

More on a Mean Value Theorem Converse

H. Fejzic; D. Rinne

The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 106, No. 5. (May, 1999), pp. 454-455.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9890%28199905%29106%3A5%3C454%3AMOAMVT%3E2.0.CO%3B2-I>

The American Mathematical Monthly is currently published by Mathematical Association of America.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at [http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html.](http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html) JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/maa.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

We note that **M** is an unbounded operator on l_2 . For example, using the Euclidean norm the sequence of unit vectors defined by

$$
\mathbf{w}_m = \left\{ \{\mathbf{w}_m\}_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \quad \text{for } n \le m, \text{ and } = 0 \text{ for } n > m \right\}
$$

transforms into the sequence Mw_m , which diverges because

$$
\|\mathbf{M}\mathbf{w}_{m}\|^{2} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{m} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k}\right)^{2}
$$

grows faster than $\ln(m!) / m \approx \ln m$, as m increases.

2170 Montere), Avenue, Menlo Park, CA 94025 $frank.kenter@smi.siemens.com$

More on a Mean Value Theorem Converse

H. Fejzić and D. Rinne

In a recent MONTHLY article Tong and Braza considered two possible versions of a converse to the Mean Value Theorem [2]. For $c \in (a, b)$, a continuous function f on [a, b] that is differentiable on (a, b) satisfies the

- 1. Weak Form at c if $f'(c) = \frac{f(\beta) f(\alpha)}{\beta \alpha}$ for some interval $(\alpha, \beta) \subset (a, b)$, and the
- 2. Strong Form at c if $f'(c) = \frac{f(\beta) f(\alpha)}{\beta \alpha}$ for some interval $(\alpha, \beta) \subset (a, b)$ with $c \in (\alpha, \beta)$.

In [2] the authors give a function that fails the Weak Form (and so fails both forms) at all values in a countable closed set. Borwein and Wang provided a function that fails the Weak Form on a residual set (one whose complement is of first category) that is of Lebesgue measure zero [I].

We show that a differentiable function can fail the Weak Form on a set that is both residual and of relative measure arbitrarily close to 1while the Strong Form must hold on some subset of positive Lebesgue measure. In the rest of this Note *measure* means Lebesgue measure, denoted by λ .

We consider $[a, b] = [0,1]$ and let *Z* be any measurable set in [0, 1] with $\lambda(Z) < 1$. Let $E \subset [0,1] \setminus Z$ be an F_{σ} set with $\lambda(E) = \lambda([0,1] \setminus Z) > 0$ and E having density 1 at each $x \in E(\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \lambda(E \cap (x - \epsilon, x + \epsilon))(2\epsilon)^{-1} = 1)$. Let g be an approximately continuous function (at each x the restriction of g to some subset with density 1 at x is continuous at x) such that:

1.
$$
0 < g(x) \le 1
$$
 for $x \in E$, and
2. $g(x) = 0$ for $x \notin E$. (1)

A construction of such functions can be found in Zahorski [3]. Since *g* is bounded

and approximately continuous it is the derivative of its integral $f(x) = \int_0^x g(t) dt$. Therefore $f' \equiv 0$ on *Z*. We can pick *Z* to be dense in [0, 1] and of measure arbitrarily close to 1 with E having positive measure in every subinterval of [0, 1]. Then f is strictly increasing and thus has no difference quotient equal to zero. Hence f fails the Weak Form at every point of $\{x | f'(x) = 0\}$ and thus at every point of *Z*. Since $\{x | f'(x) = 0\}$ is a dense G_{δ} (it's the complement of the F_{σ} set *E*), f fails the Weak Form on a residual set.

However, the following theorem shows that a differentiable function cannot fail the Weak Form almost everywhere.

Theorem 1. If f is a continuous function on $[a, b]$ that is differentiable on (a, b) , then *f* satisfies the Strong Form on a subset of $[a, b]$ that has positive measure in every subinterual.

Proof: Let $[\alpha, \beta] \subset [a, b]$. We may assume that f is not linear on any subinterval of $[\alpha, \beta]$ since it would then obviously satisfy the Strong Form there. Let

$$
h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{f(x) - f(\alpha)}{x - \alpha} & \text{for } \alpha < x \le \beta \\ f'(\alpha) & \text{for } x = \alpha \end{cases}
$$

Then h is continuous on [α , β] and $h([\alpha, \beta])$ is some nondegenerate interval [r, s]. Since h can have only countably many local extrema we can pick $u \in (\alpha, \beta)$] so that $h(u)$ is not a local extremum. Let c be a point in (α, u) with $f'(c) = h(u)$. Using $p = (c + u)/2$ we see that $f'(c)$ is in the interior of $h([p, \beta])$. Call this interior I. Let g be the restriction of f to the interval $[\alpha, p]$. Then $G = (g')^{-1}(I)$ $\neq \phi$ since it contains c and thus $\lambda(G) > 0$ by the Denjoy-Clarkson Property (the inverse image under a derivative of an open interval is either empty or of positive measure). For each $x \in G$, there is a $y \in [p, \beta]$ with $f'(x) = g'(x) = h(y) =$ $(f(y) - f(\alpha))/(y - \alpha)$. Since $\alpha < x < y$, f satisfies the Strong Form at x.

As a final comment, we point out that a differentiable function can fail the Strong Form on a set of positive measure and still satisfy the Weak Form on all of (a, b) . As an example we can simply extend our function g in (1) to the interval $[0, 4]$ as follows: Let

$$
G(x) = \begin{cases} g(x) & 0 \le x \le 1 \\ -g(1)(x-2) & 1 < x \le 2 \\ 0 & 2 < x \le 3 \\ (x-3) & 3 < x \le 4 \end{cases}
$$

and set $F(x) = \int_0^x G(t) dt$. Then F still fails the Strong Form on the set Z above but satisfies the Weak Form on $(0, 4)$. This is because $0 \le G = F' < 1$ on $(0, 4)$ while the difference quotients for F inside the interval $(2,4)$ assume all values in $[0, 1)$.

REFERENCES

California State, University San Bemardino, CA 92407 hfejzic@mail.csusb.edy drinne@mail.csusb.edu

^{1.} Borwein, J. M. and Wang, Xianfu, The Converse of the Mean Value Theorem May Fail Generically, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 105 (1998) 847-848.

^{2.} Tong, J. and Braza, P., A Converse of the Mean Value Theorem, *Amer. Math. Monthly 104* (1997) 939-942.

^{3.} Zahorski, Z., Sur la Première Dérivée, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **69** (1950) 1-54.