

## **A Short Proof of Turan's Theorem**

William Staton

## *The American Mathematical Monthly*, Vol. 106, No. 3. (Mar., 1999), pp. 257-258.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9890%28199903%29106%3A3%3C257%3AASPOTT%3E2.0.CO%3B2-2>

*The American Mathematical Monthly* is currently published by Mathematical Association of America.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at [http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html.](http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html) JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/maa.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



**Figure 1** 

be that the number of triangles would be a multiple of 4. It is necessary to transform the polyomino so that the image has an area A for which  $\varphi(A) \leq 0$ . Applying the transformation  $(x, y) \rightarrow (x, y/2)$  followed by the translation by  $(1, 1)$ produces a labeling described in the hypothesis of the lemma, as may be verified.

#### **REFERENCES**

- 1. P. Monsky, On dividing a square into triangles, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 77 (1970) 161-164.
- 2. D. G. Mead, Dissection of a hypercube into simplices, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **76** (1979) 302-304.
- 3. E. A. Kasimatis, Dissections of regular polygons into triangles of equal areas, *Discrete and Computational Geometry* **4** (1989) 375-381.
- 4. S. K. Stein, Equidissections of centrally symmetric octagons, *Aequationes Math.* **37** (1989) 313-318.
- 5. P. Monsky, A conjecture of Stein on plane dissections, *Math. Zeit. 205* (1990) 583-592.
- 6. S. K. Stein and S. Szab6, *Algebra and Tiling,* Math. Assoc. America, 1994.

*University of California at Dauis, I Shields Aue, Dauis, CA 95616-8633 stein@math.ucdauis.edu* 

# **A Short Proof of Turan's Theorem**

### **William Staton**

Extremal graph theory is the search for the thresholds in edge density where substructures of interest are forced to appear in graphs. The canonical extremal theorem involving structure S is of the type: If G is a graph with  $n$  vertices containing no S, then G has no more than  $f(n)$  edges. The genesis moment of extremal graph theory occurred in 1941 with Turán's article [1] in which he proved the canonical extremal result for  $S = K_r$ , a complete graph with r vertices. The purpose of this note is to provide a new and perhaps shorter proof than has previously been noticed.

**Theorem** (Turán, 1941). Graphs with n vertices containing no  $K_r$  have no more than  $(r - 2)n^2/(2r - 2)$  edges, for  $r \ge 2$ .

*Proof:* Induct on r. If  $r = 2$ , the result is obvious. Now if the statement is true for  $K_r$ -free graphs it must be shown that  $K_{r+1}$ -free graphs have no more than  $(r-1)n^2/2r$  edges. Let G be such a graph, and let x be the number of vertices in a largest  $K<sub>r</sub>$ -free induced subgraph of G. Since the neighbors of any vertex induce a  $K<sub>r</sub>$ -free subgraph, no vertex of G has degree exceeding x. Let A be a largest induced K<sub>r</sub>-free subgraph of G. By induction, there are at most  $(r - 2)x^2/(2r - 2)$ edges in A. Each edge of G not in A is incident with at least one of the  $n - x$ vertices not in  $\vec{A}$ , so summing the degrees of these vertices counts each such edge at least once. Hence there are at most  $x(n - x)$  such edges and so G has at most  $(r - 2)x^{2}/(2r - 2) + x(n - x)$  edges. Since

$$
\frac{r-2}{2r-2}(x^2)+x(n-x)=\frac{r-1}{2r}n^2-\frac{r}{2r-2}\bigg(x-\frac{(r-1)n}{r}\bigg)^2,
$$

the result follows.

Turán's theorem continues, in every graph theory textbook, to be the centerpiece of the presentation of extremal graph theory. For this reason, we hope our short proof will be found worthwhile.

#### REFERENCE

1. P. Turin, On an Extremal Problem in Graph Theory, *Matematicko Fizicki Lapok* **48** (1941) 436-452.

*University of Mississippi, University, MS 38677 mrnstaton@olemiss, edu* 

# **A Characterization of the Set of Points of Continuity of a Real Function**

### **Sung Soo Kim**

In this note, we prove the converse of the following well known result: the set of points of continuity of an arbitrary real valued function on a metric space is a countable intersection of open sets [1, p. 58].

**Lemma.** If  $X$  is a nonempty metric space without isolated points, then  $X$  has a dense subset  $A$  whose complement is also dense in  $X$ .

*Proof:* Call a set  $S \subset X$  an  $\epsilon$ -net if (a)  $d(x, y) \geq \epsilon$  for any two distinct points x, y of S, and (b) S is maximal with respect to (a). Zorn's Lemma yields that  $\epsilon$ -nets exist for every  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose we have disjoint sets  $S_1, S_2, \ldots, S_k$ , where each  $S_i$  is an  $(1/i)$ -net. The complement of  $S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_k$  is then nonempty and has no isolated points, and therefore there is an  $S_{k+1}$ , disjoint from  $S_1 \cup \cdots \cup S_k$ , which is an  $(1/(k + 1))$ -net. Then  $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{2n}$  and  $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S_{2n-1}$  are disjoint, and both are dense in X.

**Theorem.** Let **X** be a nonempty metric space without isolated points. If G is a countable intersection of open sets, then there is a function  $\phi(x)$  which is continuous exactly on G.