

## The Divisible Differences Property: 10553

Bjorn Poonen; Jim Propp; Richard Stong

The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 106, No. 1. (Jan., 1999), pp. 68-69.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9890%28199901%29106%3A1%3C68%3ATDDP1%3E2.0.CO%3B2-R

The American Mathematical Monthly is currently published by Mathematical Association of America.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html">http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html</a>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <u>http://www.jstor.org/journals/maa.html</u>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

**10709.** Proposed by Zoltán Sasvári, Technical University of Dresden, Dresden, Germany. Let X be a standard normal random variable, and choose y > 0. Show that

$$e^{-ay} < \frac{Pr(a \le X \le a + y)}{Pr(a - y \le X \le a)} < e^{-ay + (1/2)ay^3}$$

when a > 0. Show that the reversed inequalities hold when a < 0.

**10710.** Proposed by Bogdan Suceava, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI. Let ABC be an acute triangle with incenter I, and let D, E, and F be the points where the circle inscribed in ABC touches BC, CA, and AB, respectively. Let M be the intersection of the line through A parallel to BC and DE, and let N be the intersection of the line through A parallel to BC and DF. Let P and Q be the midpoints of DM and DN, respectively. Prove that A, E, F, I, P, and Q are on the same circle.

## SOLUTIONS

## When O-H-I Is Isosceles

**10547** [1996, 695]. Proposed by Dan Sachelarie, ICCE Bucharest, and Vlad Sachelarie, University of Bucharest, Bucharest, Romania. In the triangle ABC, let O be the circumcenter, H the orthocenter, and I the incenter. Prove that the triangle OHI is isosceles if and only if

$$\frac{a^3+b^3+c^3}{3abc} = \frac{R}{2r}$$

Solution by Walther Janous, Ursulinengymnasium, Innsbruck, Austria. We denote by MPV the reference D. S. Mitrinović, J. E. Pečarić, and V. Volenec, Recent Advances in Geometric Inequalities, Kluwer, 1989. Neither IO nor HI is ever as large as HO [MPV, p. 288], so the only way triangle IHO can be isosceles is if IO = HI. Also  $IO^2 = R^2 - 2Rr$  [MPV, p. 279] and  $HI^2 = 4R^2 + 4Rr + 3r^2 - s^2$  [MPV, p. 280], where s is the semiperimeter. Hence HI = IO if and only if  $R^2 - 2Rr = 4R^2 + 4Rr + 3r^2 - s^2$ . This rearranges to  $2s(s^2 - 3r^2 - 6Rr)/12Rrs = R/2r$ , or, using abc = 4Rrs [MPV, p. 52] and  $a^3 + b^3 + c^3 = 2s(s^2 - 3r^2 - 4Rr)$  [MPV, p. 52], to  $(a^3 + b^3 + c^3)/3abc = R/2r$ .

*Editorial comment.* Another condition equivalent to HI = IO, given in problem E2282 [1971, 196; 1972, 397] from this MONTHLY, is that ABC has one angle equal to  $60^{\circ}$ .

Solved also by J. Anglesio (France), R. Barbara (Lebanon), F. Bellot Rosado (Spain), C. W. Dodge, J. S. Frame, Z. Franco, M. S. Klamkin (Canada), W. W. Meyer, V. Mihai (Canada), C. R. Pranesachar (India), B. Prielipp, V. Schindler (Germany), I. Sofair, M. Tabaâ (Morocco), T. V. Trif (Romania), M. Vowe (Switzerland), GCHQ Problems Group (U. K.), and the proposers.

## **The Divisible Differences Property**

**10553** [1996, 809]. Proposed by Bjorn Poonen, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Berkeley, CA, Jim Propp, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, and Richard Stong, Rice University, Houston, TX. Say that a sequence  $\langle q \rangle = q_1, q_1, q_2, \ldots$ of integers has the divisible differences property if  $(n - m)|(q_n - q_m)$  for all n and m.

(a) Show that if  $\langle q \rangle$  has the divisible differences property and  $\limsup |q_n|^{1/n} < e - 1$ , then there is a polynomial Q such that  $q_n = Q(n)$ .

(b) Show that there is a sequence  $\langle q \rangle$  that has the divisible differences property and satisfies  $\limsup |q_n|^{1/n} \leq e$ , for which  $q_n$  is not given by a polynomial in n.

(c)\* Is it true that  $\limsup |q_n|^{1/n} \ge e$  for all non-polynomial  $\langle q \rangle$  with the divisible differences property?

Solution of parts (a) and (b) by the GCHQ Problem Solving Group, Cheltenham, U. K. Let  $L_n = \text{lcm}\{1, ..., n\}$ . We need three facts. Fact 1:  $\log(L_n) \sim n$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

**Proof:** If p is prime and  $p \le n$ , then  $p^{\lfloor \log_p(n) \rfloor}$  is the highest power of p that divides n. Therefore,  $L_n = \prod_{p \le n} p^{\lfloor \log_p(n) \rfloor} < \prod_{p \le n} p^{\log_p(n)} = \prod_{p \le n} n = n^{\pi(n)}$ . Thus,  $\log(L_n) < \pi(n) \log n \sim n$ , the latter following from the Prime Number Theorem. Conversely, if 1/2 < r < 1, then  $L_n > \prod_{n^r \prod_{n^r . Taking logarithms and using the Prime Number Theorem yields <math>\log(L_n) > r(\pi(n) - \pi(n^r)) \log n \sim r\left(\frac{n}{\log n} - \frac{n^r}{r \log n}\right) \log n = rn - n^r \sim rn$ . Since r is arbitrary,  $\log(L_n) \sim n$ .

**Fact 2**: Given  $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}$ , the choices for  $q_n$  such that  $(n-m)|(q_n-q_m)$  for  $0 \le m < n$  lie in the same congruence class modulo  $L_n$ .

This is a consequence of the Chinese Remainder Theorem.

**Fact 3**: If  $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}$  has the divisible differences property, and if  $r_n$  is the value found by fitting a minimum degree polynomial to  $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}$  and extrapolating, then  $r_n = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i+1} {n \choose i} q_{n-i}$  and  $(n-m)|(r_n - q_m)$  for  $0 \le m < n$ .

**Proof**: The formula for  $r_n$  is just a restatement of the property that the *n*th difference of  $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}$  is 0. We prove the rest by induction on *n*, it being trivial when n = 1.

The first difference of  $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}$  is a sequence of length n-1 with the divisible differences property, and its polynomial extrapolation is  $r_n - q_{n-1}$ . By the inductive hypothesis,  $m|(r_n - q_{n-1}) - (q_{n-m} - q_{n-m-1})$  for m > 0, and by the hypothesis on  $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}$  we have  $m|(q_{n-1} - q_{n-m-1})$ . Hence  $m|(r_n - q_{n-m})$ , so we may assume m = 0. By subtracting  $q_0$  from the rest of the sequence, we may assume  $q_0 = 0$ , so

$$r_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (-1)^{i+1} \binom{n}{i} q_{n-i}.$$
 (1)

Now  $n|(n-i)\binom{n}{i}$  since  $(n-i)\binom{n}{i} = n\binom{n-1}{i}$ , and  $(n-i)|q_{n-i}$ , so *n* divides each term of the sum in (1) and therefore  $r_n$ .

We can restate the divisible differences property as:  $L_n|(q_n - r_n)$  for all n.

(a) If  $\limsup |q_n|^{1/n} < e - 1$ , then there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  and an integer  $n_0$  such that  $|q_n|^{1/n} < e - 1 - 3\epsilon$  for  $n > n_0$ . From this and Fact 3, there is an  $n_1 > n_0$  such that  $|r_n| < \sum_{i=n_0}^{n} {n \choose i} (e - 1 - 2\epsilon)^{n-i} < (e - 2\epsilon)^n < (e - \epsilon)^n/2$  for  $n > n_1$ . Using Fact 1, there is an  $n_2 > n_1$  such that  $n > n_2$  implies that  $\log(L_n) > n \log(e - \epsilon)$  (that is,  $L_n > (e - \epsilon)^n$ ). If  $n > n_2$  and  $q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_{n-1}$  has the divisible differences property,  $r_n$  is thus the only value for  $q_n$  that would extend the property and also have absolute value less than  $(e - \epsilon)^n/2$ . Since  $|q_n| < (e - 1 - 3\epsilon)^n$ , it follows that  $q_n = r_n$ .

This is the inductive step in a proof that the minimum degree polynomial that fits  $q_0, \ldots, q_{n_2}$  fits  $q_n$  for all n.

(b) Set  $q_0 = 0$ . For each positive *n*, set  $q_n$  to be congruent to  $r_n \mod L_n$  but of opposite sign and having magnitude less than  $L_n$ . If  $r_n = 0$ , however, set  $q_n = L_n$ . Since  $q_n$  never equals  $r_n$ ,  $q_n$  is not given by a polynomial. Since  $|q_n| \le |L_n|$ , we have  $\limsup |q_n|^{1/n} \le e$ .

*Editorial comment.* The results of parts (a) and (b) appear in I. Ruzsa, On congruence preserving functions (in Hungarian), *Mat. Lapok* 22(1971) 125-134 (*Math. Reviews*, vol. 48, #2044). Part (a) generalizes problem 4 from the 1995 USA Mathematical Olympiad, which imposed the stronger condition that  $q_n$  is bounded by a polynomial. No solutions were submitted for part (c).

Parts (a) and (b) solved also by R. J. Chapman (U. K.), K. S. Kedlaya, and the proposers.