# Symmetries and invariant solutions for the geometric heat flows

# Qing Huang<sup>1,2</sup> and Changzheng Qu<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Nonlinear Studies, Northwest University, Xi'an, 710069, People's Republic of China

Received 14 April 2007, in final form 14 June 2007 Published 19 July 2007 Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/40/9343

#### **Abstract**

We study Lie symmetries and invariant solutions of the geometric heat flows. The basic similarity reductions for the GHE are performed. Reduced equations and exact solutions associated with the symmetries are obtained. Group-invariant solutions and reductions for the affine case are also discussed in a special case.

PACS numbers: 02.20.-a, 02.30.Jr, 44.05.+e, 44.10.+i

## 1. Introduction

In the past twenty years, there has been much research devoted to the study of evolutions of plane curves

$$C_t = k\mathcal{N},\tag{1}$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  and k are, respectively, a choice of unit (inward) normal for  $\mathcal{C}$  and the curvature with respect to  $\mathcal{N}$ . This evolution appears in a number of different pure and applied areas such as differential geometry, crystal growth, image processing, computer vision and physics, etc, see [1–14] and references therein for a more extensive discussion of the many properties associated with this flow.

The flow is referred to as Euclidean curve shortening flow, in the sense that the Euclidean perimeter shrinks when the curve evolves according to equation (1) [4, 15–17]. The behavior of an embedded curve evolving according to this flow has been well studied. Gage and Hamilton have proved that a convex embedded curve converges to a round point under this evolution [3, 15]. Grayson [17] has shown that a nonconvex embedded curve converges to a convex one, and from there to a round point according to the Gage and Hamilton result. This equation was also called the geometric heat equation (GHE). This flow has a number of nice properties which make it very useful in morphological image processing, and in particular the basis of a nonlinear scale-space invariant to rotations and translations for shape representation [9, 18]. A related flow, based upon the affine geometry of the curve, is given by

$$C_t = k^{\frac{1}{3}} \mathcal{N},\tag{2}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Department of Mathematics, Northwest University, Xi'an, 710069, People's Republic of China

which is called the affine geometric heat equation. This flow shares many of the same properties with the curve shortening flow but gives rise to a more general affine invariant multiscale space [18–20]. More discussions on (2) can be found in [21–23] and references therein. Locally (2) may be written as

$$u_t = u_{xx}^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

whose Lie symmetries and group-invariant solutions were discussed in detail in [23].

In the level set method [24, 25], the parameterized curve C(p, t) is embedded into a surface, which is called the level set function  $u(x, y, t) : \mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ . The curve C is the zero-level set of this function u(x, y, t):

$$C = \{(x, y) : u(x, y, t) = 0\}.$$

The evolution equation for u is derived from the constraint that at any time t we should have

$$u(\mathcal{C}, t) = u(\mathcal{X}(t), \mathcal{Y}(t), t) = 0,$$
(3)

and differentiating (3) with respect to t we obtain

$$u_t + \nabla u \cdot \mathcal{C}_t = 0. \tag{4}$$

Substituting the general form of the curve evolution equation (1), which depends on local geometry of the curve, into (4) above yields

$$u_t + \nabla u \cdot k\mathcal{N} = 0.$$

Note that for the zero level, the following relation  $\mathcal{N} = -\nabla u / \|\nabla u\|$  holds, then an evolution equation for u is given by

$$u_t = k \|\nabla u\|,\tag{5}$$

where

$$k = \nabla \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla u}{\|\nabla u\|}\right) = \frac{u_y^2 u_{xx} - 2u_x u_y u_{xy} + u_x^2 u_{yy}}{\left(u_x^2 + u_y^2\right)^{3/2}},$$

which is in fact the curvature of the curve C regarded as the level set of the corresponding evolution [18, 24, 26]. This allows us to rewrite equation (5) completely in terms of u and its derivatives as

$$u_t = \frac{u_y^2 u_{xx} - 2u_x u_y u_{xy} + u_x^2 u_{yy}}{u_x^2 + u_y^2}.$$
 (6)

This flow is also referred to as the geometric heat equation since it is a result of applying the previous geometric heat equation (1) to the zero-level curve of the level set function u.

Similarly, the affine invariant heat flow (2) in terms of the level set function u can be written as

$$u_t = \left(u_y^2 u_{xx} - 2u_x u_y u_{xy} + u_x^2 u_{yy}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}. (7)$$

It is well known that exact solutions play a crucial role in the study of asymptotic behavior, blow up or extinction and geometric properties of invariant geometric flows. For instance, it was shown that when a locally convex closed immersed curve collapses into a point, its asymptotic shape must be one of the contracting self-similar solutions of (1) classified in [22, 27, 28]. A 'grim reaper', a travelling wave solution first observed in [3] has been used to describe the asymptotic profile of 'type-II singularity' of curves [22, 23]. A contracting spiral wave solution was also used in the analysis of singularities of curves [2, 22]. The purpose of this paper is to discuss symmetries and solutions of GHE (6) and affine GHE (7).

The outline of this paper is as follows. In section 2, we derive the Lie symmetry group of GHE (6). It is reduced to two-dimensional PDEs when the arbitrary functions of its infinitesimal transformations are confined to arbitrary constants in section 3. We provide symmetry group analysis for (10) and (11) in sections 4 and 5, respectively. And in section 6, reduced ODEs and group-invariant solutions of GHE are presented. Exact solutions of affine GHE are obtained for a special case in section 7. Section 8 contains a concluding remark on this work.

## 2. Lie symmetry of the geometric heat flow

The classical method for finding symmetry reductions of PDE is the Lie group method of infinitesimal transformations. To apply the classical method to (6), we consider the one-parameter Lie group of infinitesimal transformations in (x, y, t, u) given by

$$x^* = x + \epsilon \xi_1(x, y, t, u) + O(\epsilon^2),$$
  

$$y^* = y + \epsilon \xi_2(x, y, t, u) + O(\epsilon^2),$$
  

$$t^* = t + \epsilon \xi_3(x, y, t, u) + O(\epsilon^2),$$
  

$$u^* = u + \epsilon \xi_4(x, y, t, u) + O(\epsilon^2),$$

where  $\epsilon$  is the group parameter. One requires that this transformation leaves the set

$$S_{\Delta} = \{ u(x, y, t) | \Delta = 0 \}$$

invariant, where  $\Delta[u] \equiv u_t - \left(u_y^2 u_{xx} - 2u_x u_y u_{xy} + u_x^2 u_{yy}\right) / \left(u_x^2 + u_y^2\right)$ . This yields an overdetermined, linear system of equations for the infinitesimals  $\xi_1(x, y, t, u)$ ,  $\xi_2(x, y, t, u)$ ,  $\xi_3(x, y, t, u)$  and  $\xi_4(x, y, t, u)$ . The associated Lie algebra is realized by vector fields of the form

$$X = \xi_1(x, y, t, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \xi_2(x, y, t, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \xi_3(x, y, t, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \xi_4(x, y, t, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$
 (8)

The set  $S_{\Delta}$  is invariant under the transformation (8) provided that  $\operatorname{pr}^{(2)}X(\Delta)|_{\Delta\equiv0}=0$  where  $\operatorname{pr}^{(2)}X$  is the second prolongation of the vector field (8), which is given explicitly in terms of  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3$  and  $\xi_4$  [29–31]. This procedure yields an overdetermined system. Solving it gives Lie symmetries of (6)

$$\xi_1 = F_2(u)x - F_4(u)y + F_5(u),$$
  

$$\xi_2 = F_4(u)x + F_2(u)y + F_1(u),$$
  

$$\xi_3 = 2F_2(u)t + F_3(u),$$
  

$$\xi_4 = F_6(u),$$

where  $F_i(u)$  (i = 1, ..., 6) are the arbitrary functions of u. Therefore, the symmetry group of equation (6) is spanned by the vector fields

$$F_{5}(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad F_{1}(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad F_{3}(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad F_{6}(u)\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \qquad \text{(gauge translation)},$$

$$F_{2}(u)x\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + F_{2}(u)y\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + 2F_{2}(u)t\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \qquad \text{(gauge scaling)},$$

$$-F_{4}(u)y\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + F_{4}(u)x\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \qquad \text{(gauge rotation)}.$$

It is interesting to note that if u is a solution of (6), so is f(u) for any arbitrary differentiable functions f.

In the following, we confine  $F_i(u)(i=1,\ldots,5)$  to constants  $k_i(i=1,\ldots,5)$  and set  $k_6=1$ , then

$$\xi_1^* = k_2 x - k_4 y + k_5,$$
  

$$\xi_2^* = k_4 x + k_2 y + k_1,$$
  

$$\xi_3^* = 2k_2 t + k_3,$$
  

$$\xi_4^* = 1.$$

Having determined the infinitesimals, the symmetry variables are found by solving the characteristic equation

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\xi_1^*} = \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{\xi_2^*} = \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{\xi_3^*} = \frac{\mathrm{d}u}{\xi_4^*} \tag{9}$$

or the corresponding invariant-surface condition

$$\Psi \equiv \xi_1^* u_x + \xi_2^* u_y + \xi_3^* u_t - \xi_4^* = 0.$$

## 3. Reduction of the geometric heat flow to two-dimensional PDEs

There are four independent reductions that are given as follows:

Case 1.  $k_4 \neq 0, k_2 \neq 0$ . Integration of (9) gives the reduced variables

$$\xi = e^{-k_2 u} ((x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2), \qquad \eta = e^{-k_2 u} (t - t_0)$$

in which

$$x_0 = -\frac{k_2 k_5 + k_1 k_4}{k_4^2 + k_2^2},$$
  $y_0 = \frac{k_4 k_5 - k_1 k_2}{k_4^2 + k_2^2},$   $t_0 = -\frac{k_3}{2k_2}$ 

and the following reduction for the fields

$$k_4 u - \arctan \frac{y - y_0}{x - x_0} = v(\xi, \eta).$$

Substitution of the two reduction ansatz into (6) gives

$$(4\xi^2 v_{\xi}^2 + 1)v_{\eta} = 2(2\xi v_{\xi\xi} + 4\xi^2 v_{\xi}^3 + 3v_{\xi}). \tag{10}$$

Case 2.  $k_4 \neq 0$ ,  $k_2 = 0$ . Integration of (9) yields the reduced variables

$$\xi = (x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2, \qquad \eta = t - k_3 u$$

where  $x_0 = -k_1/k_4$ ,  $y_0 = k_5/k_4$ , and the reduction for the fields is exactly the same as in case 1. By the substitution of the reduction ansatz in (6), we obtain equation (10).

Case 3.  $k_4 = 0, k_2 \neq 0$ . Integration of (9) provides the following reduction:

$$\xi = e^{-k_2 u}(x - x_0), \qquad \eta = e^{-k_2 u}(y - y_0)$$

and

$$e^{-k_2u}(t-t_0)=v(\xi,\eta)$$

where  $x_0 = -k_5/k_2$ ,  $y_0 = -k_1/k_2$  and  $t_0 = -k_3/(2k_2)$ . Substitution of the two reduction ansatz above into (6) gives

$$-1 = \frac{v_{\eta}^2 v_{\xi\xi} - 2v_{\xi} v_{\eta} v_{\xi\eta} + v_{\xi}^2 v_{\eta\eta}}{v_{\xi}^2 + v_{\eta}^2}.$$
 (11)

Case 4.  $k_4 = 0$ ,  $k_2 = 0$ ,  $k_1^2 + k_3^2 + k_5^2 \neq 0$ . Integration of (9) yields the following reduction:

$$\xi = x - k_5 u, \qquad \eta = y - k_1 u$$

and the reduction for the field

$$t - k_3 u = v(\xi, \eta),$$

where v satisfies (11).

As explained before, we need the group-invariant solutions of (10) and (11) in order to construct the solutions of GHE. In the following two sections, we shall further reduce (10) and (11) by using their symmetries.

### 4. Symmetry group analysis for (10)

As is well known, the Lie group theoretic method plays an important role in finding exact solutions and performing symmetry reductions of differential equations. Since any linear combination of infinitesimal generators is also an infinitesimal generator, there are always infinitely many different symmetry subgroups for the differential equation. So, a mean of determining which subgroups would give essentially different types of solutions is necessary and significant for a complete understanding of the invariant solutions. As any transformation in the full symmetry group maps a solution to another solution, it is sufficient to find invariant solutions which are not related by transformations in the full symmetry group, this has led to the concept of an optimal system [29–31]. The problem of finding an optimal system of subgroups is equivalent to that of finding an optimal system of subalgebras. For one-dimensional subalgebras, this classification problem is essentially the same as the problem of classifying the orbits of the adjoint representation. This problem is attacked by the naive approach of taking a general element in the Lie algebra and subjecting it to various adjoint transformations so as to simplify it as much as possible. The idea of using the adjoint representation to classify group-invariant solutions was due to Ovsiannikov [31].

The Lie algebra of infinitesimal symmetries of (10) is spanned by the following five vector fields:

$$X_{1} = 2\sqrt{\xi}\cos v \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} - \frac{\sin v}{\sqrt{\xi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v},$$

$$X_{2} = 2\sqrt{\xi}\sin v \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\cos v}{\sqrt{\xi}} \frac{\partial}{\partial v},$$

$$X_{3} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v},$$

$$X_{4} = \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta},$$

$$X_{5} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}.$$
(12)

The commutation relations of this Lie algebra are presented in table 1, where the (i, j)th entry represents the commutator  $[X_i, X_j]$ .

The adjoint action is given by the Lie series

$$Ad(\exp(\epsilon X_i)X_j) = X_j - \epsilon[X_i, X_j] + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2}[X_i, [X_i, X_j]] - \cdots,$$

where  $[X_i, X_j]$  is the commutator for the Lie algebra and  $\epsilon$  is a parameter. We can write the adjoint action for the Lie algebra (12). It is listed in table 2, where the (i, j)th entry gives  $Ad(\exp(\epsilon X_i)X_j)$ .

**Table 1.** Composition table for (12).

_					
	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	$X_4$	$X_5$
$\overline{X_1}$	0	0	$X_2$	$\frac{1}{2}X_{1}$	0
$X_2$	0	0	$-X_1$	$\frac{1}{2}X_{2}$	0
-	$-X_2$		0	0	0
$X_4$	$-\frac{1}{2}X_{1}$	$-\frac{1}{2}X_2$	0	0	$-X_5$
$X_5$	0	0	0	$X_5$	0

**Table 2.** The adjoint representation of (12).

$Ad(\epsilon \cdot)$	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	$X_4$	$X_5$
$\overline{X_1}$	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3 - \epsilon X_2$	$X_4 - \frac{\epsilon}{2}X_1$	$X_5$
$X_2$	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3 + \epsilon X_1$	$X_4 - \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}}{2} X_2$	$X_5$
$X_3$	$X_1 \cos \epsilon + X_2 \sin \epsilon$	$X_2 \cos \epsilon - X_1 \sin \epsilon$	$X_3$	$X_4$	$X_5$
$X_4$	$e^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}X_1$	$e^{\frac{\epsilon}{2}}X_2$	$X_3$	$X_4$	$e^{\epsilon}X_5$
$X_5$	$X_1$	$X_2$	$X_3$	$X_4 - \epsilon X_5$	$X_5$

**Theorem 1.** A one-dimensional optimal system of (12) is given by

$$W_1 = X_4,$$
  $W_2 = X_4 + \alpha X_3$   $(\alpha \neq 0),$   $W_3 = X_3,$   $W_4 = X_3 + X_5,$   $W_5 = X_3 - X_5,$   $W_6 = X_1,$   $W_7 = X_5,$   $W_8 = X_5 + X_1.$  (13)

**Proof.** Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^{5} a_i X_i$ . First of all, using  $\operatorname{Ad} \exp(\epsilon X_3)$ , we may rotate  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . As a result, we shall always assume that  $a_2 = 0$  in the following discussion. Now we claim that the space spanned by a nonzero X must be equivalent to some  $W_i$ . We consider three cases separately.

Case 1. If  $a_4 \neq 0$ , scaling X if necessary, we can assume that  $a_4 = 1$ . So X is equivalent to

$$X = X_4 + a_1 X_1 + a_3 X_3 + a_5 X_5$$
.

Acting on this vector by  $Ad \exp(a_5X_5)$ , we can make the coefficient of  $X_5$  vanish. And X is reduced to

$$X = X_4 + a_1 X_1 + a_3 X_3.$$

Applying Ad  $\exp(\epsilon_1 X_1)$  and Ad  $\exp(\epsilon_2 X_2)$  to this X, we obtain a new vector

$$X = X_4 + \tilde{a}_1 X_1 + \tilde{a}_2 X_2 + a_3 X_3,$$

where  $\tilde{a}_1 = a_1 + a_3\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1/2$  and  $\tilde{a}_2 = -a_3\epsilon_1 - \epsilon_2/2$ , and they vanish after choosing

$$\epsilon_1 = \frac{2a_1}{1 + 4a_3^2}, \qquad \epsilon_2 = -\frac{4a_1a_3}{1 + 4a_3^2}.$$

Thus X is equivalent to one of the following vector fields  $X_4$  and  $X_4 + \alpha X_3 (\alpha \neq 0)$ .

Case 2. If  $a_4 = 0$  and  $a_3 \neq 0$ , we scale to make  $a_3 = 1$ . Use Ad  $\exp(-a_1X_1)$  to eliminate  $a_1$ . After acted by Ad  $\exp(\epsilon X_5)$  for suitable  $\epsilon$ , we obtain three inequivalent generators  $X_3$ ,  $X_3 + X_5$  and  $X_3 - X_5$ .

Case 3. If  $a_4 = 0$  and  $a_3 = 0$ , in this case, X is simplified to  $X = a_1X_1 + a_5X_5$ .

If  $a_5 \neq 0$ , we take  $a_5 = 1$ . After using the adjoint action of the group generated by  $X_4$ , we conclude that X is equivalent to  $X_5$ ,  $X_5 + X_1$  and  $X_5 - X_1$ . Acting on  $X_5 - X_1$  by Ad  $\exp(\pi X_3)$ , we obtain  $X_5 + X_1$ . Thus any one-dimensional subalgebra spanned by X is equivalent to one spanned by either  $X_5$  or  $X_5 + X_1$ .

If  $a_5 = 0$ , then the only remaining vectors are the multiples of  $X_1$ , on which the adjoint representation acts trivially. Thus X is reduced to  $X_1$ .

Thus, we have shown that any one-dimensional subspace of (12) is equivalent to that of the subspaces spanned by  $W_1,\ldots,W_8$ . It remains to prove that any two one-dimensional subalgebras obtained above are mutually inequivalent [23, 32]. We shall accomplish this by introducing some adjoint invariants. Recall that a real function  $\phi$  on a Lie algebra  $\mathfrak g$  is called an invariant if  $\phi(\mathrm{Ad}(\mathfrak g)X)=\phi(X)$  for all  $X\in\mathfrak g$  and  $\mathfrak g$  in the corresponding Lie group G. For two vectors X and Y, generate conjugate one-dimensional subalgebra, it is necessary that  $\phi(X)=\phi(Y)$  for any invariant  $\phi$ . Let  $X=\sum_{i=1}^5 a_iX_i$  be a general vector for (12), then  $\phi$  can be regarded as a function of  $a_1,\ldots,a_5$ .

**Lemma 2.**  $A = a_3$ ,  $B = a_4$  are invariants.

**Proof.** This can be easily seen from table 2.

**Lemma 3.** The following function is an invariant:

$$C = \operatorname{sign} a_5$$
.

**Proof.** Since the actions of Ad  $\exp(\epsilon X_i)$ ,  $i \neq 4$ , do not change the values of  $a_5$ , it is sufficient to check the invariance of C under the action of Ad  $\exp(\epsilon X_4)$ . We denote the new coefficient by  $\tilde{a}_5$ . Under Ad  $\exp(\epsilon X_4)$ ,  $\tilde{a}_5 = e^{\epsilon} a_5$ , thus C is an invariant.

Lemma 4. D is an invariant, where

$$D = \begin{cases} 1 & a_3 = a_4 = 0, a_1^2 + a_2^2 \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Since  $a_3$  and  $a_4$  are invariants, it suffices to check the invariance of D under  $a_3 = a_4 = 0$ . However, observe that  $\operatorname{Ad} \exp(\epsilon X_i)$ , i = 1, 2, 5, do not change  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . We only need to check the action of  $\operatorname{Ad} \exp(\epsilon_1 X_3)$  and  $\operatorname{Ad} \exp(\epsilon_2 X_4)$ . We denote the new coefficients by  $\tilde{a}_1$  and  $\tilde{a}_2$ .

In fact, after acted by  $\operatorname{Ad} \exp(\epsilon_1 X_3)$ ,  $\tilde{a}_1$  and  $\tilde{a}_2$  satisfy  $\tilde{a}_1^2 + \tilde{a}_2^2 = a_1^2 + a_2^2$ , and then D is unchanged. On the other hand, under  $\operatorname{Ad} \exp(\epsilon_2 X_4)$ ,  $\tilde{a}_1$  and  $\tilde{a}_2$  satisfy  $\tilde{a}_1^2 + \tilde{a}_2^2 = \mathrm{e}^{\epsilon_2} \left(a_1^2 + a_2^2\right)$ . Hence D is also unchanged.

We conclude that *D* is actually an invariant.

Now, we claim that different  $W_i$ 's are mutually inequivalent. We evaluate all invariants for each and put the results in table 3. It is clear from table 3 that for different i, or the same i but with different parameters, they are inequivalent. We have established the optimality of the system. So theorem 1 holds.

We have obtained eight inequivalent one-dimensional subalgebras. Each subalgebra will provide a reduction to an ODE. We shall consider one of the subalgebras, i.e.,  $W_6 = X_1$  in some details, as an example. The results for the other one-dimensional subalgebras can be obtained in a similar manner.

**Table 3.** Invariants for (13).

	$W_1$	$W_2$	$W_3$	$W_4$	$W_5$	$W_6$	$W_7$	$W_8$
$\overline{A}$	0	α	1	1	1	0	0	0
В	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
C	0	0	0	1	-1	0	1	1
D	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

For  $W_6$ , the characteristic equation is

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\xi}{2\sqrt{\xi}\cos v} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\eta}{0} = \frac{\mathrm{d}v}{-\frac{\sin v}{\sqrt{\xi}}}.$$

Global invariants of this group are

$$z = \eta,$$
  $\lambda = \xi \sin^2 v,$ 

so that a group-invariant solution  $\lambda = g(z)$  takes the form

$$\xi \sin^2 v = g(z)$$
.

Solving for the derivatives of v with respect to  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$  in terms of those of  $\lambda$  with respect to z and substituting these expressions into (10), we find the reduced ODE

$$g' = 0$$
,

where and hereafter the primes denote differentiation with respect to z. It is solved by

$$g(z) = C$$

where C is a nonzero arbitrary constant.

Then we obtain an exact solution of (10) with

$$v(\xi, \eta) = \arcsin \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{\xi}},$$

where  $C_1$  is a nonzero arbitrary constant.

Not all groups will generate group-invariant solutions. The criterion for the existence of such solutions can be found in [31]. However, it is not necessary to examine for any case. One simply discovers during the derivation of the similarity variables that the desired reduction in the number of independent variables does not occur. Algebra  $W_3$  fails this test and provides no group-invariant solutions. And all other algebras generate reductions of (10) to ODEs. We run through the individual subalgebras and obtain the reduction formula and the corresponding invariant equations written in terms of the invariants. The results for the other one-dimensional subalgebras are listed in table A1. In the reduced equations, we always take the second invariant as a function of the first invariant. Note that it remains necessary to solve these ODEs to obtain the group-invariant solutions explicitly, and in most cases this is still very difficult. Once the reduced equation in column 5 is solved, the corresponding relation in column 4 explicitly defines a surface in  $(\xi, \eta, v)$ -space.

Note that all second-order ODEs in table A1 can be reduced to first-order ODEs easily. The reduced ODEs for  $W_1$  and  $W_7$  are solved here for particular solutions which then provide complete analytic solutions of (10).

Consider the reduced ODE for  $W_1$ :

$$4zg'' + 4z^2(z+2)g'^3 + (z+6)g' = 0.$$

**Table 4.** Composition table for (14).

	$Y_1$	<i>Y</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>Y</i> <sub>3</sub>	$Y_4$	<i>Y</i> <sub>5</sub>
$\overline{Y_1}$	0	0	0	<i>Y</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>Y</i> <sub>1</sub>
$Y_2$	0	0	0	$-Y_1$	$Y_2$
$Y_3$	0	0	0	0	$2Y_{3}$
$Y_4$	$-Y_2$	$Y_1$	0	0	0
$Y_5$	$-Y_1$	$-Y_2$	$-2Y_{3}$	0	0

Introduce a new function h(z) which satisfies h(z) = g'(z), then the equation above can be reduced to

$$4zh' + 4z^2(z+2)h^3 + (z+6)h = 0,$$

and yields

$$h(z) = \pm \frac{1}{z\sqrt{C_1 z \, \mathrm{e}^{\frac{z}{2}} - 4}}.$$

Thus,

$$v(\xi, \eta) = \pm \int_{-\pi}^{\frac{\xi}{\eta}} \frac{1}{s\sqrt{C_1 s e^{\frac{s}{2}} - 4}} ds + C_2$$

is a group-invariant solution of (10) corresponding to  $W_1$ .

Similar to the above analysis, we obtain an exact solution associated with  $W_7$  given by

$$v(\xi, \eta) = \pm \arctan \sqrt{-1 + C_1 \xi} + C_2.$$

Many more solutions are certainly possible and can be obtained through the solutions of the reduced ODEs.

# 5. Symmetry group analysis for (11)

Similar to the previous section, symmetry group analysis for (11) is accomplished in this section. First, we shall determine the symmetry group of (11), classify one-parameter subgroups up to the adjoint representation and finally obtain the reduced ODEs or some group-invariant solutions for the one-dimensional optimal systems.

**Theorem 5.** The Lie algebra of infinitesimal symmetries of (11) is spanned by the following five vector fields:

$$Y_{1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \qquad Y_{2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \qquad Y_{3} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v},$$

$$Y_{4} = -\eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \qquad Y_{5} = \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} + 2v \frac{\partial}{\partial v}.$$
(14)

The commutation relation and the action of the adjoint representation for the Lie algebra (14) can be found in tables 4 and 5, respectively.

Let us use the notation

$$V_1 = Y_4,$$
  $V_2 = Y_4 + Y_3,$   $V_3 = Y_4 - Y_3,$   $V_4 = Y_4 + \alpha Y_5 \quad (\alpha \neq 0),$   $V_5 = Y_5,$   $V_6 = Y_1,$   $V_7 = Y_3,$   $V_8 = Y_1 + Y_3.$  (15)

**Table 5.** The adjoint representation of (14).

$Ad(\epsilon \cdot)$	$Y_1$	$Y_2$	$Y_3$	$Y_4$	<i>Y</i> <sub>5</sub>
$\overline{Y_1}$	<i>Y</i> <sub>1</sub>	<i>Y</i> <sub>2</sub>	<i>Y</i> <sub>3</sub>	$Y_4 - \epsilon Y_2$	$Y_5 - \epsilon Y_1$
$Y_2$	$Y_1$	$Y_2$	$Y_3$	$Y_4 + \epsilon Y_1$	$Y_5 - \epsilon Y_2$
$Y_3$	$Y_1$	$Y_2$	$Y_3$	$Y_4$	$Y_5 - 2\epsilon Y_3$
$Y_4$	$Y_1 \cos \epsilon + Y_2 \sin \epsilon$	$Y_2 \cos \epsilon - Y_1 \sin \epsilon$	$Y_3$	$Y_4$	$Y_5$
$Y_5$	$e^{\epsilon} Y_1$	$e^{\epsilon} Y_2$	$e^{2\epsilon}Y_3$	$Y_4$	$Y_5$

**Table 6.** Invariants for (15).

	$V_1$	$V_2$	$V_3$	$V_4$	$V_5$	$V_6$	$V_7$	$V_8$
E	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0
F	0	0	0	α	1	0	0	0
H	0	1	-1	0	0	0	1	1
P	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1

**Theorem 6.** The vectors  $V_1, \ldots, V_8$  form an optimal system of one-dimensional subalgebra for (14).

Let  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{5} b_i Y_i$  be a general vector for (14). Similarly to the proof of theorem 1, it is easy to show that each one-dimensional subalgebra of (14) is equivalent to one member in  $V_i$ , (i = 1, ..., 8). Now we claim that they are inequivalent and hence form an optimal system. To prove this, we define some adjoint invariants.

**Lemma 7.**  $E = b_4$ ,  $F = b_5$  are invariants.

Lemma 8. Define

$$H = \begin{cases} \operatorname{sign} b_3, & b_5 = 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then H is an invariant.

**Proof.** Since  $b_5$  is an invariant, it suffices to check the invariance of H under  $b_5 = 0$ . Note that  $\operatorname{Ad} \exp(\epsilon Y_i)$ ,  $i \neq 5$ , do not change the value of  $b_3$ . We only need to check the action of  $\operatorname{Ad} \exp(\epsilon Y_5)$ . In fact, after acted by  $\operatorname{Ad} \exp(\epsilon Y_5)$ , the new coefficients of  $Y_3$ , say  $\tilde{b}_3$ , satisfy  $\tilde{b}_3 = \mathrm{e}^{2\epsilon}b_3$ , and then H is unchanged.

**Lemma 9.** The following function is an invariant:

$$P = \begin{cases} 1, & b_4 = b_5 = 0, b_1^2 + b_2^2 \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The proof is similar to that of lemma 4.

Now evaluate all invariants at each  $V_i (i=1,\ldots,8)$  and put the results in table 6. It is clear from table 6 that for different i, or the same i but with different parameters, they are inequivalent. Then theorem 6 holds.

We run through the individual subalgebras (15) and obtain the reduction formula and the corresponding invariant equations written in terms of the invariants. Note that  $V_6$  and  $V_7$  cannot yield group-invariant solutions. All other group reductions are presented in table A2. As explained before, in the reduced equations we always take the second invariant as a function of the first invariant.

**Table 7.** The adjoint representation of (18).

$\mathrm{Ad}(\epsilon \cdot)$	$Z_1$	$Z_2$	$Z_3$	$Z_4$	$Z_5$	$Z_6$	$Z_7$
$\overline{Z_1}$	$Z_1$	$Z_2$	$Z_3$	$Z_4 - \epsilon Z_2$	$Z_5$	$Z_6 - \epsilon Z_1$	$Z_7 - 3\epsilon Z_1$
$Z_2$	$Z_1$	$Z_2$	$Z_3$	$Z_4$	$Z_5 - \epsilon Z_1$	$Z_6 + \epsilon Z_2$	$Z_7$
$Z_3$	$Z_1$	$Z_2$	$Z_3$	$Z_4$	$Z_5$	$Z_6$	$Z_7 - 2\epsilon Z_3$
$Z_4$	$Z_1 + \epsilon Z_2$	$Z_2$	$Z_3$	$Z_4$	$Z_5 + \epsilon Z_6 - \epsilon^2 Z_4$	$Z_6 + 2\epsilon Z_4$	$Z_7 + 3\epsilon Z_4$
$Z_5$	$Z_1$	$Z_2 + \epsilon Z_1$	$Z_3$	$Z_4 + \epsilon Z_6 - \epsilon^2 Z_5$	$Z_5$	$Z_6 - 2\epsilon Z_5$	$Z_7 - 3\epsilon Z_5$
$Z_6$	$e^{\epsilon}Z_1$	$e^{-\epsilon}Z_2$	$Z_3$	$e^{-2\epsilon}Z_4$	$e^{2\epsilon}Z_5$	$Z_6$	$Z_7$
$Z_7$	$e^{3\epsilon}Z_1$	$Z_2$	$e^{2\epsilon}Z_3$	$e^{-3\epsilon}Z_4$	$e^{3\epsilon}Z_5$	$Z_6$	$Z_7$

Except for the reduced ODE for  $V_5$ , all other ODEs can be reduced to first-order ODEs. Once they are solved, exact solutions for (11) can be obtained. Here, we only write the group-invariant solution corresponding to  $V_1$ :

$$v(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 + \eta^2) + C_1,$$

and the exact solution corresponding to  $V_8$ :

$$v(\xi, \eta) = -\frac{1}{2}\ln(1 + \tan^2(\eta + C_1)) + C_2,$$

where and hereafter  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  denote arbitrary constants.

### 6. Group-invariant solutions for the geometric heat flow

Since we have reduced the geometric heat flow for surface to (10) and (11) in section 3, the further symmetry analysis for the two PDEs is accomplished in sections 4 and 5. Combining the results and conclusions in sections 3–5 together, we can obtain the reduced equations, or group-invariant solutions, for the geometric heat equation.

Case 1.  $k_4 \neq 0, k_2 \neq 0$ . The group-invariant solutions for the GHE are given by

$$k_4 u - \arctan \frac{y - y_0}{x - x_0} = v(e^{-k_2 u}((x - x_0)^2 + (y - y_0)^2), e^{-k_2 u}(t - t_0)),$$

where

$$x_0 = -\frac{k_2 k_5 + k_1 k_4}{k_4^2 + k_2^2},$$
  $y_0 = k_4 k_5 - k_1 k_2 k_4^2 + k_2^2,$   $t_0 = -\frac{k_3}{2k_2}.$ 

Case 2.  $k_4 \neq 0$ ,  $k_2 = 0$ . In this case, the group-invariant solutions for the GHE should satisfy

$$k_4 u - \arctan \frac{y - \frac{k_5}{k_4}}{x + \frac{k_1}{k_4}} = v \left( \left( x + \frac{k_1}{k_4} \right)^2 + \left( y - \frac{k_5}{k_4} \right)^2, t - k_3 u \right).$$

Case 3.  $k_4 = 0, k_2 \neq 0$ . The group-invariant solutions of the GHE are given implicitly by

$$e^{-k_2 u} \left( t + \frac{k_3}{2k_2} \right) = v \left( e^{-k_2 u} \left( x + \frac{k_5}{k_2} \right), e^{-k_2 u} \left( y + \frac{k_1}{k_2} \right) \right).$$

Case 4.  $k_4=0, k_2=0, k_1^2+k_3^2+k_5^2\neq 0$ . In this case, the group-invariant solutions for the GHE can be expressed as

$$t - k_3 u = v(x - k_5 u, y - k_1 u).$$

In the above four cases, the function v, or the equations it satisfies, can be found in table A1 for cases 1 and 2, and in table A2 for cases 3 and 4.

Here, we only present subsequently three group-invariant solutions of the GHE for illustration,

$$u = \frac{\pm \arctan\sqrt{-1 + C_1\left(\left(x + \frac{k_1}{k_4}\right)^2 + \left(y - \frac{k5}{k4}\right)^2\right)} + \arctan\frac{y - \frac{k5}{k4}}{x + \frac{k_1}{k_4}}}{k4} + C_2,$$

$$u = \frac{\arcsin\frac{C_1}{\sqrt{\left(\left(x + \frac{k_1}{k_4}\right)^2 + \left(y - \frac{k5}{k4}\right)^2\right)}} + \arctan\frac{y - \frac{k5}{k4}}{x + \frac{k_1}{k_4}}}{k4},$$

$$u = \frac{\ln\left(\left(x + \frac{k_5}{k_2}\right)^2 + \left(y + \frac{k_1}{k_2}\right)^2 + 2\left(t + \frac{k_3}{2k_2}\right)\right)}{2k_2}.$$
(16)

### 7. Exact solutions of the affine geometric heat flow

In this section, we carry out the group analysis for the affine case (7) and give exact solutions for a special case.

Now we consider the Lie symmetry of (7). Using the Lie's point symmetry method, we obtain the infinitesimal generator for the symmetry group of (7):

$$X = \eta_1(x, y, t, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \eta_2(x, y, t, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \eta_3(x, y, t, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \eta_4(x, y, t, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u},$$

where

$$\begin{split} \eta_1 &= (3F_5(u) + F_2(u))x + F_4(u)y + F_1(u), \\ \eta_2 &= F_7(u)x - F_2(u)y + F_3(u), \\ \eta_3 &= 2F_5(u)t + F_6(u), \\ \eta_4 &= F_8(u), \end{split}$$

and  $F_i(u)$  (i = 1, ..., 8) are eight arbitrary functions.

Here we only consider a special case with  $F_i(u) = k_i (i = 1, ..., 8)$  where  $k_2 \neq 0, k_4 = k_5 = 0, k_8 = 1$  and other  $k_i$ 's are arbitrary constants. Then  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$  and  $\eta_4$  become

$$\eta_1^* = k_2 x + k_1, \qquad \eta_2^* = k_7 x - k_2 y + k_3, 
\eta_3^* = k_6, \qquad \eta_4^* = 1.$$

Integration of the characteristic equation

$$\frac{dx}{k_2x + k_1} = \frac{dy}{k_7x - k_2y + k_3} = \frac{dt}{k_6} = \frac{du}{1}$$

gives the symmetry invariants

$$\xi = \frac{k_2 x + k_1}{e^{k_2 u} k_2},$$

$$\eta = \frac{e^{k_2 u} (2k_2^2 y - k_2 k_7 x - 2k_2 k_3 + k_7 k_1)}{2k_2^2},$$

We now look for a similarity reduction to (7) of the form

$$\tau = v(\xi, \eta).$$

Inserting it into (7) gives

$$-1 = v_{\eta}^{2} v_{\xi\xi} - 2v_{\xi} v_{\eta} v_{\xi\eta} + v_{\xi}^{2} v_{\eta\eta}. \tag{17}$$

Now we use Lie group theory to analyze (17). Its Lie algebra of infinitesimal symmetries is spanned by the following seven vector fields:

$$Z_{1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \qquad Z_{2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \qquad Z_{3} = \frac{\partial}{\partial v}, \qquad Z_{4} = \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta},$$

$$Z_{5} = \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}, \qquad Z_{6} = \xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} - \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta}, \qquad Z_{7} = 3\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi} + 2v \frac{\partial}{\partial v}.$$

$$(18)$$

The adjoint representation for the Lie algebra (18) can be found in table 7. We now introduce the vectors

$$\begin{array}{llll} U_1 = Z_6, & U_2 = Z_6 + Z_3, & U_3 = Z_6 - Z_3, & U_4 = Z_4 - Z_5 + \alpha Z_3, \\ U_5 = Z_4 + Z_3 + \alpha Z_1, & U_6 = Z_4 - Z_3 + \alpha Z_1, & U_7 = Z_4 + Z_1, \\ U_8 = Z_4 - Z_1, & U_9 = Z_4, & U_{10} = Z_1, & U_{11} = Z_1 + Z_3, \\ U_{12} = Z_1 - Z_3, & U_{13} = Z_2, & U_{14} = Z_3, & U_{15} = Z_2 + Z_3, \\ U_{16} = Z_2 - Z_3, & U_{17} = Z_7 + \alpha Z_6, & U_{18} = Z_7 + Z_4 + \alpha Z_5 \left(\alpha < -\frac{9}{4}\right), \\ U_{19} = Z_7 - Z_4 + \alpha Z_5 \left(\alpha > \frac{9}{4}\right), & U_{20} = Z_7 - \frac{3}{2}Z_6 + Z_5, \\ U_{21} = Z_7 - \frac{3}{2}Z_6 - Z_5, & U_{22} = Z_7 + Z_2, & U_{23} = Z_7 - Z_2, \\ U_{24} = Z_7 - 3Z_6 + Z_2 + Z_1, & U_{25} = Z_7 - 3Z_6 + Z_2 - Z_1, \\ U_{26} = Z_7 - 3Z_6 + Z_2, & U_{27} = Z_7 - 3Z_6 - Z_2 + Z_1, \\ U_{28} = Z_7 - 3Z_6 - Z_2 - Z_1, & U_{29} = Z_7 - 3Z_6 - Z_2, \\ U_{30} = Z_7 - 3Z_6 + Z_1, & U_{31} = Z_7 - 3Z_6 - Z_1. \end{array}$$

**Theorem 10.** An optimal system of one-dimensional subalgebras of (18) consists of the family  $\{U_i, i = 1, ..., 31\}$ .

Let  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{7} c_i Z_i$  be a general vector for (18).

**Lemma 11.**  $Q = c_6^2 + 3c_6c_7 + c_4c_5$  is an invariant.

**Proof.** A well-known fact is that the Killing form is invariant under the adjoint action. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$K(Z, Z) = 10(c_6^2 + 3c_6c_7 + c_4c_5) + 31c_7^2$$

is the Killing form of the Lie algebra (18). Hence K(Z, Z) is invariant under the adjoint action. From lemma 12, we see that Q is an invariant.

**Lemma 12.** The following two functions are invariants:

$$L = c_7,$$
  $S = \operatorname{sign} c_3.$ 

After using the optimal system, we obtain 31 nonequivalent one-dimensional subalgebras. With those Lie algebras, one may reduce (17) to ODEs, which are not equivalent essentially [30].

(1)  $U_1 = \xi \partial_{\xi} - \eta \partial_{\eta}$ . For  $U_1$ , its invariants are  $z = \xi \eta$  and v, the group-invariant solution for (17) is v = g(z), where g(z) satisfies

$$zg^{\prime 3} - \frac{1}{2} = 0.$$

Solving it gives a solution of (17):

$$v = \frac{3}{2^{\frac{4}{3}}} (\xi \eta)^{\frac{2}{3}} + C_1.$$

(2)  $U_2$ ,  $U_3 = \xi \partial_{\xi} - \eta \partial_{\eta} \pm \partial_{v}$ . For  $U_2$  and  $U_3$ , the invariants are  $z = \xi \eta$  and  $v \mp \ln |\xi|$ , and the group-invariant solutions for (17) are  $v = g(z) \pm \ln |\xi|$ , where g(z) satisfies the ODE

$$g'' - 2zg'^3 \mp 3g'^2 + 1 = 0.$$

(3)  $U_4 = -\eta \partial_{\xi} + \xi \partial_{\eta} + \alpha \partial_{v}$ .

(3.1)  $\alpha = 0$ . For  $U_4$ , its invariants are  $z = \xi^2 + \eta^2$  and v, the corresponding group-invariant solution for (17) is v = g(z), then g(z) satisfies the ODE

$$zg^{3} + \frac{1}{8} = 0.$$

Solving it, we deduce an exact solution to (17) given by

$$v = -\frac{3}{4}(\xi^2 + \eta^2)^{\frac{2}{3}} + C_1.$$

(3.2)  $\alpha \neq 0$ . In this case, the invariants for  $U_4$  are  $z = \xi^2 + \eta^2$  and  $v - \alpha \arctan \eta/\xi$ , then the group-invariant solution for (17) is  $v = g(z) + \alpha \arctan \eta/\xi$ , where g(z) satisfies the ODE

$$4\alpha^2 g'' + 8zg'^3 + \frac{6\alpha^2}{z}g' + 1 = 0.$$

(4)  $U_5$ ,  $U_6 = \alpha \partial_{\xi} + \xi \partial_{\eta} \pm \partial_{v}$ .

(4.1)  $\alpha = 0$ . In this case, the invariants are  $z = \xi$  and  $v \mp \eta/\xi$ , and the group-invariant solutions for (17) are given by  $v = g(z) \pm \eta/\xi$ , where g(z) satisfies the ODE

$$\frac{1}{z^2}g'' + \frac{2}{z^3}g' + 1 = 0.$$

Then, the corresponding solutions to (17) are

$$v = \pm \frac{\eta}{\xi} - \frac{1}{20}\xi^4 + C_1 \frac{1}{\xi} + C_2.$$

 $(4.2) \alpha \neq 0$ . For  $U_5$  and  $U_6$ , the invariants are  $z = \xi^2 - 2\alpha \eta$  and  $v \mp \xi/\alpha$ , then the group-invariant solutions for (17) can be represented as  $v = g(z) \pm \xi/\alpha$ , then g(z) satisfies the ODE

$$4g'' + 8\alpha^2 g'^3 + 1 = 0.$$

(5)  $U_7$ ,  $U_8 = \pm \partial_{\xi} + \xi \partial_{\eta}$ . The invariants are  $z = \xi^2 \mp 2\eta$  and v, and the corresponding group-invariant solutions for (17) are v = g(z), where g(z) satisfies the ODE

$$g^{3} + \frac{1}{8} = 0.$$

It gives a solution of (17)

$$v = -\frac{1}{2}(\xi^2 \mp 2\eta) + C_1.$$

(6)  $U_{11}$ ,  $U_{12} = \partial_{\xi} \pm \partial_{v}$ . For  $U_{11}$  and  $U_{12}$ , the invariants are  $z = \eta$  and  $v \mp \xi$ , and the group-invariant solutions for (17) are  $v = g(z) \pm \xi$ , where g(z) satisfies the ODE

$$g'' + 1 = 0.$$

The corresponding solutions to (17) are given by

$$v = \pm \xi - \frac{1}{2}\eta^2 + C_1\eta + C_2.$$

(7)  $U_{15}$ ,  $U_{16} = \partial_{\eta} \pm \partial_{v}$ . For  $U_{15}$  and  $U_{16}$ , the invariants are  $z = \xi$  and  $v \mp \eta$ , the group-invariant solutions for (17) are  $v = g(z) \pm \eta$ , where g(z) satisfies

$$g'' + 1 = 0.$$

It gives a solution of (17):

$$v = \pm \eta - \frac{1}{2}\xi^2 + C_1\xi + C_2.$$

(8)  $U_{17} = (3 + \alpha)\xi \partial_{\xi} - \alpha \eta \partial_{\eta} + 2v \partial_{v}$ .

(8.1)  $\alpha = 0$ . In this case, its invariants are  $z = \eta$  and  $v\xi^{-2/3}$ , the group-invariant solution for (17) is given by  $v = \xi^{2/3}g(z)$ , where g(z) satisfies the ODE

$$4g^2g'' - 10gg'^2 + 9 = 0.$$

(8.2)  $\alpha \neq 0$ . For  $U_{17}$ , its invariants are  $z = \eta^{1+3/\alpha} \xi$  and  $v \eta^{2/\alpha}$ , and the corresponding group-invariant solution for (17) is given by  $v = \eta^{-2/\alpha} g(z)$ , where g(z) satisfies the ODE

$$4g^2g'' - (2\alpha^2 + 9\alpha + 9)zg'^3 + 2(3\alpha + 4)gg'^2 + \alpha^2 = 0.$$

(9)  $U_{18}=(3\xi+\alpha\eta)\partial_{\xi}+\xi\partial_{\eta}+2v\partial_{v}\left(\alpha<-\frac{9}{4}\right)$ . For  $U_{18}$ , its invariants are  $z=(\xi^{2}-3\xi\eta-\alpha\eta^{2})/(4\xi^{2}-12\xi\eta+9\eta^{2})$  and  $v/(2\xi-3\eta)^{4/3}$ , the group-invariant solution for (17) is given by  $v=(2\xi-3\eta)^{4/3}g(z)$ , where g(z) satisfies the ODE

$$(1 - 4z)g^2g'' - \frac{1}{4}(1 - 4z)gg'^2 - 2g^2g' + \frac{9}{16(9 + 4\alpha)} = 0.$$

(10)  $U_{19}=(3\xi+\alpha\eta)\partial_{\xi}-\xi\partial_{\eta}+2v\partial_{v}\left(\alpha>\frac{9}{4}\right)$ . For  $U_{19}$ , similar as  $U_{18}$ , its invariants are  $z=(\xi^{2}+3\xi\eta+\alpha\eta^{2})/(4\xi^{2}+12\xi\eta+9\eta^{2})$  and  $v/(2\xi+3\eta)^{4/3}$ , the group-invariant solution for (17) takes the form  $v=(2\xi+3\eta)^{4/3}g(z)$ , where g(z) satisfies the ODE

$$(1 - 4z)g^2g'' - \frac{1}{4}(1 - 4z)gg'^2 - 2g^2g' + \frac{9}{16(9 - 4\alpha)} = 0.$$

(11)  $U_{20}$ ,  $U_{21} = \left(\frac{3}{2}\xi \pm \eta\right)\partial_{\xi} + \frac{3}{2}\eta\partial_{\eta} + 2v\partial_{v}$ . For  $U_{20}$  and  $U_{21}$ , the invariants are  $z = (2/3) \ln |\eta| \mp \xi/\eta$  and  $v/\eta^{4/3}$ , the group-invariant solutions for (17) are  $v = \eta^{4/3}g(z)$ . Then g(z) satisfies

$$16g^2g'' + 6g'^3 - 4gg'^2 + 9 = 0.$$

(12)  $U_{22}, U_{23} = 3\xi \partial_{\xi} \pm \partial_{\eta} + 2v\partial_{v}$ . For  $U_{22}$  and  $U_{23}$ , the invariants are  $z = \ln |\xi| \mp 3\eta$  and  $v\xi^{-2/3}$ , the group-invariant solution for (17) is  $v = \xi^{2/3}g(z)$ , where g fulfils the ODE

$$4g^2g'' - 9g'^3 - 10gg'^2 + 1 = 0. (19)$$

(13)  $U_{24}$ ,  $U_{25}=\pm\partial_\xi+(3\eta+1)\partial_\eta+2v\partial_v$ . For  $U_{24}$  and  $U_{25}$ , the invariants are  $z=(1/3)\ln|3\eta+1|\mp\xi$  and  $v(3\eta+1)^{-2/3}$ , the group-invariant solution for (17) is given by  $v=(3\eta+1)^{2/3}g(z)$ , where g(z) satisfies the ODE

$$4g^2g'' - 3g'^3 - 10gg'^2 + 1 = 0. (20)$$

(14)  $U_{26} = (3\eta + 1)\partial_{\eta} + 2v\partial_{v}$ . For  $U_{26}$ , the invariants are  $z = \xi$  and  $v(3\eta + 1)^{-2/3}$ , the group-invariant solution for (17) is given by  $v = (3\eta + 1)^{2/3}g(z)$ , where g(z) satisfies

$$4g^2g'' - 10gg'^2 + 1 = 0. (21)$$

- (15)  $U_{27}$ ,  $U_{28} = \pm \partial_{\xi} + (3\eta 1)\partial_{\eta} + 2v\partial_{v}$ . For  $U_{27}$  and  $U_{28}$ , the invariants are  $z = (1/3) \ln|3\eta 1| \mp \xi$  and  $v(3\eta 1)^{-2/3}$ , the group-invariant solution for (17) is  $v = (3\eta 1)^{2/3}g(z)$  with g(z) satisfying (20).
- (16)  $U_{29} = (3\eta 1)\partial_{\eta} + 2v\partial_{v}$ . The invariants for  $U_{29}$  are  $z = \xi$  and  $v(3\eta 1)^{-2/3}$ , the group-invariant solution for (17) is given by  $v = (3\eta 1)^{2/3}g(z)$ , where g(z) satisfies equation (21).
- (17)  $U_{30}$ ,  $U_{31} = \pm \partial_{\xi} + 3\eta \partial_{\eta} + 2v \partial_{v}$ . For  $U_{30}$  and  $U_{31}$ , the invariants are  $z = \ln |\eta| \mp 3\xi$  and  $v\eta^{-2/3}$ , the group-invariant solution for (17) is  $v = \eta^{2/3}g(z)$ , with g(z) satisfying (19).

Now the symmetry group analysis for (17) is accomplished, since we have reduced the affine geometric heat flow (7) to (17) for the special case defined before. Then combining the results and conclusions obtained above, the group-invariant solutions of the affine geometric heat flow in the case  $F_i(u) = k_i (i = 1, ..., 8)$ , where  $k_2 \neq 0$ ,  $k_4 = k_5 = 0$ ,  $k_8 = 1$  and other  $k_i$ 's are arbitrary constants, can be expressed as

$$t - k_6 u = v \left( \frac{k_2 x + k_1}{e^{k_2 u} k_2}, \frac{e^{k_2 u} (2k_2^2 y - k_2 k_7 x - 2k_2 k_3 + k_7 k_1)}{2k_2^2} \right),$$

where v satisfies (17).

## 8. Concluding remarks

We have systematically derived the Lie point symmetries of the geometric heat flow (6). The basic similarity reductions are performed when the arbitrary functions in the infinitesimal transformations are confined to constants. Reduced equations and exact solutions associated with the symmetries are obtained.

Lie symmetries for the affine geometric heat flow (7) are also determined and its corresponding group-invariant solutions are also derived for a special case.

It remains open to reduce equations (6) and (7) when the functions  $F_i(u)$  are not constants.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National NSF (Grant No 10671156) of China and the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-04-0968).

## **Appendix**

We put tables A1 and A2 cited in sections 4 and 5, respectively, in the appendix.

**Table A1.** Reduced equation for (10).

No	Generators	Invariants	Ansatz	Reduced equation
1	$X_4$	$(\frac{\xi}{\eta}, v)$	v = g(z)	$4zg'' + 4z^{2}(z+2)g'^{3} + (z+6)g' = 0$
2	$X_4 + \alpha X_3$	$(\frac{\dot{\xi}}{\eta}, v - \alpha \ln  \eta )$	$v = g(z) + \alpha \ln  \eta $	$4zg'' + 4z^2(z+2)g'^3 - 4\alpha z^2 g'^2 + (z+6)g' - \alpha = 0$
4	$X_3 + X_5$	$(\xi, v - \eta)$	$v = g(z) + \eta$	$4zg'' + 8z^2g'^3 - 4z^2g'^2 + 6g' - 1 = 0$
5	$X_3 - X_5$	$(\xi, v + \eta)$	$v = g(z) - \eta$	$4zg'' + 8z^2g'^3 + 4z^2g'^2 + 6g' + 1 = 0$
6	$X_1$	$(\eta, \xi \sin^2 v)$	$\xi \sin^2 v = g(z)$	g' = 0
	$X_5$		v = g(z)	$4zg'' + 8z^2g'^3 + 6g' = 0$
8	$X_5 + X_1$	$(\xi \sin^2 v, \sqrt{\xi} \cos v - \eta)$	$\sqrt{\xi}\cos v - \eta = g(z)$	$4zg'' - 4zg'^2 + 2g' - 1 = 0$

**Table A2.** Reduced equation for (11).

No	Generators	Invariants	Ansatz	Reduced equation
1	$Y_4$	$(\xi^2 + \eta^2, v)$	v = g(z)	$g' + \frac{1}{2} = 0$
2	$Y_4 + Y_3$	$(\xi^2 + \eta^2, v - \arctan \frac{\eta}{\xi})$	$v = g(z) + \arctan \frac{\eta}{\xi}$	$4zg'' + 8z^2g'^3 + 4z^2g'^2 + 6g' + 1 = 0$
3	$Y_4 - Y_3$	$(\xi^2 + \eta^2, v + \arctan \frac{\eta}{\xi})$	$v = g(z) - \arctan \frac{\eta}{\xi}$	$4zg'' + 8z^2g'^3 + 4z^2g'^2 + 6g' + 1 = 0$
4	$Y_4 + \alpha Y_5$	$(\ln(\xi^2 + \eta^2) - 2\alpha \arctan \frac{\eta}{\xi}, \frac{v}{\xi^2 + \eta^2})$	$v = (\xi^2 + \eta^2)g(z)$	$4\alpha^2 g^2 g'' + 2(\alpha^2 + 1)g'^3$
		, ,		$+(\alpha^2+1+6g-2\alpha^2g)g'^2+2(3g+1)gg$
				$+g^2(2g+1) = 0$
5	$Y_5$	$(\frac{\eta}{\xi}, \frac{v}{n^2})$	$v = \eta^2 g(z)$	$4z^4g^2g'' + z^2(z^2 + 1 - 2z^2g)g'^2$
		<i>y</i> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		$+4z(2z^2g+1)gg'+4g^2=0$
8	$Y_1 + Y_3$	$(\eta, v - \xi)$	$v = g(z) + \xi$	$g'' + g'^2 + 1 = 0$

#### References

- Angenent S 1990 Parabolic equations for curves on surfaces: Part I. Curves with p-integrable curvature Ann. Math. 132 451–83
- [2] Angenent S 1991 On the formation of singularities in the curve shortening flow J. Diff. Geom. 33 601-34
- [3] Gage M and Hamilton R S 1986 The heat equation shrinking convex plane curves J. Diff. Geom. 23 69-96
- [4] Grayson M 1989 Shortening embedded curves Ann. Math. 129 71–111
- [5] Chow B, Lu P and Ni L 2006 Hamilton's Ricci Flow (Graduate Studies in Mathematics vol 77) (Providence, RI/New York: American Mathematical Society/Science Press)
- [6] Kimia B B, Tannenbaum A and Zucker S W 1992 On the evolution of curves via a function of curvature: I. The classical case J. Math. Anal. Appl. 163 438–58
- [7] Sapiro G 2001 Geometric Partial Differential Equations and Image Analysis (New York: Cambridge University Press)
- [8] Cao F 2003 Geometric Curve Evolution and Image Processing (Berlin: Springer)
- [9] Kimia B B, Tannenbaum A and Zucker S W 1995 Shapes, shocks, and deformations: I. The components of two-dimensional shape and the reaction-diffusion space Int. J. Comput. Vis. 15 189–224
- [10] Kimia B B and Siddiqi 1996 Geometric heat equation and nonlinear diffusion of shapes and images Comput. Vis. Image Understand. 64 305–22
- [11] Dolcetta I C, Vita S F and March R 2002 Area-preserving curve-shortening flows: from phase separation to image processing *Interfaces Free Bound*. 4 325–43
- [12] Angenent S, Pichon E and Tannenbaum A 2006 Mathematical methods in medical image processing Bull. AMS 43 365–96
- [13] Deckelnick K, Dziuk G and Elliott C M 2005 Computation of geometric partial differential equations and mean curvature flow Acta Numer. 14 139–232
- [14] Bakas I and Sourdis C 2007 Dirichlet sigma models and mean curvature Preprint hep-th/0704.3985v1
- [15] Gage M 1983 An isoperimetric inequality with applications to curve shortening *Duke Math. J.* 50 1225–9
- [16] Gage M 1984 Curve shortening makes convex curves circular *Invent. Math.* **76** 357–64

[17] Grayson M 1987 The heat equation shrinks embedded plane curves to round points J. Diff. Geom. 26 285–314

- [18] Alvarez L, Guichard F, Lions P L and Morel J M 1993 Axioms and fundamental equations of image processing Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 123 199–257
- [19] Sapiro G and Tannenbaum A 1993 Affine invariant scale space Int. J. Comput. Vis. 11 25-44
- [20] Sapiro G and Tannenbaum A 1993 On invariant curve evolution and image analysis *Indiana Univ. Math.* J. 42 985–1011
- [21] Angenent S, Sapiro G and Tannenbaum A 1998 On the affine heat equation for non-convex curves *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **11** 601–34
- [22] Chou K S and Zhu X P 2001 *The Curve Shortening Problem* (London/Boca Raton, FL: Chapman and Hall/CRC Press)
- [23] Chou K S and Li G X 2002 Optimal systems and invariant solutions for the curve shortening problem Commun. Anal. Geom. 10 241–74
- [24] Osher S J and Sethian J A 1988 Fronts propagating with curvature dependent speed: algorithms based on the Hamilton–Jacobi formulation J. Comput. Phys. 79 12–49
- [25] Sethian J A 1996 Level Set Methods: Evolving Interfaces in Geometry, Fluid Mechanics, Computer Vision and Materials Sciences (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [26] Alvarez L, Lions P L and Morel J M 1992 Image selective smoothing and edge detection by nonlinear diffusion SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 29 845–66
- [27] Abresch U and Langer J 1986 The normalized curve shortening flow and homothetic solutions J. Diff. Geom. 23 175–96
- [28] Nien C H and Tsai D H 2006 Convex curves moving translationally in the plane J. Diff. Eqns 225 605-23
- [29] Ibragimov N H 1985 Transformation Groups Applied to Mathematical Physics (Dordrecht: Reidel)
- [30] Olver P J 1986 Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations (New York: Springer)
- [31] Ovsiannikov L V 1982 Group Analysis of Differential Equations (New York: Academic)
- [32] Chou K S and Qu C Z 2004 Optimal systems and group classification of (1+2)-dimensional heat equation Acta Appl. Math. 83 257–87