



A Stability Theorem

Walter Rudin

The American Mathematical Monthly, Vol. 106, No. 8. (Oct., 1999), pp. 768-770.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9890%28199910%29106%3A8%3C768%3AAST%3E2.0.CO%3B2-J>

The American Mathematical Monthly is currently published by Mathematical Association of America.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/maa.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Notice that $E\{X^3\} = 0$ since X is symmetric. This extends the first property of Lanczos' derivative.

The second property of Lanczos' derivative generalizes to L_σ in a similar fashion. Assume that both $f'_R(x_0)$ and $f'_L(x_0)$ exist. Then

$$\begin{aligned} L_\sigma f(x_0) &= E\{X^2 d_{\sigma X} f(x_0): X > 0\} + E\{X^2 d_{\sigma X} f(x_0): X < 0\} \\ &= E\{X^2 f'_R(x_0) + X^2 o(1): X > 0\} + E\{X^2 f'_L(x_0) + X^2 o(1): X < 0\} \\ &= E\{X^2 f'_R(x_0): X > 0\} + E\{X^2 f'_L(x_0): X < 0\} + o(1) \\ &= f'_R(x_0) E\{X^2: X > 0\} + f'_L(x_0) E\{X^2: X < 0\} + o(1) \\ &= \frac{f'_R(x_0) + f'_L(x_0)}{2} + o(1). \end{aligned}$$

In the last step, we have applied the symmetry condition and $E\{X^2\} = 1$. The roles of f'_R and f'_L are seen clearly from these five lines.

Finally, notice that: (1) If $f(x)$ is Lipschitz continuous at x_0 with L as its Lipschitz constant, then $|L_\sigma f(x_0)| \leq L$; (2) The random variable involved can be replaced by any suitable distribution with a compact support, since we have not used the positivity condition.

REFERENCE

1. C. W. Groetsch, Lanczos' generalized derivative, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **105** (1998) 320–326.

Computational and Applied Mathematics, UCLA, 7354 Math Sciences Building, Los Angeles, CA 90095
 jhshen@math.ucla.edu

A Stability Theorem

Walter Rudin

In 1968 I proved a theorem (stated below) about zeros of holomorphic functions in a polydisc [2, p. 87] which was later, in [1], referred to, much to my surprise, as a “cornerstone” of multivariable stability theory. The authors of [1] pointed out, quite correctly, that my proof used quite a bit of homotopy theory, and they proceeded to prove the theorem by a sequence of more elementary steps. The present note contains an even easier proof, which is also much shorter, and which relies only on very simple properties of the index (or winding number) of a plane curve around the origin.

The following notation will be used. \mathbf{C} is the complex plane, $\mathbf{C}^* = \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is the set of all nonzero complex numbers, U and \bar{U} are the open and closed unit discs in \mathbf{C} , respectively, and T is the unit circle. For $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbf{C}^n = \mathbf{C} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{C}, \quad U^n = U \times \cdots \times U, \quad T^n = T \times \cdots \times T;$$

each of these cartesian products has n factors. The torus T^n is the so-called *distinguished boundary* of U^n ; it is a small (n -dimensional) part of the whole $(2n - 1)$ -dimensional boundary of the polydisc U^n .

$A(U^n)$ is the class of all continuous $f: \bar{U}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ that are holomorphic in U^n .

If now $\Gamma: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$ is continuous and $\Gamma(2\pi) = \Gamma(0)$ (so that $\Gamma([0, 2\pi])$ is a closed curve in \mathbf{C}^*) then there exists a continuous real-valued function α on $[0, 2\pi]$ such that

$$\Gamma(\theta) = |\Gamma(\theta)| \exp\{2\pi i \alpha(\theta)\} \quad (0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi). \quad (1)$$

Since $\Gamma(2\pi) = \Gamma(0)$, $\alpha(2\pi) - \alpha(0)$ is an integer (positive, negative, or 0). This is the *index* of Γ :

$$\text{Ind } \Gamma = \alpha(2\pi) - \alpha(0). \quad (2)$$

Note that $\text{Ind } \Gamma$ is independent of the particular choice of α .)

We need the following properties of the index.

(I) Suppose $(s, \theta) \rightarrow \Gamma_s(\theta)$ is a continuous map from $[0, 1] \times [0, 2\pi]$ into \mathbf{C}^* , and $\Gamma_s(2\pi) = \Gamma_s(0)$ for all s . Then $\text{Ind } \Gamma_s$ is the same for all s .

The reason is simply that $\text{Ind } \Gamma_s$ is a *continuous* function of s . Being integer-valued, this function is constant on the connected set $[0, 1]$.

(II) If $G: \bar{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$ is continuous and if we define $G|_T(\theta) = G(e^{i\theta})$ ($0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$) then $\text{Ind } G|_T = 0$.

To deduce this from (I) put $\Gamma_s(\theta) = G(se^{i\theta})$ and note that $\Gamma_1 = G|_T, \Gamma_0$ is the constant $G(0)$.

(III) If $h \in A(U)$ and $h(T) \subset \mathbf{C}^*$ then $\text{Ind } h|_T$ is equal to the number of zeros of h in U .

This is the classical “argument principle” of complex analysis.

Theorem. Suppose $\Phi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n)$ is a continuous map of \bar{U} into \bar{U}^n that carries T into T^n , such that

$$\text{Ind } \varphi_j|_T > 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq n \quad (3)$$

Put $K = \Phi(\bar{U})$. Then

$$f(T^n \cup K) = f(\bar{U}^n) \quad (4)$$

for every $f \in A(U^n)$.

Proof: Assume $f(z) \neq 0$ for every $z \in T^n \cup K$. We show that $f(z) \neq 0$ for every $z \in \bar{U}^n$. This implies the theorem, and shows why the term “stability” was used in this connection.

Fix $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \bar{U}^n$. Let $\text{Ind } \varphi_j|_T = m_j$. There exist $c_j \in \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$c_j^{m_j} = a_j \quad (1 \leq j \leq n). \quad (5)$$

Since $m_j > 0$, $|c_j| \leq 1$. Define

$$h(\lambda) = f\left(\left(\frac{\lambda + c_1}{1 + \overline{c_1}\lambda}\right)^{m_1}, \dots, \left(\frac{\lambda + c_n}{1 + \overline{c_n}\lambda}\right)^{m_n}\right) \quad (6)$$

for $\lambda \in \bar{U}$. Then $h \in A(U)$, $h(T) \subset \mathbf{C}^*$, $h(0) = f(a)$. Hence $f(a) \neq 0$ follows from

$$\text{Ind } h|_T = 0 \quad (7)$$

because of (III).

Since $(f \circ \Phi)(\bar{U}) = f(K) \subset \mathbf{C}^*$, by assumption, (II) shows that

$$\text{Ind } f \circ \Phi|_T = 0. \quad (8)$$

There are continuous real-valued functions α_j, β_j such that

$$\varphi_j(e^{i\theta}) = \exp\{2\pi i\alpha_j(\theta)\}, \quad \left(\frac{e^{i\theta} + c_j}{1 + \overline{c_j}e^{i\theta}} \right)^{m_j} = \exp\{2\pi i\beta_j(\theta)\}$$

on $[0, 2\pi]$. Note that

$$\alpha_j(2\pi) - \alpha_j(0) = m_j = \beta_j(2\pi) - \beta_j(0). \quad (9)$$

Define

$$\gamma_{j,s}(\theta) = s\alpha_j(\theta) + (1-s)\beta_j(\theta) \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1, 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi) \quad (10)$$

and let $\Psi_s: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow T^n$ be the map whose j^{th} component is $\exp\{2\pi i\gamma_{j,s}(\theta)\}$. Then

$$\Psi_s(2\pi) = \Psi_s(0) \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1), \quad (11)$$

$\Psi_s(T) \subset T^n$, hence $f(\Psi_s(T)) \subset \mathbf{C}^*$, and now (I) shows that

$$\text{Ind } f \circ \Psi_1 = \text{Ind } f \circ \Psi_0. \quad (12)$$

Since $f \circ \Phi|_T = f \circ \Psi_1$ and $h|_T = f \circ \Psi_0$, (12) and (8) imply (7). \blacksquare

Remarks. (i) The simplest example of a Φ as in the theorem is $\Phi(\lambda) = (\lambda, \lambda, \dots, \lambda)$. Then K is a disc (2-dimensional), $\dim(T^n \cup K) = n$, whereas $\dim U^n = 2n$.

(ii) It is not necessary for Φ to map U into the interior U^n of $\overline{U^n}$. For example, when $n = 2$,

$$\Phi(re^{i\theta}) = \begin{cases} (2re^{i\theta}, 0) & (0 \leq r \leq 1/2) \\ (e^{i\theta}, 2r - 1) & (1/2 \leq r \leq 1) \end{cases}$$

will do nicely.

(iii) The hypothesis “ $m_j > 0$ for all j ” cannot be omitted. To see this, take $n = 2$, $\Phi(\lambda) = (\lambda, \bar{\lambda})$. Then $m_1 = 1$, $m_2 = -1$. If $f(z, w) = 1 + 4zw$, then $|f| \geq 1$ on $T^2 \cup \Phi(\overline{U})$ but $f(\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}) = 0$.

For another example, take $\Phi(\lambda) = (\lambda, 1)$, so that $m_1 = 1$, $m_2 = 0$, and put $f(z, w) = 2w - 1$. Then $|f| \geq 1$ on $T^2 \cup \Phi(\overline{U})$ but $f(z, 1/2) = 0$ for all z .

However, the hypothesis “ $m_j > 0$ for all j ” can be replaced by “ $m_j < 0$ for all j ” because the theorem can then be applied to $\Phi(\bar{\lambda})$ in place of $\Phi(\lambda)$.

REFERENCES

1. Ph. Delsarte, Y. Genin, Y. Kamp, A simple proof of Rudin's multivariable stability theorem, Philips Research Laboratory Report R412, Brussels, Dec. 1979.
2. W. Rudin, *Function Theory in Polydiscs*, Benjamin, 1969.

University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706
recep@math.wisc.edu