

## **Cosecants and Near-Integers: 10630**

Richard Stong; Allen Stenger

The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 106, No. 3. (Mar., 1999), p. 271.

Stable URL:

http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9890%28199903%29106%3A3%3C271%3ACAN1%3E2.0.CO%3B2-J

The American Mathematical Monthly is currently published by Mathematical Association of America.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html">http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html</a>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <a href="http://www.jstor.org/journals/maa.html">http://www.jstor.org/journals/maa.html</a>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

the sum of these terms is therefore at least 1/(8y). Thus there are infinitely many disjoint blocks of terms, each of which sums to at least 1/(8y). This contradicts the fact that  $\sum a_{2n}$  converges. Therefore x = y = 0, and  $a_n \to 0$ .

Solved also by J. Anglesio (France), S. S. Kim (Korea), K.-W. Lau (Hong Kong), J. H. Lindsey II, M. Shemesh (Israel), NSA Problems Group, and the proposer.

## Tails of an Alternating Series

**10624** [1997, 871]. Proposed by William F. Trench, Trinity University, San Antonio, TX. Suppose that  $a_0 > a_1 > a_2 > \cdots$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$ . Define  $S_n = \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} (-1)^{j-n} a_j = a_n - a_{n+1} + a_{n+2} - \cdots$ . Show that  $\sum a_n S_n < \infty$  if and only if  $\sum a_n^2 < \infty$ .

Solution by Douglas B. Tyler, Hughes Aircraft Company, El Segundo, CA. More generally, we prove that the three series  $\sum S_n^2$ ,  $\sum a_n S_n$ , and  $\sum a_n^2$  converge or diverge together. By the alternating series test,  $S_n$  exists and satisfies  $0 < S_n < a_n$ . Thus  $\sum S_n^2 < \sum a_n S_n < \sum a_n^2$ . So it suffices to show that finiteness of  $\sum S_n^2$  implies finiteness of  $\sum a_n^2$ . To prove it, use  $S_n = a_n - S_{n+1}$  and the inequality  $(x + y)^2 \le 2(x^2 + y^2)$  to infer

$$\sum a_n^2 = \sum \left( S_n + S_{n+1} \right)_{\bullet}^2 \le \sum 2 \left( S_n^2 + S_{n+1}^2 \right) = 2 \sum S_n^2 + 2 \sum S_{n+1}^2 < 4 \sum S_n^2$$

Solved also by S. A. Ali, K. F. Andersen (Canada), R. Barbara (France), G. L. Brody (U. K.), P. Bracken (Canada), P. Budney, D. Callan, R. J. Chapman (U. K.), J. E. Dawson (Australia), M. N. Deshpande (India), Z. Franco, T. Goebeler & T. Siemers, T. Hermann, V. Hernandez & J. Martin (Spain), S. S. Kim (Korea), R. A. Kopas, O. Kouba (Syria), M. Kumar (India), J. H. Lindsey II, N. Lord (U. K.), P. Mengert, R. Mortini (France), M. Omarjee (France), L. Opperman, G. Peng, H. Salle (The Netherlands), K. Schilling, H.-J. Seiffert (Germany), N. C. Singer, A. Stenger, S. J. Swiniarski, N. S. Thornber, A. Tissier (France), P. Trojovsky (Czech Republic), M. Woltermann, C. Xiong, GCHQ Problems Group (U. K.), NSA Problems Group, WMC Problems Group, and the proposer.

## **Cosecants and Near-Integers**

**10630** [1997, 975]. Proposed by Richard Stong, Rice University, Houston, TX. It is possible to show that  $\csc(3\pi/29) - \csc(10\pi/29) = 1.999989433...$  Prove that there are no integers j, k, n with n odd satisfying  $\csc(j\pi/n) - \csc(k\pi/n) = 2$ .

Solution by Allen Stenger, Tustin, CA. Suppose that there exist such integers j, k, n. Let  $\omega_m = e^{2\pi i/m}$  be a primitive *m*th root of unity. Then

$$\frac{2i}{\omega_{2n}^{j}-\omega_{2n}^{-j}}-\frac{2i}{\omega_{2n}^{k}-\omega_{2n}^{-k}}=2,$$

which rearranges to

$$i = \frac{(\omega_{2n}^k - \omega_{2n}^{-k})(\omega_{2n}^J - \omega_{2n}^{-J})}{(\omega_{2n}^k - \omega_{2n}^{-k}) - (\omega_{2n}^j - \omega_{2n}^{-J})}.$$

This implies that *i* is in  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_{2n})$ , the cyclotomic field of order 2*n* over the rationals.

We now claim that adjoining *i* to  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_{2n})$  produces  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_{2n}, i) = \mathbb{Q}(\omega_{4n})$ . To show this, observe that  $\omega_{2n} = \omega_{4n}^2 \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega_{4n})$ ,  $i = \omega_{4n}^n \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega_{4n})$ , and  $\omega_{4n} = \omega_{4n}^{n+1}/\omega_{4n}^n = \omega_{2n}^{(n+1)/2}/i \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega_{2n}, i)$ . (This is where we need the hypothesis that *n* is odd.)

This produces the contradiction: If it were true that  $i \in \mathbb{Q}(\omega_{2n})$ , then we would have  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_{2n}) = \mathbb{Q}(\omega_{2n}, i) = \mathbb{Q}(\omega_{4n})$ , but this is impossible because the degrees of  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_{2n})$  and  $\mathbb{Q}(\omega_{4n})$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  are known to be  $\phi(2n)$  and  $\phi(4n) = 2\phi(2n)$ , respectively.

*Editorial comment.* Gerry Myerson proved more: If j, k, n are positive integers with no common factor and  $\csc(j\pi/n) - \csc(k\pi/n)$  is a nonzero rational number r, then n is 2 or 6 and r is  $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$ , or  $\pm 4$ .

Solved also by R. J. Chapman (U. K.), J. H. Lindsey II, G. Myerson (Australia), and the proposer.