DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS AND DIFFERENTIAL FORMS. LOW DIMENSIONAL HAMILTONIAN SYSTEMS

S. P. NOVIKOV

Dedicated to Misha Brin

Abstract: *The theory of differential forms began with a discovery of Poincaré who found conservation laws of a new type for Hamiltonian systems — The Integral Invariants. Even in the absence of non-trivial integrals of motion, there exist invariant differential forms: a symplectic two-form, or a contact one-form for geodesic flows. Some invariant forms can be naturally considered as "forms on the quotient." As a space, this quotient may be very bad in the conventional topological sense. These considerations lead to an analog of the de Rham cohomology theory for manifolds carrying smooth dynamical system. The cohomology theory for quotients, called "basic cohomology" in the literature, appears naturally in our approach. We define also new exotic cohomology groups associated with the so-called cohomological equation in dynamical systems and find exact sequences connecting them with the cohomology of quotients. Explicit computations are performed for geodesic and horocycle flows of compact surfaces of constant negative curvature. Are these famous systems Hamiltonian for a 3D manifold with a Poisson structure? Below, we discuss exotic Poisson structures on 3-manifolds having complicated Anosov-type Casimir foliations. We prove that horocycle flows are Hamiltonian for such exotic structures. The geodesic flow is non-Hamiltonian in the 3D sense.*

1. Dynamical Systems and Differential Forms. Exact sequences

In our previous work [1], we studied various metric independent cohomology groups defined by subcomplexes in the de Rham complex of differential forms $\Lambda^*(M)$ with differentials of the form $d_A = d + A$ for a 0-order operator A acting on differential forms. In particular, the following two examples were treated:

1. The operator d_ω defined by

$$d_\omega(u) = du + \omega \wedge u,$$

or a family of such differentials $d_{\lambda\omega}, \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. Such families were first considered in 1986 in order to find a correct $\mathbb{Z}_2$-graded analog of Morse inequalities for vector fields extending the "fermionic technic" invented by Witten (see the references in [1]). In this "metric dependent version," we considered the behavior of the zero modes

$$b_\infty^\pm = \max_{g_{ij}} \limsup_{|\lambda| \to \infty} b^\pm(\lambda), \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

for the family of operators $(d_{\lambda\omega} + d_{\lambda\omega}^*)^2$ acting on the spaces of even and odd differential forms $\Lambda^\pm(M)$. Here the form ω corresponds to a vector field X via $\omega_i = g_{ij}X^j$. We proved that

$$b_\infty^\pm \leq X^\pm$$

where $X^\pm$ are the numbers of critical points $X = 0$ with signs $\pm$.

In the metric independent version, the subcomplex $\Lambda_\Omega \subset \Lambda^*(M)$ is defined by the equations

$$\Omega \wedge u = 0, \quad \Omega = d\omega$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. For $\lambda = 0$, we have the usual operator d restricted to the smaller subcomplex $\Lambda_\Omega \subset \Lambda^*(M)$. This complex appears naturally on any non-degenerate energy level $H = const$ in a (non-compact) symplectic manifold (M, Ω) with exact symplectic form $\Omega = d\omega$ (and on all contact manifolds (M', ω) as well). In particular, on a 3-manifold $M_c \subset M$ with $\Omega_c = \Omega|_{M_c}$ one gets

$$\Lambda_\Omega^0 = 0, \qquad \Lambda_\Omega^j = \Lambda^j(M), j = 2, 3,$$

and $u \in \Lambda_\Omega^1 \subset \Lambda^1(M)$ if and only if $(u, X) = 0$. Here X is the Reeb vector field for the form Ω on M, and $(u, X) = i_X(u)$ is the scalar product (pairing) of a 1-form and a vector field.

2. Another special case of the operator A considered in [1] is the following. For a vector field X, the operator $i_X : \Lambda^k \to \Lambda^{k-1}$ is defined on a differential k-form locally written as $v = \sum v_{i_1, i_2 \ldots i_, k} dx^{i_1} \wedge \ldots \wedge dx^{i_k}$ by the "index-summation" formula

$$(X, v) = i_X(v)_{i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1}} = X^i v_{i, i_1, \ldots, i_{k-1}}.$$

This operator satisfies $i_X^2 = 0$ so that

$$Im(i_X) \subset Ker(i_X) \subset \Lambda^*(M).$$

The square $(d+i_X)^2 = \nabla_X$ of the operator $d+i_X$ is the Lie derivative acting on differential forms. Its kernel

$$(d + i_X)^2(u) = \nabla_X(u) = 0$$

is the subspace $\Lambda^*_{inv} \subset \Lambda^*(M)$ of differential forms invariant under the time shifts of the dynamical system $dx^i/dt = X^i(x)$. In this case, the homology of the operator $d + A$ is called the X-invariant homology. The whole pencil $d + \lambda \nabla_X$ is useful here. For a collection of commuting vector fields $X_1, ..., X_p$ there is a multivariable pencil $d + \sum_i \lambda_i \nabla_{X_i}$ where the λ_i's are independent commuting variables. Such constructions have been used to study actions of compact abelian groups (tori). The so-called **equivariant homology** can be defined using this pencil.

Let us define two subspaces $Im(i_X), Ker(i_X) \subset \Lambda^k(M)$ consisting of all C^∞ differential k-forms u such that

$$i_X(u) = (u, X) = 0$$

for both subspaces, and $u = (v, X)$ for $u \in Im(i_X)$

For example, it was shown in [1] that the subcomplex $\Lambda_{\Omega_c} \subset \Lambda^*(M_c)$ for the 3-manifold M_c discussed above has the form

$$0 \to \Lambda^1_X \to \Lambda^2(M_c) \to \Lambda^3(M_c) \to 0$$

with the differential $d_{\lambda\omega}$. For $\lambda = 0$, it is equal to the usual d.

A similar statement is valid in the case of $2k+1$-dimensional energy levels $M_c \subset M$ in a $2k + 2$-dimensional symplectic manifold. Instead of the form ω_c we take the form $\omega' = \Omega_c^{k-1} \wedge \omega$, and instead of $\Omega_c, \Lambda_{\Omega_c}$ we take

$$\Omega' = \Omega_c^k = d(\omega'), \Lambda_{\Omega'}, d_{\lambda\omega'}.$$

Here we have (see [1])

$$\Lambda^0_{\Omega'} = 0, \ \Lambda^1_{\Omega'} = \Lambda^1_X, \ \Lambda^j_{\Omega'} = \Lambda^j(M), j \geq 2,$$

where X is the Reeb vector field on an energy level in (M, Ω). The case when $\lambda = 0$ (i.e. when the standard de Rham operator d acts on a non-standard subcomplex) was addressed in [1].

Let X be a smooth vector field on a manifold M, and let

$$\nabla^k_X : \Lambda^k(M) \to \Lambda^k(M)$$

be the Lie derivative along this field acting on the space of C^∞-differential k-forms. We have

$$\nabla^0_X(f) = X^i \partial_i f, \qquad f \in C^\infty(M),$$

$$\nabla^k_X(u) = d(u, X) + (du, X) = (d + i_X)^2(u).$$

The same operator acting on the subspace of $u \in \Lambda_X^k(M)$ such that $(u, X) = 0$ is denoted by $\nabla_X^{k,*} = \nabla_X^k|_{(u,X)=0}$. For $k = 0$, we always have $(f, X) = 0$. So $\nabla_X^{0,*} = \nabla_X^0$. For $k = n$, we have $\nabla_X^{n,*} = 0$.

Definitions:

1. We say that a k-form $u \in \Lambda^k(M)$ lies in the factor-space $\Lambda^k(M/X)$ if $\nabla_X^k(u) = 0$ and $(u, X) = 0$. In the literature, such forms are called basic forms for the orbit foliation. By definition, any such form u is invariant, that is, $u \in \Lambda_{inv}^*(M)$.

2. We say that a k-form u lies in the subspace Λ_X^k if $(u, X) = 0$ so that

$$\Lambda^*(M/X) = \Lambda_{inv}^* \bigcap \Lambda_X^*.$$

Let us further define the spaces

$$Z^k = Ker(d) \subset \Lambda^k(M) \text{ and } H_X^k = Z^k/d(\Lambda_X^{k-1}).$$

For $k = 1$, our definition implies that $H_X^1 = H^1(M)$ because $\Lambda_X^0 = C^\infty(M)$.

3. As it was mentioned above, the invariant de Rham complex $\Lambda_{inv}^*(M)$ can be identified with the kernel

$$(d + i_X)^2(\Lambda_{inv}^*(M)) = 0.$$

The subcomplexes Λ_{inv} and $\Lambda(M/X)$ with the standard operator d define **the invariant homology groups (ring) and the homology groups of the factor-space** M/X. Equivariant homology based on pencils such as $d + \lambda\nabla_X$ can also be studied. The "Massey operations type" spectral sequences for this homology can be easily defined using power series expansions at $\lambda = 0$ in a way similar to the previous work of the present author (see the references in [1]). We obviously have $\Lambda^n(M/X) = 0$. (Here n is the dimension of M.)

Actually, the factor-space M/X may be very bad. In particular, its ring of C^∞-functions $\Lambda^0(M/X) = Ker(\nabla_X^0) \subset C^\infty(M)$ contains only constants for an ergodic flow X. This is true even for the Hilbert space $L_2(M)$. At the same time, the de Rham complex $(\Lambda^*(M/X), d)$ may still be nontrivial.

Example 1. For an ergodic straight-line flow X on the torus $M = T^n$ (i.e., having generic fully irrational angles), the complex $\Lambda^*(M/X)$ consists of constant k-forms u such that $(u, X) = 0$. The invariant complex consists of all constant forms $u \in \Lambda^*(M)$. The dimensions of the homology groups are

$$\dim(H^k(T^n/X)) = (n-1)!/k!(n-k-1)! = \dim(\Lambda^k(T^n/X)))$$

for the factor-space. The entire cohomology of the torus is invariant, i.e., $H^*(T^n) = H^*_{inv}(T^n)$.

Example 2. Consider a $2n$-dimensional completely integrable Hamiltonian system (M, X) with compact common level sets of commuting integrals. Generically, these sets are n-tori with straight-line flows. We obtain a non-trivial ring of differential forms on the (usual topological) n-dimensional factor-space whose points correspond to the orbits of all the commuting flows. However, the ring $\Lambda^*(M/X)$ is much bigger. Its elements look like forms on the usual topological factor-space with values in the sheaf whose fibres are the spaces $H^*(T^n/X)$ for the generic levels of commuting integrals. These levels are generically Liouville tori T^n considered in the previous example. However, degenerations of these tori may seriously affect the structure of that sheaf. There exists a special case in which the "action variables" (canonically adjoint to the "angles") are globally well-defined smooth functions $S_1, \ldots, S_n$ on the symplectic manifold M. This case was frequently considered by geometers. Here we get a global Hamiltonian action of the compact abelian group $G = T^n$. This special case looks easier. I believe that one can extract all calculations for this case from the studies of symplectic geometers of the last two decades. However, this literature has never considered anything which cannot be reduced to the actions of compact groups, even completely integrable systems with compact generic tori.

Example 3. Let $M_c = (H = c) \subset M$ be an energy level as above, and X the Reeb vector field (i.e., the Hamiltonian flow on M_c). We have $\Omega \in \Lambda_{inv}(M)$, $i_X(\Omega_c) = (\Omega_c, X) = 0$ on M_c, and $\nabla_X(\Omega) = 0$. So the forms Ω_c^j lie in $\Lambda^*(M_c/X)$, and Ω lies in $\Lambda_{inv}(M)$.

For the special case of a geodesic flow, we have

$$M = T_*(Q), \ H = \sqrt{g^{ij}p_i p_j}, \ M_c = (H = c > 0), \ \Omega = \sum dp_i \wedge dx^i.$$

The 1-form $\omega = \sum p_i dx^i$ is X-invariant, that is, $\omega \in \Lambda_{inv}(M)$. All forms $\Omega_c^j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, are in $\Lambda^*(M_c/X)$. This result is valid for all Hamiltonian functions $H(p, x)$ of Maupertuis–Fermat–Jacobi type (i.e., such that $H(sp, x) = sH(p, x), s > 0$, as in the case of a Riemannian or Finsler length, maybe with an additional magnetic term).

To justify our definitions above, we need the following easy lemma.

Lemma 1. 1. *For any C^∞-manifold M and a smooth vector field X on it, the subspaces of differential forms $\Lambda^*_{inv}(M)$, $\Lambda^*(M/X) \subset \Lambda^*(M)$ are closed under the action of the de Rham operator d. 2. The subspace Λ_X is closed under the action of the Lie derivative $\nabla^k_X : \Lambda^k \to \Lambda^k$*

(we denote this operator acting on Λ_X by ∇_X^*). The image of $\nabla_X^{k,*}$ is contained in $Im(i_X) \subset \Lambda_X^{k,*}$.

Proof. For any $u \in \Lambda_X^*$, we have $\nabla_X^k(u) = d(u,X) + (du,X)$ where $(u,X) = 0$ and $(du,X) = i_X(du) \in Im(i_X) \subset Ker(i_X)$. This proves the second statement. For the factor-space we have $(u,X) = 0$ and $\nabla_X(u) = d(u,X) + (du,X) = 0$ by definition. So we conclude that $(du,X) = 0$. Our statement is obvious for invariant forms. We also have $\nabla^k(u) = (du,X) + d(u,X) = i_X(du)$ if $(u,X) = 0$. The lemma is proved.

As was explained to the present author by Sabir Gusein-Zade (see [9]), we can essentially use a result from [8]. For any Riemannian metric, the operator i_X is dual to the operator of exterior multiplication by the 1-form $*X$ on $\Lambda^*(M)$. The homology of this operator was studied in [8] for a closed 1-form but in fact this restriction is superfluous: all the proofs work for non-closed 1-forms as well. The factor-groups $Ker(i_X)/Im(i_X)$ vanish for all $k > 0$. For $k = 0$, they are equal to $\mathbb{R}^l$ where l is the sum of the "Milnor indices" of all critical points $X = 0$ assuming that these points are isolated and have finite type (i.e., all Milnor indices are finite). In the non-degenerate case, the number l is simply equal to the number of critical points. We assume below that our vector field X is of finite type. It would be nice to extend these results to the case when the critical points $X = 0$ are "regular enough."

We are going to study the correct irreducible C^∞-analog of the cohomological equation $\nabla_X^0(f) = g$ for differential forms. By definition, the **Irreducible Higher Cohomological Equation** is

$$\nabla_X^{k,*}(u) = v,$$

where $u \in \Lambda_X^k$ and $v \in i_X(\Lambda^{k+1}(M)) \subset \Lambda_X^k$. Let us also introduce the factor-spaces

$$C_X^k = Im(i_X)/Im(\nabla_X^{k,*}) \subset Coker(\nabla_X^{k,*})$$

associated with the higher cohomological equation.

By definition, we have $Coker(\nabla_X^n) = \Lambda^n(M)/Im(\nabla_X^n) = H_X^n$ for $n > 1$ because $\nabla_X^n(u) = d(u,X)$, and $Im(i_X) = Ker(i_X)$ in all positive dimensions.

Proposition 1. *The following spaces of C^∞ differential forms are isomorphic for any smooth vector field X:*

$$H_X^n = Coker(\nabla_X^n) = C_X^{n-1} = Im(i_X)/\nabla_X^{n-1}(\Lambda_X^{n-1}).$$

For a measure-preserving flow on a manifold, we have $H_X^n = Coker(\nabla_X^0)$. For non-vanishing vector fields (such as geodesic flows on $T_1(N) = M$)

we have $C_X^k = Coker(\nabla_X^{k,*})$ for all $k \geq 0$ because $Ker(i_X) = Im(i_X)$ in this case. For generic vector fields X with non-degenerate isolated critical points $X = 0$, the subspaces C_X^k and $Coker(\nabla_X^{k,*})$ coincide for all $k > 0$. For $k = 0$, the subspace C_X^0 has finite codimension in $Coker(\nabla_X^0)$ equal to the number of critical points (i.e., $Im(i_X) \subset C^\infty(M)$ consists of all functions that vanish at the points where $X = 0$).

The proof of this proposition uses the exact sequences introduced below and a byproduct of the result of [8] mentioned above. The latter allows us to calculate the spaces $Ker(i_X)/Im(i_X)$ for vector fields X of finite type. In particular, all these spaces are trivial for non-vanishing vector fields. For vector fields with generic singularities (and even with "finite type" isolated singularities) these spaces are trivial in all positive dimensions $k > 0$. For $k = 0$, each critical point gives a contribution isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ (in the generic case).

In the classical ergodic theory, the spaces $Ker(\nabla_X^0)$ and $Coker(\nabla_X^0)$ have been considered in the Hilbert space $L^2(M)$. Probably the first author who investigated these operators in specific Sobolev spaces of smooth functions on Riemann surfaces was G.Forni (see [2]). The space $Ker(\nabla_X^0)$ for a measure-preserving flow (such as a Hamiltonian one) in the ergodic case consists of constants only but the space $Coker_X^0$ is very complicated (see below). In the measure-preserving case, the isomorphism

$$f \to f\sigma, f \in C^\infty(M), \sigma \in \Lambda_{inv}^n(M)$$

gives an identification $\nabla_X^0 = \nabla_X^n$.

Let us emphasize here that we are working with *smooth* forms and functions (at least, the image $\nabla_X^0(f)$ should consist of continuous functions and forms in our constructions). Our theorem is valid for C^∞ differential forms only.

We have already introduced the important subspaces $C_X^k \subset Coker(\nabla_X^k)$ entering in our main theorems. They are defined by setting

$$C_X^k = Im(i_X)/Im(\nabla_X^{k,*}) \subset Coker(\nabla_X^{k,*}) = \Lambda_X^k/\nabla_X^k(\Lambda_X^k).$$

Theorem 1. *For any smooth vector field X on an n-manifold M and every $k = -1, 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, the following canonical exact sequence is defined:*

$$0 \to Z^k(M/X) \to Ker(\nabla_X^{k,*}) \to Z^{k+1}(M/X) \to$$

$$\to H_X^{k+1} \to C_X^k \to H_X^{k+2} \to H^{k+2}(M) \to 0,$$

where $\nabla_X^k : \Lambda_X^k \to \Lambda_X^k$, $(u, X) = 0$ for all $u \in \Lambda_X^k$, and $Ker(\nabla_X^k) = \Lambda^k(M/X)$. This exact sequence can also be represented in the following

form

$$0 \to H^{k+1}(M/X) \to H^{k+1}_X \to C^k_X \to H^{k+2}_X \to H^{k+2}(M) \to 0.$$

Proof. Let us define the required homomorphisms.

1. Every k-form $u \in Z^k(M/X)$ satisfies $du = 0$ and $(u, X) = 0$ by definition, so $\nabla^k_X(u) = (du, X) \pm d(u, X) = 0$. The definition of an imbedding

$$m_* : Z^k(M/X) \subset \Lambda^k(M/X) = Ker(\nabla^{k,*}_X)$$

is therefore obvious.

2. The operator d defines a map

$$d_* : Ker(\nabla^{k,*}_X) \to Z^{k+1}(M).$$

However, $du \in Z^{k+1}(M/X)$ because $(du, X) = 0$ and $d(u, X) = 0$. This follows from the properties of the Lie derivative already used before.

3. The map $i_* : Z^{k+1}(M/X) \to H^{k+1}_X$ is just the natural imbedding of cocycles

$$i_* : u \to u + d(\Lambda^k_X).$$

4. The map $j_* : H^{k+1}_X \to C^k_X \subset Coker(\nabla^{k,*}_X)$ is defined by setting

$$j_* : u \to v = (u, X) = i_X(u) + \nabla^{k,*}_X(\Lambda^k_X).$$

5. The map $h_* : C^k_X \to H^{k+2}_X$ is defined by

$$h_* : v = (u, X) \to du + d(\Lambda^{k+1}_X).$$

6. The last map $g_* : H^{k+2}_X \to H^{k+2}(M)$ is defined in the trivial natural way.

Let us show now that this sequence is exact.

1. The cocycle property $d_*(u) = 0$ obviously implies that $u \in Z^k(M)$. By using $(u, X) = 0$ and $du = 0$, we conclude that $u \in Z^k(M/X)$, i.e., $du = (u, X) = 0$ and $\nabla^k_X(u) = d(u, X) \pm (du, X) = 0$. Thus, $Ker(d_*) = Im(m_*)$.

2. $Ker(i_*) = Im(d_*)$ because i_* is the natural map of cocycles onto cohomology classes in H^*_X. For $u \in Ker(i_*)$, we have $u = dv, v \in \Lambda^k_X$. So we have $[u] = d_*[v]$ for the corresponding classes.

3. As $u \in Ker(j_*)$, it follows that $v = (u, X) = \nabla^k_X(w) = d(w, X) \pm (dw, X)$, where $(w, X) = 0$. So we have $v = (dw, X$. We see that $(u - dw, X) = 0$. Hence, u is equivalent to an element from $Im(i_*)$ in H^{k+1}_X.

4. Suppose that $v \in Ker(h_*)$ and $(u, X) = v, u \in \Lambda^{k+1}(M)$. This implies that $du = dq, q \in \Lambda^{k+1}_X$. Replace u by $u' = u - q$ with $du' = 0$. Thus, $v = j_*(u')$, and hence $Ker(h_*) = Im(j_*)$.

5. Take $a \in Ker(g_*)$. This means that $da = 0$ and $a = db$. We see that $a = h_*(v)$ for $v = (b, X)$.

6. The last map $H_X^{k+2} \to H^{k+2}(M)$ is obviously an epimorphism. The theorem is proved.

Proof of Proposition 1 *above.* Our exact sequence for $k = n - 1$ yields an isomorphism

$$\{0 = H^n(M/X)\} \to \{H_X^n = Coker(\nabla_X^n)\} \to C_X^{n-1} \to \{H_X^{n+2} = 0\}.$$

Taking into account the isomorphism $Coker(\nabla_X^n) = Coker(\nabla_X^0)$ for a measure-preserving flow with an invariant C^∞ volume form σ, we see that our assertion is proved.

2. Riemann Surfaces and Hamiltonian Systems

Consider now a compact nonsingular complex algebraic curve (Riemann surface) M and any generic holomorphic one-form $\omega = \omega' + i\omega''$ on it. The equation $dH = \omega' = 0$ defines a Hamiltonian foliation. Any smooth nonzero 2-form Ω defines a flow with vector field X and multivalued Hamiltonian H such that $(\omega', X) = (dH, X) = 0$. Therefore $\nabla_X^{1,*}(\omega') = 0$ and $\omega' \in \Lambda^1(M/X)$ where $d\omega' = 0$. It is easy to see that no other closed invariant one-form exists if the genus g of M is ≥ 2. For $g = 1$, there is exactly one more real closed invariant constant one-form ω'' but it does not belong to the space $\Lambda^1(M/X)$. In the generic case, the forms ω', ω'' have exactly $2g - 2$ simple zeroes defining the saddle points of our system, and the system is ergodic. Every function of the form $\nabla_X^0(f) = X^i d_i f$, $i = 1, 2$, vanishes at all saddle points as well as the space $Im(i_X) \subset Ker(i_X) = C^\infty(M) = \Lambda^0(M)$. The space C_X^0 is infinite dimensional. We prove

Corollary 1. *There is the following exact sequence for a Hamiltonian system defined by a generic Riemann surface M and a holomorphic one-form ω:*

$$0 \to \{R = H^1(M/X)\} \to \{R^{2g} = H^1(M)\} \to C_X^0 \to$$
$$\to \{H_X^2 = Coker(\nabla_X^0) \to \{R = H^2(M)\} \to 0.$$

The operator

$$h_* : C_X^0 \to H_X^2(M)$$

is a Fredholm ("Noetherian") operator with finite dimensional spaces $Ker(h_*)$ and $Coker(h_*)$ whose dimensions are equal to $2g - 1$ and 1, respectively. The index of this operator is equal to the Euler characteristic of the Riemann surface, that is, $-index(h_*) = 2 - 2g$. In particular, for $g \geq 2$ we have $\dim(Coker(\nabla_X^0)) = \infty$ for this system in the space of C^∞ functions.

Remark 1. Even the case $g = 1$ might present some difficulties. For a generic slope α, we need to invert the operator

$$A = \nabla_X^0 = \partial_x + \alpha \partial_y : C^\infty(T^2) \to C^\infty(T^2),$$

where x, y are defined modulo 1 on the torus $T^2 = M$. For generic non-Liouville numbers

$$|\alpha - m/n| > const_p(n)^{-p}$$

where $p > 2$, we see that this operator is invertible on the subspace consisting of $f \in V \subset C^\infty$ such that $\iint_{T^2} f(x, y)\, dx\, dy = 0$. Indeed, the Fourier coefficients $a_{m,n}$ tend to zero with superpower speed as $m^2 + n^2 \to \{\infty\}$. For the C^∞-function

$$h = Af = \sum_{)m,n)\neq 0} a_{m,n} \exp\{2\pi i(mx + ny)\},$$

we have small denominators for $f = A^{-1}(g)$, namely,

$$f = \sum 1/(2\pi i) a_{m,n}(mx + ny)^{-1}.$$

For a generic number α, this series converges to a C^∞-function f, so we have $Coker(\nabla_X^0) = \mathbb{R}$. However, we may have $\dim(Coker(\nabla_X^0)) = \infty$ for the exceptional numbers α with exponentially fast rational approximation.

For generic flows X of this kind, Forni [2] investigated the image of the operator ∇_X^0 in the Sobolev spaces $H^{r-1}(M)$ for some natural number $r \geq 1$ (as he claims, one can take $r = 5$). For functions f from that space (compactly supported outside of the singularities of X), he proved the existence of a solution in the Sobolev space of distributions $H_{loc}^{-r}(M \setminus (X = 0))$ with suitable estimates of the norm. For every number $s > 2r - 1$, there exist a finite number of X-invariant distributions $D_q \in H^{-s}(M), q = 1, ..., n_s$ such that for every smooth function $f \in H^s(M)$ compactly supported in $M \setminus (X = 0)$, satisfying the linear restrictions $D_q(f) = 0$ for all $q = 1, ..., n_s$, there exists a solution $u \in H^{s-2r+1}(M)$ with suitable estimates. The number n_s is not effective. Forni has also investigated the space of X-invariant distributions in negative Sobolev spaces.

In the community of people working in dynamical systems, the differential equation $\nabla_X^0(u) = f$ is called "cohomological." Whatever it might mean, it has nothing to do with usual cohomology: this terminology originated from the study of systems with discrete time where the equation has a group-theoretic "cohomological" form $g(Tx) - g(x) = f$.

The work [2] is based on some hard analysis. Our arguments are very simple and general. They look as the simplest natural analog of

the de Rham cohomology theory for dynamical systems. By definition, they work for C^∞-forms only.

To prove the corollary, let us denote the space $Coker(\nabla_X^0)$ by W and rewrite our exact sequence in the form

$$0 \to \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{2g} \to C_X^0 \to W \to \mathbb{R} \to 0.$$

For $g = 1$ and a non-Liouville slope α, we have $\dim(W) = 1$. For $g \geq 2$, this exact sequence cannot be realized by finite-dimensional spaces because the index of the operator $h_* : C_X^0 \to W$ is strictly positive whereas $\dim(C_X^0) \leq \dim(W)$ because $C_X^0 \subset W$. Our statement is proved.

Explicit Construction:

Our exact sequence combined with the isomorphism

$$v \to (v - \bar{v})\sigma$$

of the spaces $Coker(\nabla_X^0)/const$ and $Coker(\nabla_X^2)/\mathbb{R}$ plus the knowledge of the subspace $Ker(g_*) \subset H_X^1(M)$ leads to an explicit construction of the infinite-dimensional subspace $W' \subset W = Coker(\nabla_X^0)$. First of all, we construct the subspace $\mathbb{R}^{2g-1} = V_0 \subset W'$ as $V_0 = j_* H^1(M) \subset W$. We have $v_0 = (u_0, X) \in V_0$ for the harmonic forms $u_0 \in H^1(M)/(dH = \omega)'$ by the definition of the exact sequence. After that we take $v_0 \sigma$ for an invariant area form σ. This gives a natural realization of the isomorphism between $Coker(\nabla_X^0)$ and $H_X^2(M)$. To get the projection on the subspace of exact 2-forms, we calculate the integral mean $\bar{v}_0 = \iint_M v_0 \sigma$ and subtract it

$$v_0 \sigma \to (v_0 - \bar{v}_0)\sigma.$$

Next, solve the equation

$$du_1 = (v_0 - \bar{v}_0)\sigma.$$

By adding functions $v_1 = (u_1, X)$ to the subspace V_0, we obtain a larger subspace

$$V_1 = V_0 + A(V_0),$$

where $A(v_0) = v_1$ was constructed above. After that we apply to $v_1 \in A(V_0)$ the same procedure

$$v_1 \to v_2 = (d^{-1}[(v_1 - \bar{v}_1)\sigma)], X)$$

or $A(v_1) = (u_2, X)$ where $du_2 = (v_1 - \bar{v}_1)\sigma$. Iterating this construction, we obtain an infinite dimensional subspace

$$W' = V_0 + A(V_0) + \ldots + A^m(V_0) + \ldots \subset W$$

because all subspaces $A^m(V_0)$ are linearly independent in $W = Coker(\nabla^0_X)$. Each of them has dimension equal to the absolute value of the index of operator g_*, which is $2g - 2$.

3. HIGHER DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS

Consider now an orientable n-dimensional manifold M with a smooth flow (vector field) X. Using Theorem 1, we obtain the following result:

Corollary 2. *For $k = 1$, the exact sequence map $C^0_X \to H^2_X$ is a Fredholm operator whose index is equal to $b_1(M) - |H^1(M/X)| - b_2(M)$. The dimension of its kernel is equal to the difference $|H^1(M)| - |H^1(M/X)|$ where $|H^1(M)| = b_1(M)$, and the dimension of its cokernel is equal to $|H^2(M)| = b_2(M)$.*

For $k \geq 1$, the exact sequence from Theorem 1 takes the form

$$0 \to H^{k+1}(M/X) \to H^{k+1}_X \to C^k_X \to H^{k+2}_X \to H^{k+2}(M) \to 0.$$

For measure-preserving flows, we also have $H^n_X = Coker(\nabla^0_X) = Coker(\nabla^n_X)$, as it was pointed out before. This gives us the **cokernel complex** (C, d_C):

$$0 \to C^0_X \to C^1_X \to \ldots C^{n-1}_X \to 0$$

or

$$0 \to C^0 \to \ldots C^{n-1} \to 0.$$

The coboundary operator d_C extracted from the exact sequence of Theorem 1 above, can be expressed by the formula

$$d_C(v) = i_X d i_X^{-1}, \quad v \in C^j.$$

Theorem 2. *The cohomology groups H^i_C of the complex C can be described by the following long exact sequence:*

$$\ldots \to H^{k+1}(M/X) \to H^{k+1}(M) \to H^k_C \to H^{k+2}(M/X) \to H^{k+2}(M) \to \ldots$$

In particular, the maps $h_k : H^k_C \to H^{k+2}(M/X)$ are Fredholm operators, and their indices satisfy

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k \text{Index}\,(h_k) = 1 - b_1(M/X) - \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k b_k(M).$$

In order to prove this theorem, let us define all the homomorphisms involved. The map $H^j(M/X) \to H^j(M)$ is obvious because our complex $\Lambda^*(M/X)$ is a subcomplex in $\Lambda^*(M)$ with the same differential. The map $H^{j+1}(M) \to H^j_C$ is defined by setting $u \to i_X(u) = v$ for any representative of a cohomology class. The map $H^j_C \to H^{j+2}(M/X)$ is defined by setting $v \to d i_X^{-1}(v)$. This is well-defined because $C =$

$Im(i_X)/Im(\nabla_X^*)$. The theorem follows now from the same elementary arguments as the standard exact homological sequences in basic algebraic topology.

Example 4. Consider a level set $M_c : |p| = c > 0$ in a cotangent bundle $T_*(N)$. The geodesic flows on the manifold $T_*(N)$ are described by the Hamiltonians $H = 1/2|p|^2$ corresponding to Riemannian metrics. They are homogeneous functions of order two in the momentum variables. So in addition to the standard 2-form $\sum dp_i \wedge dx^i|_{H=c} = \Omega_c \in \Lambda^2(M_c/X)$, we also have the corresponding standard 1-form $\sum p_i dx^i|_{H=c} = \omega \in \Lambda_{inv}^1$ where $d\omega = \Omega_c$. However, $i_X(\omega) \neq 0$. Assuming that generically there are no other smooth forms in the complex $\Lambda^*(M_c/X)$, we have $H^{2j}(M_c/X) = \mathbb{R}, j = 1, ..., n-1$, but $H_{inv}^{2j}(M_c) = 0$. However, nobody has proved this statement yet. Performing a small potential perturbation $H' = 1/2|p|^2 + U(x)$, we remove the forms $\omega \wedge \Omega^j, j < n-1$, out of the space $\Lambda_{inv}^1(M_c)$. So the nontrivial invariant cohomology appears in the same even dimensions for the perturbed field X', i.e., $H_{inv}^{2j}(M_c) = \mathbb{R}$. For both fields X, X' we have $H_{inv}^{2n-1}(M_c) = \mathbb{R}$, where $n = \dim N$. At the moment, this "generic" statement is non-rigorous.

Example 5. Let $M_c \subset M$ be a compact energy level $H = c > 0$ in a symplectic $2n$-manifold. For generic Hamiltonians, the complex $\Lambda^*(M_c/X)$ consists of the powers $\Omega_c^j, j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, so we have $H^{2j}(M_c/X) = \mathbb{R}$. It is worth mentioning that the case of geodesics is non-generic, and an additional invariant one-form appear in the complex Λ_{inv}^*.

Example 6. Consider a compact symplectic $2n$-manifold (M, Ω) such that $H^1(M) \neq 0$. Every closed 1-form $\omega = dH$ defines a Hamiltonian flow with energy-foliation $\omega = 0$ or $H = const$. Here H is a multivalued function on M which becomes single-valued on some abelian covering $p : \hat{M} \rightarrow M, p^*(\omega) = dH$. Generically, we have $H^1(M/X) = \mathbb{R}$, generated by the class of ω. For 2-manifolds, this example was already discussed in Section 2 above. All forms Ω^j and $\omega \wedge \Omega^j$ lie in the invariant subcomplex Λ_{inv}^*. Let us prove the following result.

Lemma 2. *The forms $\mu_j = \omega \wedge \Omega^j$ lie in the subcomplex $\Lambda^*(M/X)$, i.e., they are invariant and such that $(\mu_j, X) = i_X(\mu_j) = 0$.*

Proof. In appropriate local coordinates, we have $\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} dx^i \wedge dp^i + dc \wedge dt$ and $H = c, \omega = dc$. So we conclude that $dc \wedge \Omega^j$ does not contain differential dt. The lemma is proved.

In the generic case, there are no additional forms in $\Lambda^*(M/X)$, so we conclude that generically the odd-dimensional homology groups $H^{odd}(M/X)$ are non-trivial.

Example 7. Let $M = T_1(M_g^2)$ be a space of unit linear elements on a closed surface of genus g with a metric of negative curvature. Its geodesic flow X is a strongly ergodic system (even a K-system). It was proved many years ago by Livshitz (see [3]) that the equation

$$\nabla_X^0(f) = g \in C^\infty(M)$$

is solvable for functions g whose integrals along closed geodesics are zero. The solution f belongs to the space $C^1(M)$ as it was proved in [3]. This result is true for all Anosov systems. For constant negative curvature surfaces N and $M = T^1(N)$, Kazhdan and Guillemin proved in [4] that the solution is in C^∞. The variable curvature case was settled in [5] and [6]. So the space $C_X^0 = C^\infty(M)/Im(\nabla_X^0)$ is infinite-dimensional. Every closed geodesic gives a nontrivial linear functional

$$I_\gamma : f \to \oint_\gamma f dl, f \in C^\infty(M)/Im(\nabla_X^0)$$

on the space C_X^0, and so we have infinite number of linearly independent functionals. How can one describe the topology of this factor-space? How does it depend on the discrete group? The answers to these questions are unknown.

Problem: Prove rigorously that generically (i.e., after a generic perturbation) there remains no invariant differential form except those described in the above examples.

4. Exotic Poisson Manifolds. Dynamical Systems on $PSL_2(R)/\Gamma$.

The most general class of C^∞ Hamiltonian systems is described by the following definition. Let M be a C^∞-manifold equipped with a Poisson tensor field. We call it a Poisson manifold. Locally the Poisson tensor can be written in the form $h^{ij}(x)$. The corresponding "Poisson bracket" of smooth function is defined locally by $\{f, g\} = h^{ij}(x)f_{x_i}g_{x_j}$ and satisfies the following relations:

$$\{f, g\} = -\{g, f\}, \ \{f, gh\} = h\{f, g\} + g\{f, h\}, \ \{\{f, g\}, h\} + (cyclic) = 0$$

In particular, we have $h^{ij} = \{x^i, x^j\}$ for the local coordinates x^i. In the non-degenerate ("symplectic") case, these conditions imply that the 2-form $\Omega = \sum h_{ij}(x)dx^i \wedge dx^j$, where $h_{ij}h^{jk} = \delta_i^k$, is closed.

The simplest natural classes of Hamiltonian systems correspond to single-valued Hamiltonian functions H by the formula

$$df/dt = \{f, H\}$$

for a function $f \in C^{\infty}(M)$. More general Hamiltonian systems are given by closed 1-forms dH by the same local formula

$$df/dt = \{f, H\},$$

where H is locally well-defined modulo an additive constant (which does not affect the equation). No other Hamiltonian system exists on a symplectic manifold M with non-degenerate Poisson tensor.

However, this is no longer true for more general Poisson manifolds with degenerate Poisson tensor. The Poisson analog of the Darboux theorem claims (see [11]) that for every point $x \in M$ there exists an open set $U \ni x$ and a local coordinate system $(x^1, \ldots, x^{2n}, y^1, \ldots, y^k)$ in U such that

$$\{x^i, x^{n+i}\} = 1, \{y^p, y^q\} = h^{pq}(y),$$

where $h^{pq}(0) = 0$, and the other Poisson brackets of coordinates are zero. Here the number $2n$ is equal to the rank of the Poisson matrix at the origin $x^i = 0, y^p = 0$. Let

$$h^{pq}(y) = C_r^{pq} y^r + O(|y|^2).$$

It is easy to prove the following

Lemma 3. *The finite-dimensional Lie algebra L defined by the structural constants C_r^{pq} with respect to a basis $e^1, \ldots, e^k$ so that*

$$[e^p, e^q] = C_r^{pq} e^r$$

is an invariant of the Poisson tensor at the point $x \in M$.

This Lie algebra invariant sometimes determines the Poisson structure completely, and the higher terms are inessential. This problem was studied in [7]. These results are especially effective for low dimensional Lie algebras.

A lot of people have studied special "Lie-Poisson" structures for which the Poisson tensor linearly depends on the coordinates. In this case $M = L^* = \mathbb{R}^k$, and the basis elements e^i can be viewed as linear functions on the manifold M. In particular, there exists a complete set of "Annihilators" or "Casimirs" which are single-valued functions $f_1, \ldots, f_t$ (homogeneous polynomials in the variables $e^1 = y^1, \ldots, e^k = y^k$) such that $\{f_m, y^p\} = 0$ for all $p = 1, 2, \ldots, k$. Any Casimir (even locally defined in some open set in M) is functionally dependent on this family (f_m). Casimirs define trivial Hamiltonian systems. They are integrals of motion for all hamiltonian systems in M. Their common levels $f_m = c_m$ define the so-called "Symplectic Foliation" of the Poisson manifold M.

What do we have for more complicated Poisson manifolds? How do Casimirs look like? In the simplest cases they are either one-valued functions (as in the Lie-Poisson manifolds $M = L^*$ above) or closed one-forms. The last case was realized in Quantum Solid State Physics. "Semiclassical" electrons move under the influence of a magnetic field in the space of quasimomenta $p \in M$. The latter is the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^3$ factorized by the reciprocal lattice, i.e., $M = T^3$. Its points numerate the quantum states of an electron when a branch of the energy dispersion relation is fixed and the magnetic field is absent. Switching on a constant magnetic field, we get a Poisson tensor $B = (B^{pq}) = const$. It has a multivalued Casimir (i.e., a constant one-form $*B$ on T^3 dual to the magnetic field B). As it is written in the physics literature, electrons move in the plane orthogonal to the magnetic field regarded as a vector $*B$. The Hamiltonian $\epsilon(p) : T^3 \to R$ is a single-valued Morse function in this case (it is the energy dispersion relation extracted from quantum theory). So the trajectories are the sections of the "Fermi-surface" $\{\epsilon(p) = \epsilon_F\} \subset T^3$ by the planes orthogonal to the magnetic field.

As A.Ya.Maltsev has pointed out to me, Casimirs might be more complicated than closed one-forms in general. Let us now consider the special class of Poisson tensors of constant rank $2n$.

Lemma 4. *Any Poisson tensor defines a completely integrable orientable foliation on the manifold M, which we call the "Casimir" or "annihilator" foliation, and a "symplectic" 2-form $\Omega \in \Lambda^2(M)$ such that the restriction of Ω on any leaf is non-degenerate and closed. This foliation is defined by the ideal $I \subset \Lambda^*(M)$ such that $dI \subset I$. It is generated by the collection of one-forms $\omega_j \in I$ equal to zero on every leaf $\omega_j = 0$. We have $d\Omega \in I$ and $d\omega_j \in I$, i.e., $dI \subset I$. Vice versa, every completely integrable foliation equipped with a 2-form Ω which is closed and non-degenerate on every leaf, defines a Poisson tensor. Two different 2-forms Ω' and Ω define the same Poisson tensor if $\Omega' - \Omega \in I$.*

Corollary 3. *Every orientable foliation with two-dimensional leaves defines at least one Poisson tensor. In this case, any two Poisson tensors with the same Casimir foliation are proportional to each other so that $h_1^{ij}(x) = h_2^{ij}(x)f(x)$ with $f(x) \neq 0$. Therefore, the corresponding Hamiltonian systems differ only by a time change and have the same trajectories.*

To prove this statement, we use a Riemannian metric. It defines an area element along the leaves which can be regarded as a 2-form $\Omega \in \Lambda^2(M)$ because everything is orientable. The restriction of this

2-form to the leaves is unique up to multiplication $\Omega \to g(x)\Omega$, where $g > 0$.

Let us discuss several important examples.

1. In a series of papers started in 1982 we investigated the following class of dynamical systems (see the survey in [12]):

A constant skew-symmetric $n \times n$-matrix B of rank 2 defines a Poisson structure on the n-torus $T^n = R^n/\Gamma$, where Γ is an Euclidean lattice of rank n. The kernel of the Poisson matrix consists of $n - 2$ linear functions $l_1, ..., l_{n-2}$. A Hamiltonian $H : T^n \to \mathbb{R}$ defines a system whose trajectories are intersections of the level sets $H = c$ with the 2-plane $l_1 - a_1, ..., l_{n-2} = a_{n-2}$. One might say that every trajectory is a level set of the quasiperiodic function H on the plane. This quasiperiodic function has n frequencies (quasiperiods). **What can one say about the topology of the levels of quasiperiodic functions on the plane?** This problem appears in several different areas.

For $n = 3$ this problem appeared from the solid state physics describing the motion of electrons along the Fermi surface in the single crystal normal metal under the influence of a strong magnetic field B. It should be added "semiclassically" to this purely quantum picture. For low temperature this approximation is valid for magnetic fields in the interval $1te < |B| < 100te$. There exists complicated examples of dynamical systems on the Fermi surface in this problem but they are nongeneric: generically every open trajectory lives in some piece of genus one. Some remarkable observable integer-valued topological characteristics of electrical conductivity in magnetic field were found here for the generic case (see [12]; A. Zorich, I. Dynnikov, S. Tsarev, A. Maltsev and R. Deleo have worked in this area jointly with the present author since 1980s). Similar features were recently found also for $n = 4$ in [12]. Further applications of this problem to the physics of quantum surfaces were found by A. Maltsev for all n (see the references in [12]).

2. Let $M = T_1(M_g^2)$ be the space of unit linear elements on a compact surface of genus g with a Riemannian metric of constant negative curvature. We have $M = PSL_2(R)/\Gamma$, where Γ is a cocompact discrete group acting from the right. The space of right-invariant differential forms is generated by the 1-forms $\omega_0, \omega_\pm$ such that

$$d\omega_0 = \omega_+ \wedge \omega_-, d\omega_\pm = \pm\omega_0 \wedge \omega_\pm.$$

This forms are dual to the right-invariant vector fields $e_0. e_\pm$ such that

$$[e_+, e_-] = e_0, [e_0, e_\pm] = \pm e_\pm$$

The **important Poisson tensors** $h_\pm$ are given by the formulas

$$h_+ = e_0 \wedge e_-, \ h_- = e_0 \wedge e_+,$$

and their symplectic foliations by $\omega_+ = 0$ and $\omega_- = 0$, respectively.

The most famous dynamical systems here are the following:

a. The geodesic flow. Its trajectories are given by the intersection of two completely integrable "Anosov" foliations

$$\omega_+ = 0, \ \omega_- = 0.$$

b. The horocycle-$(+)$ flow whose trajectories are given by the equations

$$\omega_+ = 0, \ \omega_0 = 0.$$

c. The horocycle-$(-)$ flow whose trajectories are given by the equations

$$\omega_- = 0, \ \omega_0 = 0$$

Let us point out that the form ω_0 is closed on the leaves $\omega_\pm = 0$. Besides, the restriction of the 1-form ω_0 to the geodesics contained in these leaves is proportional to the element of length with a non-zero constant factor. Therefore, we have proved the following result.

Proposition 2. *The $(\pm)$-horocycle flows are Hamiltonian systems with the same Hamiltonian 1-form ω_0 but different Poisson tensors with the the (Anosov) Casimir foliations $\omega_\pm = 0$, respectively. For the topologically non-trivial (cylindrical) leaves containing the closed geodesics γ, the period of hamiltonian 1-form is always nonzero and equal (after the proper choice of the dimensional constant) to the length of the geodesic*

$$\oint_\gamma \omega_0 = l(\gamma).$$

The whole space of differential forms on M can be described in this basis. Let us denote the ring of C^∞-functions $\Lambda^0(M)$ by S. The space of differential forms is then represented as an external power of the 3-dimensional free S-module

$$\Lambda^*(M) = \Lambda^*[S_3],$$

where S_3 is linearly generated over the ring S by the forms $\omega_0, \omega_\pm$.

a. For the geodesic flow X we know that the image of the operator ∇_X^0 consists of the functions g such that

$$\oint_\gamma g \, dl = 0$$

for all closed geodesics γ. For the right-invariant forms, we have

$$\nabla_X^1(\omega_\pm) = \pm\omega_\pm, \nabla_X^1(\omega_0) = 0, \ \nabla_X^3(\omega_0 \wedge \omega_+ \wedge \omega_-) = 0,$$

$$i_X(\omega_0) = 1, \ i_X(\omega_\pm) = 0, \ i_X(\omega_0 \wedge \omega_+ \wedge \omega_-) = \omega_+ \wedge \omega_-,$$

$$i_X(\omega_0 \wedge \omega_\pm) = \pm\omega_\pm, \ i_X(\omega_+ \wedge \omega_-) = 0.$$

Taking into account the identity (no signs)

$$\nabla_X(a \wedge b) = \nabla_X(a) \wedge b + a \wedge \nabla_X(b),$$

we can calculate this operator for all forms. In particular, we get

$$\nabla_X^2(\omega_+ \wedge \omega_-) = 0.$$

This equality reflects the fact that the geodesic flow is a restriction of a 4D Hamiltonian system to the energy level. This system is non-Hamiltonian for the 3D Poisson structure described above. Even the trajectory foliation $\omega_+ = 0, \omega_- = 0$ does not correspond to any Hamiltonian system. The reason for this is the following: geodesics are located on the leaves $\omega_+ = 0$ but the form ω_- restricted to these leaves is non-closed because $d\omega_- = \omega_0 \wedge \omega_-$. In order to construct hamiltonian, one needs to find a global 1-form $f\omega_-$ such that $f \neq 0$ and $d(f\omega_-) = 0$ on every leaf. However, this is impossible because there are limit cycles on the cylindrical leaves corresponding to the closed geodesics. The set of all closed geodesics is everywhere dense.

Consider the operator $A = \nabla_X^0$ acting on $S = C^\infty(M)$. The equation

$$\nabla_X^1(f\omega_0 + g\omega_+ + h\omega_-) = A(f)\omega_0 + (g + A(g))\omega_+ + (h - A(h)\omega_- = 0$$

is solvable only for $f = const, g = h = 0$ because ± 1 cannot be realized as eigenvalues of the skew-symmetric operator A. So we have

$$\Lambda_{inv}^1 = \mathbb{R}, \ \Lambda^1(M/X) = 0,$$

and $\Lambda_{inv}^0 = \Lambda^0(M/X) = \mathbb{R}$ because the flow is ergodic. Our arguments imply that

$$\Lambda_{inv}^2 = \Lambda^2(M/X) = \mathbb{R} \ \text{ and } \ \Lambda_{inv}^3 = \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, the exotic homology

$$H_{inv}^j = \mathbb{R}, j = 0, 3, \ H_{inv}^j = 0, j = 1, 2,$$

$$H^j(M/X) = \mathbb{R}, j = 0, 2, \ H^1(M/X) = 0.$$

Using the exact sequence from Theorem 2, we can compute the homology of the cokernel complex C:

$$H_C^2 = H^3(M) = \mathbb{R}$$

$$0 \to \mathbb{R}^{2g} \to H_C^0 \to H^2(M/X) \to \mathbb{R}^{2g} \to H_C^1 \to 0,$$

where

$$H^2(M/X) = \mathbb{R}, H^1(M) = H^2(M) = \mathbb{R}^{2g}.$$

b. Similar calculations can be performed for the $\pm$-horocycle flows with vector fields $X_\pm$. Let us use the notation $i_\pm, \nabla_\pm$ for the corresponding operators $i_{X_\pm}, \nabla_{X_\pm}$. Then by the definition of horocycles, we have

$$i_+(\omega_0) = i_+(\omega_+) = 0, i_+(\omega_-) = 1,$$

$$i_+(\omega_0 \wedge \omega_+) = 0, i_+(\omega_0 \wedge \omega_-) = \pm\omega_0, i_+(\omega_+ \wedge \omega_-) = \pm\omega_+.$$

This implies that

$$\nabla^1_+(\omega_0) = \omega_+, \nabla^1_+(\omega_) = \pm\omega_0, \nabla^1_+(\omega_+) = 0.$$

In particular,

$$\nabla^2_+(\omega_0 \wedge \omega_+) = 0$$

because this system is obtained by the restriction of the Hamiltonian system on the $4D$ manifold $T^*(M_2)$ to the energy level T_1. This Hamiltonian system has the same Hamiltonian function $H = 1/2|p|^2$ as the geodesic flow, but the symplectic structure is changed, as should always be done after switching on a magnetic field. (In order to get the correct symplectic form, the magnetic field should be added to the original symplectic form.) In our situation, the magnetic field is equal to the Gaussian curvature form on the surface lifted to the phase space $T^*(M_2)$.

For the "symplectic" differential 2-form $\Omega' = \omega_0 \wedge \omega_-$ associated with the Poisson tensor on the 3-manifold M, we have $\nabla^2_+(\Omega') = \omega_0 \wedge \omega_+$, which is 0 on the leaves $\omega_+ = 0$.

We conclude also that

$$\omega_+ \in \Lambda^1(M/X_+) \subset \Lambda^1_{inv}$$

and

$$\omega_0 \wedge \omega_+ \in \Lambda^2(M/X_+) \subset \Lambda^2_{inv}, \omega_0 \wedge \omega_+ \wedge \omega_- \in \Lambda^3_{inv}.$$

Taking into account these formulas combined with the facts that the flow is ergodic and the operator ∇_+ is skew-symmetric, we can deduce that all X_+-invariant forms are right-invariant. So we have

$$H^0(M/X_+) = R, H^j(M/X_+) = 0, j = 1, 2,$$

$$H^j_{inv} = R, j = 0, 3, H^1_{inv} = H^2_{inv} = 0.$$

Using the Theorem 2, we obtain the following result for the "cokernel cohomology":

$$H^j_C = H^{j+1}(M), j = 0, 1, 2.$$

For all $k > 0$, the operators ∇^k_+ on the subspaces of right-invariant forms are nilpotent with a single Jordan cell only. For $k = 0$, the flow has no periodic orbits and is ergodic. The image $Im(\nabla^0_+) \subset C^\infty(M)$ is certainly contained in the standard subspace of functions with zero integral $\int \cdots \int_M f d\sigma = 0$, where the volume element $d\sigma = \omega_0 \wedge \omega_+ \wedge \omega_-$. Flaminio and Forni proved in [10] that the image $Im(\nabla^0_+)$ has infinite codimension and is closed in $C^\infty(M)$. The same result is valid for the second horocycle flow X_-.

For any negatively curved compact 2-manifold M_g^2 of non-constant curvature, we also have a pair of foliations $\omega_{\pm}$ on $M = T_1(M_g^2)$ and a natural definition of the horocycle flows. These foliations are generically such that every leaf is smooth but the whole family is only C^1. So our analogs of the horocycle flows and Poisson Tensors are C^1 only. The form ω_0 needed here is naturally defined by the geodesic flow as the restriction of the canonical one-form $\sum p_j dx^j$ from $T_*(M_g^2)$ to the level $H = 1$. All previous results are valid here.

Interesting results can be obtained for Hamiltonian systems with Anosov-type Casimir foliations and single-valued Hamiltonians H : $M \to \mathbb{R}$. They lead to "locally Hamiltonian" dynamical systems on the surfaces $H = c$ (i.e., such that their singular points are like in Hamiltonian systems). These systems may have only very special limit cycles that are non-homotopic to zero in M and have lengths bounded from below. There are very interesting problems here, which we postpone to future publications.

References

[1] S.Novikov. On the metric independent exotic homology, Proceedings (Trudy) of the Steklov Math Institute,vol 251 (2005) pp 206-212

[2] G.Forni. Solutions of the cohomological equation for area preserving flows on the Riemann surfaces of finite genus, Annals af Math.,vol 146 (1997) pp 295-344

[3] A.Livshitz. Homology properties of Y-systems, Math Zametki (Math Notes), vol 10 (1971) pp 758-763

[4] V.Guillemin, D.Kazhdan. On the cohomology of certain dynamical systems, Topology, vol 19 (1980), no 3, pp 291-299

[5] P.Collet, H.Epstein, G.Gallavotti. Perturbations of geodesic flows on surfaces of constant negative curvature and their mixing properties, Comm. Math. Phys. 95 (1984), 61-112

[6] R. de la Llave, J.Marco, R.Moriyon. Canonical perturbation theory for Anosov systems and regularity results for Livsic cohomology equation, Ann. of Math. 123 (1986), 537-611

[7] J.P.Dufour, M.Zhitomirski. Classification of nonresonant Poisson structures, Journ. of London Math Soc., (2) 60 (1999) , pp 935-950

[8] G.-M.Greuel. Der Gauss-Manin Zusammenhang isolierte Singularitaten von vollstandigen Durchschnitte, Math Annalen, vol 191 (1975), pp 235-266, lemma 1.6

[9] W.Ebeling, S.Gusein-Zade, J.Seade. Homological index for 1-forms and a Milnor number for isolated singularities, International Journal of Math.,vol 15 n 9 (2004), pp 895-904

[10] L.Flaminio, G.Forni. Invariant distributions and time averages for horocycle flows, Duke Math. J. 119 (2003), 465-526

[11] S.Novikov, I.Taimanov. Modern Geometric Structures and Fields, Graduate
     Studies in Mathematics, vol 71, AMS, Providence, Road Island, Chapter 13,
     pp 499-500
[12] I.Dynnikov, S.Novikov. Topology of quasiperiodic functions on the plane and
     dynamical systems, Russian Math Surveys, vol 60 (2005) n 1

University of Maryland, College Park, USA;
Landau Institute, Moscow, Russia,
fax 301 3149363, tel 301 4054836(o),
Homepage: `www.mi.ras.ru/~snovikov`
E-mail address: `novikov@ipst.umd.edu`