largest value for c is approximately 1.0631036 and the smallest value for C is approximately 1.3805662. Lindsey used Maple to search for these values and used estimates on derivatives to prove that the optimal value of C satisfies $1.380566167 \le C \le 1.380577012.$

Part (a) also solved by R. J. Chapman (U.K.), T. Hermann, J. H. Lindsey II, GCHQ Problems Group (U.K.), and the proposer.

A Convergent Series

10657 [1998, 366]. Proposed by Jovan Vukmirović, University of Belgrade, Belgrade, Yugoslavia. Let ϕ be a strictly increasing function from $(0, \infty)$ onto $(0, \infty)$. Prove that if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/(n\phi^{-1}(n))$ converges, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi(n)x^n$ converges for |x| < 1.

Solution by Kenneth Schilling, University of Michigan, Flint, MI. For x > 1,

$$\sum_{n=|\sqrt{x}|}^{\lfloor x\rfloor} \frac{1}{n} > \int_{\sqrt{x}}^{x} \frac{1}{t} dt = \frac{1}{2} \ln x.$$

Thus, since ϕ is increasing, we have

$$\frac{\ln x}{2\phi^{-1}(x)} < \sum_{n=\lfloor \sqrt{x} \rfloor}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} \frac{1}{n\phi^{-1}(x)} < \sum_{n=\lfloor \sqrt{x} \rfloor}^{\lfloor x \rfloor} \frac{1}{n\phi^{-1}(n)}.$$

By hypothesis, this expression converges to 0 as $x \to \infty$. Hence $\lim_{x \to \infty} \ln x / \phi^{-1}(x) = 0$. Since $\phi(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to \infty$, we get $\lim_{x \to \infty} \ln \phi(x)/x = 0$. Exponentiation yields $\lim_{x\to\infty} (\phi(x))^{1/x} = 1$. Thus the power series converges for |x| < 1 by the root test.

Solved also by S. Amghibech (France), J. Arregui, G. L. Body (U. K.), D. Borwein (Canada), D. Bradley (Canada), K. Dale & I. Skau (Norway), J. Fitch, K. Ford, G. L. Isaacs, P. Lang, J. H. Lindsey II, A. Stenger, T. V. Trif (Romania), T. Trimble, R. Vermes (Canada), C. Y. Yildirim (Turkey), GCHQ Problems Group (U. K.), and the proposer.