



## Correction to "Harmonically Immersed Surfaces of $R^n$ "

Gary R. Jensen; Marco Rigoli

*Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, Vol. 311, No. 1. (Jan., 1989), pp. 425-428.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9947%28198901%29311%3A1%3C425%3ACT%22ISO%3E2.0.CO%3B2-H>

*Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

---

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

---

JSTOR is an independent not-for-profit organization dedicated to and preserving a digital archive of scholarly journals. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact [support@jstor.org](mailto:support@jstor.org).

## CORRECTION TO "HARMONICALLY IMMERSED SURFACES OF $\mathbb{R}^n$ "

GARY R. JENSEN AND MARCO RIGOLI

Formulas (1.20) through (1.23) of §1 of [1] are incorrect. The correct formulas given below require a revision of the results of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and their remarks, while Proposition 1.1 and its Corollary 1.1 actually become strengthened. §2 (with appropriate renormalizations given below) and §3 remain correct as given.

Everything is correct through (1.19), which we take as our starting point here. We follow the notation and index conventions of the paper. A unitary frame along  $\gamma_f$  (see (1.9)) is given by  $(u_j, u_\alpha)$  where

$$(1) \quad u_1 = \frac{1}{r}(R_1^j + iR_2^j)e_j, \quad r = \|R\| = \left[ \sum (R_j^i)^2 \right]^{1/2},$$

$u_1, u_2$  is a special unitary basis of  $\text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{e_1, e_2\}$ , and  $u_\alpha = e_\alpha$ . Thus  $\gamma_f = [u_1]$ , and

$$(2) \quad du_1 = - \left( \frac{dr}{r} + i\varphi_1^2 \right) u_1 + \frac{1}{r} (R_{1j}^A + iR_{2j}^A) e_A \varphi^j,$$

which we find useful to write as

$$(3) \quad du_1 = - \left( \frac{dr}{r} + i\varphi_1^2 \right) u_1 + \frac{1}{2r} \tau(f) \varphi + \frac{1}{r} L \bar{\varphi},$$

where the tension of  $f$  is  $\tau(f) = (R_{11}^A + R_{22}^A)e_A$ , and  $L = L(R^A)e_A$ , where  $L(R^A) = (R_{11}^A - R_{22}^A)/2 - iR_{12}^A$ . Thus we can rewrite (1.19) as

$$(4) \quad d\gamma_f = \frac{1}{2r} p *_{u_1} \tau(f) \varphi + \frac{1}{r} p *_{u_1} L \bar{\varphi},$$

and, since  $\gamma_f^* dF^2 = \langle du_1, du_1 \rangle - \langle du_1, u_1 \rangle \langle u_1, du_1 \rangle = \|\text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} du_1\|^2$ , we obtain as a correction to (1.20)

$$(5) \quad \gamma_f^* dF^2 = (\|\text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} \tau(f)\|^2 / 4r^2 + \|\text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} L\|^2 / r^2) \varphi \bar{\varphi} \\ + \frac{1}{2r^2} \langle \text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} \tau(f), \text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} L \rangle \varphi \varphi + \frac{1}{2r^2} \langle \text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} L, \text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} \tau(f) \rangle \bar{\varphi} \bar{\varphi},$$

where  $\text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp}$  is orthogonal projection (with respect to the Hermitian metric of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ) onto the orthogonal complement of  $u_1$ .

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 53A07, 53A10.

**Theorem 1.2 (corrected).** *Let  $f: (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be a harmonic immersion. Then  $\gamma_f: (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^n$  is anti-holomorphic and  $\gamma_f^* dF^2 = -\mathcal{A} g$ , where*

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{A} = -\frac{1}{4r^2} \|\text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} \tau(f)\|^2 - \frac{1}{r^2} \|\text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} L\|^2.$$

*Remarks.*

1.  $\nabla df = \frac{1}{2}\tau(f)\varphi\bar{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}L\varphi\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\bar{L}\bar{\varphi}\bar{\varphi}$ .
2. Suppose  $f$  is an isometry. We may then assume  $\varphi^i = f^* \theta^i$ , so  $R = I$ . Thus  $r = \sqrt{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2}\tau(f) = H$  (=mean curvature vector),  $\nabla df = II$  (=second fundamental form), and  $\mathcal{A} = -\frac{1}{2}\|H\|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|L\|^2 = -\frac{1}{4}\|II\|^2 = -\|H\|^2 + K/2$ , where  $K$  is the Gaussian curvature of  $g$ . If, in addition,  $f$  is harmonic, then  $\mathcal{A} = K/2$ .

We add the index convention  $2 \leq a, b, c \leq n$ , and let  $X_a = p_{*u_1} u_a$ , a unitary frame field along  $\gamma_f$ . If we set  $\tau(f) = \tau^A u_A$ ,  $L = L^A u_A$ , then formula (4) can be rewritten as

$$(7) \quad d\gamma_f = \frac{1}{2r} \tau^a X_a \varphi + \frac{1}{r} L^a X_a \bar{\varphi} \equiv B_{11}^a \varphi X_a + B_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}^a \bar{\varphi} X_a.$$

Then  $\nabla d\gamma_f = (B_{11}^a \varphi\varphi + 2B_{1\bar{1}}^a \varphi\bar{\varphi} + B_{\bar{1}\bar{1}}^a \bar{\varphi}\bar{\varphi}) X_a$  where

$$(8) \quad B_{1\bar{1}}^a = \frac{1}{2r} \left\{ \tau_1^a - \frac{1}{r} (L^1 \tau^a + \tau^1 L^a) \right\},$$

and  $\tau_1^a$  is given by

$$\nabla \tau(f) = (\tau_1^A \varphi + \tau_{\bar{1}}^A \bar{\varphi}) u_A,$$

and since  $\nabla \tau(f)$  is real, we have  $\tau_1^A u_A = \overline{\tau_{\bar{1}}^A u_A}$ . Hence the tension of  $\gamma_f$ , which is  $\tau(\gamma_f) = 4B_{1\bar{1}}^a X_a$ , is

$$(9) \quad \tau(\gamma_f) = \frac{2}{r} p_{*u_1} \left\{ \tau_1^A u_A - \frac{1}{r} (L^1 \tau(f) + \tau^1 L) \right\}.$$

Formula (9) reaffirms the assertion of Theorem 1.2 (corrected) that if  $f$  is harmonic then  $\gamma_f$  is harmonic.

**Theorem 1.1 (corrected).** *Let  $f: (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  be an affine immersion (i.e.,  $T = 0$  in (1.11)). Then  $\gamma_f: (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}P^{n-1}$  is harmonic if and only if  $\text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} \nabla \tau(f) \equiv 0$  modulo  $\varphi$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that  $f$  affine means that the matrices  $R^j = (R_{ik}^j) = 0$ . It is easily verified that  $f$  is affine if and only if  $\tau^j = 0 = L^j$ . Hence, if  $f$  is affine then (9) becomes

$$\tau(\gamma_f) = \frac{2}{r} p_{*u_1} \tau_1^A u_A.$$

As  $p_{*u_1}$  maps  $u_1^\perp$  isomorphically onto the tangent space of  $\mathbf{C}P^{n-1}$  at  $[u_1]$ , it follows that  $\tau(\gamma_f) = 0$  iff  $\tau_1^a u_a = 0$ , which proves the theorem.  $\square$

*Remark.* If  $f$  is an isometry, then  $\tau(f)$  ( $= 2H$ ),  $\nabla\tau(f)$  and  $L$  are all normal, which implies that  $f$  is affine and  $\text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} \nabla\tau(f) = \nabla\tau(f)$ . Hence  $\gamma_f$  is harmonic if and only if  $f$  has parallel mean curvature vector. The Ruh-Vilms theorem says that the usual Gauss map  $\Gamma_f: M \rightarrow Q_{n-2}$  (=complex quadric) is harmonic if and only if  $f$  has parallel mean curvature vector. If  $q: Q_{n-2} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{n-1}$  is the inclusion map, then  $\gamma_f = q \circ \Gamma_f$ .

Our corrections give a stronger version of Proposition 1.1.

**Proposition 1.1** (corrected). *If  $f: (M, g) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is a harmonic immersion, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is of analytic type (i.e., if not identically zero it has isolated zeros of well-defined multiplicities).*

*Proof.* Let  $p \in M$ , and let  $U, z$  be a local complex coordinate about  $p$ . For  $U$  sufficiently small there exists a holomorphic map  $\mathcal{F}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f$  is its real part. That is,  $\mathcal{F} = f + ih$ , for some harmonic map  $h: U \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ . Then  $\gamma_f = [\mathcal{F}']$ , where  $\mathcal{F}' = \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dz}$ . In fact, if  $\varphi = \varphi^1 + i\varphi^2 = \lambda dz$ , then  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(R_1^j + iR_2^j)e_j$  and  $\overline{\mathcal{F}'} = \frac{d\overline{\mathcal{F}}}{d\bar{z}} = 2\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}$ .

Now  $u_1 = \frac{2}{\lambda r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \frac{1}{\lambda r} \overline{\mathcal{F}'}$ , so  $du_1 = Cu_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda r} \overline{\mathcal{F}''} d\bar{z}$ , for some function  $C$ . Hence  $d\gamma_f = (p_{*u_1} \frac{1}{\lambda r} \overline{\mathcal{F}''}) d\bar{z}$  and thus  $\mathcal{A} = -\|\text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} \overline{\mathcal{F}''}\|^2 / r^2 |\lambda|^4$  since

$$\gamma_f^* dF^2 = \|\text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} \overline{\mathcal{F}''} \bar{\varphi} / r |\lambda|^2\|^2 = \frac{1}{r^2 |\lambda|^4} \|\text{Proj}_{u_1^\perp} \overline{\mathcal{F}''}\|^2 \varphi \bar{\varphi}$$

and by Theorem 1.2. Then  $\mathcal{A}(p) = 0$  if and only if  $\overline{\mathcal{F}'} \wedge \overline{\mathcal{F}''}(p) = 0$ . As  $\mathcal{F}' \wedge \mathcal{F}''$  is a vector valued holomorphic function, it is either identically zero or it has isolated zeros of well-defined multiplicities, and these are the zeros and multiplicities of  $\mathcal{A}$ .  $\square$

Corollary 1.1 of [1] is true even with the affine hypothesis dropped, since that hypothesis is not required in the corrected version of Proposition 1.1.

This completes the corrections of §1. In §2, the inequality (2.1) must be changed to

$$(2.1) \text{ revised} \quad K - 2\mathcal{A} \geq 0.$$

In the line following (2.8) we must revise the definition of  $\tilde{g}$  to be  $\tilde{g} = v^2 g$ , in which case  $\tilde{g} \geq \varepsilon^2 g$  and its curvature becomes

$$\tilde{K} = (K - \frac{1}{2} \Delta \log v^2) / v^2,$$

so that

$$(2.9) \text{ revised} \quad \tilde{K} = (k - 2\mathcal{A}) / v^2.$$

Finally, the second line of the first remark at the end of this section requires the correction  $\mathcal{A} = K/2$ . No corrections are required in §3.

## REFERENCES

1. G. R. Jensen and M. Rigoli, *Harmonically immersed surfaces of  $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **307** (1988), 363–372.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 1146, WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY, ST. LOUIS, MISSOURI 63130

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, CITTA UNIVERSITARIA, VIALE A. DORIA 6, 95125 CATANIA, ITALY