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#### Abstract

The exceptional Lie group $G_{2}$ can be viewed as the group of automorphisms on the octonians, the largest of the normed division algebras. In this paper I will attempt to illustrate the nature of $G_{2}$ and present a representation that emphasizes its symmetries. In particular, I derive a set of generators of $G_{2}$ that are related to each other by simple permutations of the coordinates.


## Octonians

The octonians are an eight dimensional extension of the quaternions (which are in turn and extension of complex numbers) which are normed (the product of the norm is the norm of the product) and they are a division algebra (every non-zero element has an inverse.) There are two common ways of defining them.

## ■ By doubling

You can build the octonians by repeated use of the Cayley-Dickson construction. [3]
The complex numbers can be defined as an ordered pair of real numbers $(x, y)$ with the multiplication rule

$$
(a, b)(c, d)=(a c-b d, a d+b c)
$$

This is equivalent to adding a new element $i$ to the real numbers, where $i^{2}=-1$.Complex numbers are commonly written in the form

$$
(a, b)=a+i b
$$

An important operation is complex conjugation, a reflection on the imaginary axis.

$$
\overline{(a, b)}=(a,-b), \overline{a+i b}=a-i b
$$

The quaternions can be defined as an ordered pair of complex numbers with the multiplication rule

$$
(a, b)(c, d)=(a c-b \bar{d}, \bar{a} d+b c)
$$

This is equivalent to introducing a second imaginary element $j$ where $j^{2}=-1$, and $i j=-j i$, which implies a third imaginary element, $\mathbb{k}=i j$. Quaternions are commonly written in the form

$$
w+i x+j y+\mathbb{k} z
$$

Quaternion conjugation is a reflection in the 3D imaginary space.

$$
\overline{(a, b)}=(\bar{a},-b), \quad \overline{w+i x+j y+\mathbb{k} z}=w-i x-j y-\mathbb{k} z
$$

Finally, octonians can be defined as an ordered pair of quaternions with the multiplication rule

$$
(a, b)(c, d)=(a c-\bar{d} b, a d+b \bar{c})
$$

This is equivalent to introducing a forth imaginary element $l$, where $l^{2}=-1$, which in turn implies three more:
$i \|, j l$, and $\mathbb{k} \mathbb{D}$, which all anti-commute and which all square to -1 . Octonians are commonly written in terms of two quaternions and $\eta$.

$$
(a, b)=a+b \rrbracket
$$

Octonian conjugation is a reflection in the 7D imaginary space.

$$
\overline{(a, b)}=(\bar{a},-b), \quad \overline{a+b \mathbb{\rrbracket}}=\bar{a}-b \mathbb{\rrbracket}
$$

Octonians are non-associative. In particular

$$
i(j \mathbb{I})=-(i j) \rrbracket
$$

This is clearly necessary if we are to require that $(\mathbb{k} \mathbb{I})^{2}=((i \dot{j}) \mathbb{I})^{2}=-1$.
It's important to note that these choices of basis are purely arbitrary. You can chose any purely imaginary octonian to be the generator of the complex numbers. You can chose any second imaginary octonian, as long as it's orthogonal to the first to be your $j$, and get the quaternions. You can choose any third octonian, orthogonal to $i, j$, and $\mathbb{k}$, to be your $\Pi$, and generate the full octonians. There are no preferred directions, other than the real axis.

## ■ By 7-cycle multiplication rules

An equivalent way of defining the octonians (described in [2]) is by giving a basis, $i_{n}$ for $n \in\{1 \ldots 7\}$ with the multiplication rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{n}^{2}=-1 \\
& i_{n} i_{n+1}=-i_{n+1} i_{n}=i_{n+3} \\
& i_{n+1} i_{n+3}=-i_{n+3} i_{n+1}=i_{n} \\
& i_{n+3} i_{n}=-i_{n} i_{n+3}=i_{n+1}
\end{aligned}
$$

(where the subscripts cycle modulo 7). A general octonian can be written

$$
x=a_{0}+\sum_{n=1}^{7} a_{n} i_{n}
$$

and the octonian conjugate can be written

$$
\bar{x}=a_{0}-\sum_{n=1}^{7} a_{n} i_{n}
$$

There is a simple relationship between the doubling basis and the 7-cycle basis.

$$
i=i_{1}, j=\dot{i}_{2}, \quad l=i_{3}, \mathbb{k}=i_{4}, \quad \dot{j} l=i_{5},-\mathbb{k} \|=i_{6}, \quad \dot{i} l=\dot{i}_{7}
$$

An advantage of the 7 -cycle basis is that whenever you have a relationship between octonians, you can quickly generate seven other relationships by incrementing the indices. In particular (and I emphasize this because I'll be using it a lot), any two octonians and their product, for example $\dot{i}_{n}, \dot{i}_{n+1}$, and $\dot{i}_{n+3}$ for any n , are in the same quaternion subalgebra and are associative.

## What they do

The norm of a real, complex, quaternion, or octonian number can be written

$$
\llbracket x \rrbracket=x \bar{x}
$$

For octonians, that can be expanded as

$$
\llbracket x \rrbracket=x \bar{x}=a_{0}^{2}+\sum_{n=1}^{7} a_{n}^{2}
$$

A unit is a number (real, complex, quaternion, or octonian) whose norm is 1 . Any unit $u$ can be written as

$$
u=e^{\hat{n} \theta}=\cos (\theta)+\hat{n} \sin (\theta)
$$

where $\hat{n}$ is a unit with zero real part and $\theta$ is a real angle of rotation. (In other words, Euler's formula generalizes to quaternions and octonians.) For complex numbers, multiplication by a unit, $e^{\mathrm{n} \theta} z$, is a simple rotation about the origin by angle $\theta$. Multiplication by quaternion units is also a rotation. It's probably easiest to explain by showing the equivalent matrix.

$$
e^{i \theta} x \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos (\theta) & -\sin (\theta) & 0 & 0 \\
\sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cos (\theta) & -\sin (\theta) \\
0 & 0 & \sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta)
\end{array}\right) x
$$

In words, left multiplying by $e^{i \theta}$ rotates by $\theta$ in the $\mathrm{w}-i$ plane and also in the $j$ - $\mathbb{k}$ plane. Right multiplying give the opposite rotation in only the second plane.

$$
x \boldsymbol{e}^{i \theta} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos (\theta) & -\sin (\theta) & 0 & 0 \\
\sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cos (\theta) & \sin (\theta) \\
0 & 0 & -\sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta)
\end{array}\right) x
$$

We can split the difference in two ways

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{e}^{i \theta / 2} x \boldsymbol{e}^{i \theta / 2} & \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\cos (\theta) & -\sin (\theta) & 0 & 0 \\
\sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) x \\
\boldsymbol{e}^{i \theta / 2} x \boldsymbol{e}^{-i \theta / 2} & \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cos (\theta) & \sin (\theta) \\
0 & 0 & -\sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta)
\end{array}\right) x
\end{aligned}
$$

One can see that with these pieces one can build up completely general groups of rotations. In fact, give an arbitrary quaternion unit $u$, the operation $u x \bar{u}$ is a general 3D rotation, and given two arbitrary unit quaternions $u$ and $v$, the operation $u x v$ is a general 4D rotation.

We can find the generators of the Lie algebra by taking the derivative near the identity (finding the tangent space as they say). The matrix you get is the same as if you'd set the angle to $90^{\circ}$. It gives you a much more compact version of the structure of the group.

$$
i x \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) x, j x \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) x, \mathbb{k} x \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) x
$$

Note that the matrix forms of these operations obey the same algebra as the operators themselves.
The equivalent matrices for the octonians are similar. In the 7-cycle basis we have

$$
i_{1} x \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), x \dot{i}_{1} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

There are several interesting things to note.

1) $i_{1}$ rotates in the planes: w-1, 2-4, 3-7, and 5-6.
2) The rotation planes are related to the quaternion subalgebras which contain $i_{1}: 124,713$, and 561 .
3) Right multiplying has the same rotation in the plane through the real axis as left multiplying does but the opposite rotation everywhere else.

Clearly $e^{\hat{n} \theta / 2} x e^{\hat{\theta} \theta / 2}$ is a rotation in the Real- $\hat{n}$ plane that is fixed everywhere else. Using that alone, we can build up completely general rotations in eight dimensions. Unlike in the quaternion case, the non-associativity prevents us from reducing that to multiplying by one or two octonians. However, it can be proven that (see Conway [2] section 8.4) any general rotation can be built up from at most seven left multiplications.

## Elements and Generators of $\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{2}}$

The group $G_{2}$ can be represented by the group of automorphisms on the octonians. That is, elements of $G_{2}$ are mappings from the octonians onto themselves which preserve multiplication. If $g$ is an element of $G_{2}$, then

$$
g(x) g(y)=g(x y)
$$

It can be shown (see Cacciatori et. al. [ref. 1], section 2) that if $g$ is a series of left handed multiplications by purely imaginary octonians, and if $g(1)=1$, then $g \in G_{2}$.

Following ref. [1] we define

$$
g_{\mathrm{abc}}(x)=-((c b) a)(a(b(c x)))
$$

where, $a, b, c$, and $(c b) a$ are all purely imaginary octonians. Clearly our choice of $(c b) a$ guarantees $g_{\mathrm{abc}}(1)=1$, so $g_{\mathrm{abc}} \in G_{2}$. The condition that $(c b) a$ is imaginary means that $(\mathrm{cb}) \perp a$.

We next add a parameter to $g_{\text {abc }}$ so we can find the tangents near the identity. Still following ref. [1] we consider $g_{a_{t}}$ bc where $a_{t}=c \cos (t)+a \sin (t)$, with the additional requirements that $a \perp b, b \perp c$, and $c \perp a$. Then by definition
$C_{\mathrm{abc}}=\left.\frac{d}{\mathrm{dt}}\right|_{t=0} g_{a_{t} \mathrm{bc}}$
is an element of $\operatorname{Lie}\left(G_{2}\right)$.
To quote ref. [1], "With suitable choices of the elements $a, b$, and $c$ among the elements of the canonical basis of O we can find a basis of this algebra." It's the "suitable choices" that I'm interested in. I think it's informative to examine all $210(7 \times 6 \times 5)$ choices and see what's there.

## 210 Combinations

## ■ Overall sign

Our first identity is

$$
C_{\mathrm{abc}}=-C_{\mathrm{cba}}
$$

I know because I've tried them all, but you could probably prove it by carefully permuting the octonians in $g_{\text {abc }}$. (to do...)

## Quaternion Subalgebras

If $a, b$, and $c$ are part of a quaternion subalgebra ( $i_{1}, i_{2}$, and $i_{4}$ for example) then $((c b) a)$ is real, and our conditions on the indices of $g_{\text {abc }}$ aren't satisfied. Clearly this is a non-starter. Although $C_{124}$ is not an element of $\operatorname{Lie}\left(G_{2}\right)$, it's still interesting. Compare it to right multiplying by $i_{2}$.

$$
x i_{2} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right), C_{124} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that $C_{124}$ is just $i_{2}$ with the axes 1,2 , and 4 (along with the real axis) projected out. This is true for each of the 7 -cycle equivalents, and for the 6 permutations of the indices:

When $\dot{i}_{a}, \dot{i}_{b}$, and $i_{c}$ generate a quaternion algebra, then $C_{a b c}$ is equivalent to right multiplying by ${\dot{i_{b}}}$ and then projecting out the real, $a, b$, and $c$ axes.

## ■ Complements to the Quaternion Subalgebras

Just as when we added $\mathbb{I}$ to the quaternions to get the additional basis elements $\mathfrak{i} \mathbb{\|}$, $\mathfrak{j} \mathbb{l}$, and $\mathbb{k} \mathbb{1}$, we can multiply the indices of a quaternion $C_{\mathrm{abc}}$ by something outside the quaternion space, call it $d$, to get $C_{\mathrm{ad}, \mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{cd}}$. It doesn't matter if you right or left multiply $-d$ anticommutes with everything else and $C_{\mathrm{abc}}$ is an even function of each index.

There are four choices for the extra axis. $C_{124}$, for example, can be modified by $i_{3}, i_{5}$, $i_{6}$, or $i_{7}$, giving us the following elements of $\operatorname{Lie}\left(G_{2}\right)$. (I'm going to start suppressing the first row and column of the matrices, since elements of $G_{2}$ always leave the real axis alone.)

$$
\begin{array}{rl} 
& C_{13,23,43}=C_{756}, C_{15,25,45}=C_{637}, C_{16,26,46}=C_{573}, C_{17,27,47}=C_{365} \\
C_{756} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), C_{637} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
C_{573} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right), C_{365} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)-1 \\
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array} 0
$$

Curiously, the choice almost doesn't matter. We find that $C_{756}=C_{365}=-C_{637}=-C_{573}$. More than that, when we introduced $i_{a}$ into $C_{124}$, it had the effect of reflecting the $a$ th row and column. For $i_{3}$ and $i_{5}$ that had the effect of reversing the direction of the 3-5 plane rotation. For $i_{6}$ and $i_{7}$, it reversed the direction of the 6-7 plane rotation. Since these $C$ s all represent rotations in the same two planes, they all represent the same operation, up to an overall sign.

Constructing one of these "quaternion complements" tends to obscure the original quaternion basis. To avoid that, I'll introduce a new notation.

$$
C_{\mathrm{abcd}}=C_{\mathrm{ad}, \mathrm{bd}, \mathrm{~cd}}
$$

## ■ Dependent Triplets

When we have four versions of the same thing with some extra signs, is there a preferred choice? Is there one that's easier to use?

Recall that $C_{124}$ was a projection of $i_{2}$. What else is a projection of $i_{2}$ ? It turns out there are three of them, corresponding to the cyclic permutations $(i, i+1, i+3),(i+3, i, i+1)$, and $(i+1, i+3, i)$, adjusted so that there is a ' 2 ' in the middle of each.

$$
C_{124}, \quad C_{523}, \quad C_{726}
$$

If we turn each of these into an element of $\operatorname{Lie}\left(G_{2}\right)$ with the corresponding $\dot{i}_{i-1}$ (which gives a more convenient choice of signs than $\dot{i}_{i+2}, \dot{i}_{i+4}$, or $i_{i+5}$ do), we get

$$
C_{1247}=C_{365}, C_{5231}=C_{647}, C_{7265}=C_{431}
$$

or

$$
C_{1247} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
C_{5231} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
C_{7265} \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that:
These were based on $C_{\mathrm{a} 2 \mathrm{c}}$ and their 2 nd row and column is zero.
Each has two of the three rotation planes that the $\dot{i}_{2}$ matrix has.
Their sum is zero.
Their product is zero.
They commute with each other.
They are not orthogonal, but the difference between any two is orthogonal to the third.
The orthonormality condition is (from ref. [1], sec 2)

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(C_{I} C_{J}\right)=-4 \delta_{I J}
$$

from which we find that (for example)

$$
C_{1247} \text { and } \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(C_{5231}-C_{7265}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

are orthogonal to each other and normalized.
In fact, these matrices act like three vectors on a plane, which are spaced $120^{\circ}$ apart.


We can write down the corresponding rotation matrix by inspection. We want a rotation that maps

$$
C_{1247} \rightarrow C_{5231} \rightarrow C_{7265} \rightarrow C_{1247}
$$

To do that, it must map the indices according to

$$
\dot{i}_{1} \rightarrow i_{5} \rightarrow \dot{i}_{7} \rightarrow \dot{i}_{1}, \quad i_{4} \rightarrow i_{3} \rightarrow \dot{i}_{6} \rightarrow i_{4}
$$

The matrix for that is obviously

$$
S=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad S^{3}=1, \quad S^{-1}=S^{T}=S^{2}
$$

$$
S^{T} C_{1247} S=C_{5231} \quad S^{T} C_{5231} S=C_{7265} \quad S^{T} C_{7265} S=C_{1247}
$$

It's easy to write down a similar matrix for any other dependent triplet.

## ■ Counting to 210

We have examined them all. There are
3 cyclic permutations and 7 incremented version of $C_{124}$. That's 21 combinations which are not in $\operatorname{Lie}\left(G_{2}\right)$. There are 4 ways to convert each of the above 21 into an element of $\operatorname{Lie}\left(G_{2}\right)$, though they are redundant.
Lastly, every $C_{\mathrm{abc}}=-C_{\mathrm{cba}}$
That's
$3 \times 7 \times(1+4) \times 2=210$
Of these combinations, only 21 are significant. 42 are not even in $\operatorname{Lie}\left(G_{2}\right)$ and the rest are really copies of the 21 , up to overall sign.

The 21 are not orthogonal. The 21 fall into seven groups of 3, and each group of 3 can be arranged as two orthogonal elements, so really there are 14 independent elements, which is the number of generators $G_{2}$ requires.

## The Generators of $\operatorname{Lie}\left(\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$

I will call my generators $C_{a, i}$ where $a \in\{1 . .7\}$ is the 2 nd index in $C_{\text {abcd }}$, and $i \in\{1,2,3\}$ says which cyclic permutation of the subalgebra it belongs to. More explicitly

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{a, 1}=C_{a+3, a, a+1, a-1} \\
& C_{a, 2}=C_{a-1, a, a+2, a-2} \quad \text { all right hand indices incremented modulo } 7 \\
& C_{a, 3}=C_{a-2, a+1, a-3}
\end{aligned}
$$

These matrices are related by the 7-cycle and 3-cycle transformations (aren't they pretty?)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad S_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), S_{a+1}=\Lambda^{T} S_{a} \Lambda \\
& C_{a, i+1}=S_{a}^{T} C_{a, i} S_{a},
\end{aligned} C_{a+1, i}=\Lambda^{T} C_{a, i} \Lambda .
$$

My actual matrices are (for $C_{\text {row, column }}$ )
$\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$
$\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$
$\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

If we are going to build minimal orthogonal sets from these, we'll also need to define

$$
D_{a, i}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(C_{a, i+1}-C_{a, i+2}\right) \quad(i \text { index wraps around modulo } 3)
$$

so that $C_{a, i}$ and $D_{a, i}$ are an orthonormal set for any given $a$ and $i$.

## ■ Commutators

Matrices in the same row commute.

$$
\left[C_{a, i}, C_{a, j}\right]=0 \quad \forall a \in\{1 . .7\}, i, j \in\{1,2,3\}
$$

A few commutators give a factor of $\pm 2$. These form su(2) subgroups.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[C_{a, 1}, C_{a+1,2}\right]=2 C_{a+3,3}} \\
& {\left[C_{a, 1}, C_{a+2,2}\right]=2 C_{a+6,3}}
\end{aligned} \quad \forall a \in\{1 . .7\} \text { and cyclic permutations of the } C_{a, i} \mathrm{~s} .
$$

For every $\mathrm{su}(2)$ based commutator like
$\left[C_{a, 1}, C_{b, 2}\right]=2 C_{c, 3}$
there are several related commutators where you change the second index of one $C_{i, j}$.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
{\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}, 1}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}, 1}\right]=-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}, 3}} & {\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}, 1}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}, 3}\right]=-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}, 3}} \\
{\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}, 2}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}, 2}\right]=-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}, 3}} & {\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{a}, 3}, \mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{b}, 2}\right]=-\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{c}, 3}}
\end{array}
$$

Thus, changing only one of the 3 -cycle indices gives the same commutator, except for a factor of $-1 / 2$. (Or, if you prefer, $\cos \left(120^{\circ}\right)$, as if the matrix had been rotated a third of a circle.)

If you change both of the 3 -cycle indices inside the commutator the third 3-cycle index will change as well. How it changes depends on the if the first two change in the same direction. If we have

$$
\left[C_{a, 1}, C_{b, 2}\right]=2 C_{c, 3}
$$

then

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[C_{a, 1+1}, C_{b, 2+1}\right]} & =-C_{c, 3+1} & {\left[C_{a, 1-1}, C_{b, 2-1}\right]=-C_{c, 3+1}} \\
{\left[C_{a, 1+1}, C_{b, 2-1}\right]} & =-C_{c, 3-1} & {\left[C_{a, 1-1}, C_{b, 2+1}\right]=-C_{c, 3-1}}
\end{array}
$$

or

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[C_{a, 2}, C_{b, 3}\right] } & =-C_{c, 1} \\
{\left[C_{a, 2}, C_{b, 1}\right] } & =-C_{c, 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Communting a $C$ with a $D$ is similar to rotating a $C$ by $90^{\circ}$. (Just as "rotating" a introduced a factor of a, "rotating" a introduced a factor of a.) This commutator will be important when we go looking for su(3) subgroups of $G_{2}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[C_{a, 1}, D_{b, 2}\right]=\left[C_{a, 1}, C_{b, 3}-C_{b, 1}\right] / \sqrt{3}} \\
& \quad=\left(-C_{c, 3}+C_{c, 3}\right) / \sqrt{3} \\
& \quad=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Continuing in that vein we can rotate by any multiple of $\pi / 6$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[C_{a, 1}, C_{b, 2}\right]=2 C_{c, 3} \cos (0)=2 C_{c, 3}} \\
& {\left[C_{a, 1}, D_{b, 2}\right]=2 C_{c, 3} \cos (\pi / 2)=0} \\
& {\left[C_{a, 1}, C_{b, 3}\right]=2 C_{c, 3} \cos (2 \pi / 3)=-C_{c, 3}} \\
& {\left[C_{a, 1}, D_{b, 3}\right]=2 C_{c, 3} \cos (7 \pi / 6)=-\sqrt{3} C_{c, 3}} \\
& {\left[C_{a, 1}, C_{b, 1}\right]=2 C_{c, 3} \cos (4 \pi / 3)=-C_{c, 3}} \\
& {\left[C_{a, 1}, D_{b, 1}\right]=2 C_{c, 3} \cos (11 \pi / 6)=\sqrt{3} C_{c, 3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The su(2) based $[D, D]$ commutators are (given $\left[C_{a, 1}, C_{b, 2}\right]=2 C_{c, 3}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[D_{a, 1},\right.} & \left.D_{b, 2}\right]=\left[C_{a, 1+1}-C_{a, 1-1}, C_{b, 2+1}-C_{b, 2-1}\right] / 3 \\
& =\left[C_{a, 1+1}, C_{b, 2+1}\right]-\left[C_{a, 1+1}, C_{b, 2-1}\right]-\left[C_{a, 1-1}, C_{b, 2+1}\right]+\left[C_{a, 1-1}, C_{b, 2-1}\right] / 3 \\
& =\left(-C_{c, 3+1}+C_{c, 3-1}+C_{c, 3-1}-C_{c, 3+1}\right) / 3 \\
& =-2 D_{c, 3} / \sqrt{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, it's the same form as for $\left[C_{a, 1}, C_{b, 2}\right]$ but with a different factor.
Changing one of the 3 -cycle indices is similar to the $[C, C]$ commutators.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[D_{a, 1}, D_{b, 1}\right]=D_{c, 3} / \sqrt{3}\left[D_{a, 1}, D_{b, 3}\right]=D_{c, 3} / \sqrt{3}} \\
& {\left[D_{a, 2}, D_{b, 2}\right]=D_{c, 3} / \sqrt{3}\left[D_{a, 3}, D_{b, 2}\right]=D_{c, 3} / \sqrt{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Changing both of the 3 -cycle indices gives you mixed terms. Because our notation is redundant, there are lots of ways of expressing the result. The following is simplest, in my opinion

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[D_{a, 1+1}, D_{b, 2+1}\right]=-C_{c, 1}-\frac{2}{3} C_{C, 2}+\frac{2}{3} C_{c, 3}=-C_{c, 1}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} D_{C, 1}} \\
& {\left[D_{a, 1+1}, D_{b, 2-1}\right]=+\frac{2}{3} C_{C, 1}+C_{c, 2}-\frac{2}{3} C_{c, 3}=C_{C, 2}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} D_{c, 2}} \\
& {\left[D_{a, 1-1}, D_{b, 2+1}\right]=+\frac{2}{3} C_{c, 1}+C_{c, 2}-\frac{2}{3} C_{c, 3}=C_{C, 2}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} D_{C, 2}} \\
& {\left[D_{a, 1-1}, D_{b, 2-1}\right]=-C_{c, 1}-\frac{2}{3} C_{c, 2}+\frac{2}{3} C_{c, 3}=-C_{c, 1}-\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} D_{c, 1}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the third 3-cycle index moves in the opposite direction as the corresponding $C$ commutators.
As an example, here are all of the $\left[C_{1, i}, C_{2, j}\right]$ related commutators.

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[C_{1,1}, C_{2,1}\right]=-C_{4,3}} & {\left[C_{1,1}, D_{2,1}\right]=\sqrt{3} C_{4,3}} & {\left[D_{1,1}, D_{2,1}\right]=\frac{D_{4,3}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{1,1}, C_{2,2}\right]=2 C_{4,3}} & {\left[C_{1,1}, D_{2,2}\right]=0} & {\left[D_{1,1}, D_{2,2}\right]=-\frac{2 D_{4,3}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{1,1}, C_{2,3}\right]=-C_{4,3}} & {\left[C_{1,1}, D_{2,3}\right]=-\sqrt{3} C_{4,3}} & {\left[D_{1,1}, D_{2,3}\right]=\frac{D_{4,3}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{1,2}, C_{2,1}\right]=-C_{4,2}} & {\left[C_{1,2}, D_{2,1}\right]=-D_{4,2}} & {\left[D_{1,2}, D_{2,1}\right]=C_{4,2}-\frac{2 D_{4,2}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{1,2}, C_{2,2}\right]=-C_{4,3}} & {\left[C_{1,2}, D_{2,2}\right]=-D_{4,3}} & {\left[D_{1,2}, D_{2,2}\right]=\frac{D_{4,3}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{1,2}, C_{2,3}\right]=-C_{4,1}} & {\left[C_{1,2}, D_{2,3}\right]=-D_{4,1}} & {\left[D_{1,2}, D_{2,3}\right]=-C_{4,1}-\frac{2 D_{4,1}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{1,3}, C_{2,1}\right]=-C_{4,1}} & {\left[C_{1,3}, D_{2,1}\right]=D_{4,1}} & {\left[D_{1,3}, D_{2,1}\right]=-C_{4,1}-\frac{2 D_{4,1}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{1,3}, C_{2,2}\right]=-C_{4,3}} & {\left[C_{1,3}, D_{2,2}\right]=D_{4,3}} & {\left[D_{1,3}, D_{2,2}\right]=\frac{D_{4,3}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{1,3}, C_{2,3}\right]=-C_{4,2}} & {\left[C_{1,3}, D_{2,3}\right]=D_{4,2}} & {\left[D_{1,3}, D_{2,3}\right]=C_{4,2}-\frac{2 D_{4,2}}{\sqrt{3}}}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
{\left[C_{2,1}, C_{4,1}\right]=-C_{1,3}} & {\left[C_{2,1}, D_{4,1}\right]=D_{1,3}} & {\left[D_{2,1}, D_{4,1}\right]=C_{1,3}-\frac{2 D_{1,3}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{2,1}, C_{4,2}\right]=-C_{1,2}} & {\left[C_{2,1}, D_{4,2}\right]=D_{1,2}} & {\left[D_{2,1}, D_{4,2}\right]=-C_{1,2}-\frac{2 D_{1,2}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{2,1}, C_{4,3}\right]=-C_{1,1}} & {\left[C_{2,1}, D_{4,3}\right]=D_{1,1}} & {\left[D_{2,1}, D_{4,3}\right]=\frac{D_{1,1}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{2,2}, C_{4,1}\right]=-C_{1,1}} & {\left[C_{2,2}, D_{4,1}\right]=-\sqrt{3} C_{1,1}} & {\left[D_{2,2}, D_{4,1}\right]=\frac{D_{1,1}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{2,2}, C_{4,2}\right]=-C_{1,1}} & {\left[C_{2,2}, D_{4,2}\right]=\sqrt{3} C_{1,1}} & {\left[D_{2,2}, D_{4,2}\right]=\frac{D_{1,1}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{2,2}, C_{4,3}\right]=2 C_{1,1}} & {\left[C_{2,2}, D_{4,3}\right]=0} & {\left[D_{2,2}, D_{4,3}\right]=-\frac{2 D_{1,1}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{2,3}, C_{4,1}\right]=-C_{1,2}} & {\left[C_{2,3}, D_{4,1}\right]=-D_{1,2}} & {\left[D_{2,3}, D_{4,1}\right]=-C_{1,2}-\frac{2 D_{1,2}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{2,3}, C_{4,2}\right]=-C_{1,3}} & {\left[C_{2,3}, D_{4,2}\right]=-D_{1,3}} & {\left[D_{2,3}, D_{4,2}\right]=C_{1,3}-\frac{2 D_{1,3}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{2,3}, C_{4,3}\right]=-C_{1,1}} & {\left[C_{2,3}, D_{4,3}\right]=-D_{1,1}} & {\left[D_{2,3}, D_{4,3}\right]=\frac{D_{1,1}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{4,1}, C_{1,1}\right]=-C_{2,2}} & {\left[C_{4,1}, D_{1,1}\right]=-D_{2,2}} & {\left[D_{4,1}, D_{1,1}\right]=\frac{D_{2,2}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{4,1}, C_{1,2}\right]=-C_{2,3}} & {\left[C_{4,1}, D_{1,2}\right]=-D_{2,3}} & {\left[D_{4,1}, D_{1,2}\right]=-C_{2,3}-\frac{2 D_{2,3}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{4,1}, C_{1,3}\right]=-C_{2,1}} & {\left[C_{4,1}, D_{1,3}\right]=-D_{2,1}} & {\left[D_{4,1}, D_{1,3}\right]=C_{2,1}-\frac{2 D_{2,1}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{4,2}, C_{1,1}\right]=-C_{2,2}} & {\left[C_{4,2}, D_{1,1}\right]=D_{2,2}} & {\left[D_{4,2}, D_{1,1}\right]=\frac{D_{2,2}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{4,2}, C_{1,2}\right]=-C_{2,1}} & {\left[C_{4,2}, D_{1,2}\right]=D_{2,1}} & {\left[D_{4,2}, D_{1,2}\right]=C_{2,1}-\frac{2 D_{2,1}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{4,2}, C_{1,3}\right]=-C_{2,3}} & {\left[C_{4,2}, D_{1,3}\right]=D_{2,3}} & {\left[D_{4,2}, D_{1,3}\right]=-C_{2,3}-\frac{2 D_{2,3}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{4,3}, C_{1,1}\right]=2 C_{2,2}} & {\left[C_{4,3}, D_{1,1}\right]=0} & {\left[D_{4,3}, D_{1,1}\right]=-\frac{2 D_{2,2}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{4,3}, C_{1,2}\right]=-C_{2,2}} & {\left[C_{4,3}, D_{1,2}\right]=-\sqrt{3} C_{2,2}} & {\left[D_{4,3}, D_{1,2}\right]=\frac{D_{2,2}}{\sqrt{3}}} \\
{\left[C_{4,3}, C_{1,3}\right]=-C_{2,2}} & {\left[C_{4,3}, D_{1,3}\right]=\sqrt{3} C_{2,2}} & {\left[D_{4,3}, D_{1,3}\right]=\frac{D_{2,2}}{\sqrt{3}}}
\end{array}
$$

## Converting to Other Notations

## ■ Pauli Matrices / su(2) Subgroups

The Pauli matrices [ref. 4, 6] obey the commutation relations

$$
\left[\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right]=2 i \varepsilon_{\mathrm{ijk}} \sigma_{k}
$$

Note, however, that the Pauli matrices are hermitian, while our $C_{a, i} \mathrm{~s}$ are antihermitian. We need to find the commutators for the $i \sigma_{i} \mathrm{~s}$.

$$
\left[i \sigma_{i}, i \sigma_{j}\right]=-2 \varepsilon_{\mathrm{ijk}}\left(i \sigma_{k}\right)
$$

It's easy to find a match.

$$
i \sigma_{1}=C_{4,3} \quad i \sigma_{2}=C_{2,2} \quad i \sigma_{3}=C_{1,1}
$$

Note that these three $C_{a, i}$ s are exactly the matrices for which rows and columns 1,2 , and 4 are zero. These are actually $4 \times 4$ matrices, which is what you'd expect for a real representation of $\operatorname{su}(2)$.

Clearly this is not the only representation of $\operatorname{su}(2)$. We can cyclically permute $C_{4,3}, C_{2,2}$, and $C_{1,1}$ (giving three trivial choices), use their $D_{a, i}$ equivalents (in an anti-cyclic order), or we can increment the first indices of all three (giving seven distinct choices.)

## ■ Gell-Mann Matrices / su(3) Subgroups

Like the Pauli matrices, the Gell-Mann matrices [ref. 5, 6] are hermitian, so we are obliged to multiply them by $i$ before comparing them to the $C_{\alpha, \beta} \mathrm{s}$. The first three are a copy of the Pauli matrices, so we start with

$$
i \lambda_{1}=C_{4,3} \quad i \lambda_{2}=C_{2,2} \quad i \lambda_{3}=C_{1,1}
$$

It's an obvious guess that $\lambda_{8}$ is going to be built from the degenerate triplet that $\lambda_{3}$ belongs to. For example

$$
i \lambda_{8}=D_{1,1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(C_{1,2}-C_{1,3}\right)
$$

commutes with $i \lambda_{1}, i \lambda_{2}$, and $i \lambda_{3}$ as required.
$\lambda_{4}$ and $\lambda_{5}$ are part of another su(2) subgroup, but their commutator is a mix of $\lambda_{3}$ and $\lambda_{8}$. We're looking for a commutator like

$$
\left[i \lambda_{4}, i \lambda_{5}\right]=-2 i\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{3}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \lambda_{8}\right)=-\left(C_{1,1}+C_{1,2}-C_{1,3}\right)=2 C_{1,3}
$$

$\lambda_{6}$ and $\lambda_{7}$ are similar.

$$
\left[i \lambda_{6}, i \lambda_{7}\right]=-2 i\left(-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{3}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \lambda_{8}\right)=-\left(-C_{1,1}+C_{1,2}-C_{1,3}\right)=-2 C_{1,2}
$$

Looking through our collection of $\mathrm{su}(2)$ subgroups, we easily find a match.

$$
\left[C_{5,1}, C_{6,2}\right]=2 C_{1,3} \text { and }\left[C_{7,1}, C_{3,3}\right]=-2 C_{1,2}
$$

giving

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
i \lambda_{1}=C_{4,3} & i \lambda_{2}=C_{2,2} \\
i \lambda_{4}=C_{5,1} & i \lambda_{5}=C_{6,2}
\end{array} \quad \begin{aligned}
& i \lambda_{3}=C_{1,1} \\
& i \lambda_{6}=C_{7,1}
\end{aligned} \quad i \lambda_{7}=C_{3,3} \quad i \lambda_{8}=D_{1,1}
$$

Note that these nine $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ s (counting $D_{1,1}$ as two matrices) are exactly the matrices for which the first row and column is zero. These are actually $6 \times 6$ matrices, which is what you'd expect for a real representation of $\operatorname{su}(3)$.

Clearly this is not the only representation of $\operatorname{su}(3)$. If we had chosen $i \lambda_{8}=-D_{1,1}$ for example, that would have swapped the rolls of $\left(\lambda_{4}, \lambda_{5}\right)$ with $\left(\lambda_{6}, \lambda_{7}\right)$. And as always we can get seven distinct representations by incrementing the indices.

## ■ Generators of $\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{2}}$

Cacciatori, et. al. [ref. 1, sec. 2] list their version of the generators of $G_{2}$, calling them $C_{1}$ through $C_{14}$. They use the doubling basis, so to compare them to ours we first have to convert them to the 7 -cycle basis. (That conversion is not unique, since the choice of $\bar{\eta}$ is not unique, but I'll use the same conventions as above.) After that it's easy to show that

| $\mathrm{C}_{3}=\mathrm{C}_{1,1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{8}=-\mathrm{D}_{1,1}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}=-\mathrm{C}_{4,3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{9}=-\mathrm{D}_{4,3}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{C}_{2,2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{10}=\mathrm{D}_{2,2}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{4}=\mathrm{C}_{7,1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{11}=-\mathrm{D}_{7,1}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{5}=\mathrm{C}_{3,3}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{12}=\mathrm{D}_{3,3}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{6}=-\mathrm{C}_{6,2}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{13}=-\mathrm{D}_{6,2}$ |
| $\mathrm{C}_{7}=-\mathrm{C}_{5,1}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14}=-\mathrm{D}_{5,1}$ |

## An Intuitive Description of $\boldsymbol{G}_{\mathbf{2}}$

The thing that makes rotations in four dimensions significantly different from three, is that you can have rotations in two completely independent planes at once. Multiplying by a unit quaternion, such as $e^{\hat{n} \theta}$ where $\hat{n}$ is a pure imaginary unit quaternion, not only rotates in the plane of $\hat{n}$ and the real axis (call that rotation $R_{0}$ ) but also in the imaginary plane perpendicular to $\hat{n}$ (call that rotation $R_{1}$ ). Left and right multiplication are different in how they generate those rotations.

$$
e^{\hat{n} \theta} x \rightarrow R_{0} R_{1}, \quad \text { and } \quad x e^{\hat{n} \theta} \rightarrow R_{0} R_{1}^{-1}
$$

You could, in a sloppy, intuitive, handwaving kind of way, think of $R_{0} R_{1}{ }^{-1}$ as an operation that takes rotation out of one plane and moves it to the other, at least with regards to left multiplication. You can easily use a right multiply to move all the rotation onto one plane. Thus

$$
\boldsymbol{e}^{\hat{n} \theta} x \boldsymbol{e}^{\hat{n} \theta} \rightarrow R_{0}^{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad e^{\hat{n} \theta} x \boldsymbol{e}^{-\hat{n} \theta} \rightarrow R_{1}^{2}
$$

In eight dimensions a general rotation will have four completely independent rotation planes. Multiplying by a unit octonian, which we will also write $e^{\hat{n} \theta}$, can be written as a product of four plane rotations.

$$
e^{\hat{n} \theta} x \rightarrow R_{0} R_{1} R_{2} R_{3}, \text { and } \quad x e^{\hat{n} \theta} \rightarrow R_{0} R_{1}^{-1} R_{2}^{-1} R_{3}^{-1}
$$

We can isolate $R_{0}$ just as we did for quaternions, but the other part is more complicated.

$$
\boldsymbol{e}^{\hat{n} \theta} x e^{\hat{n} \theta} \rightarrow R_{0}{ }^{2}, \quad \text { and } \quad e^{\hat{n} \theta} x e^{-\hat{n} \theta} \rightarrow R_{1}^{2} R_{2}^{2} R_{3}^{2}
$$

We know that the octonians can generate all possible eight dimensional rotations, so it must be possible to isolate $R_{1}, R_{2}$, and $R_{3}$. The elements of $G_{2}$ are precisely the tools we need. $G_{2}$ contains operations equivalent to

$$
R_{1} R_{2}^{-1}, R_{2} R_{3}^{-1}, \text { and } R_{3} R_{1}^{-1}
$$

Thus, for any unit vector $e^{\hat{n} \theta}$ (which has 7 parameters) there is a 2D subspace of $G_{2}$ spanned by

$$
R_{1} R_{2}^{-1} \text { and } \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\left(R_{2} R_{3}^{-1}-R_{3} R_{1}^{-1}\right)
$$

which are rotations in 8 -dimensions which you can't get with a single octonian multiplication, or even one left and one right octonian multiplication. Similarly, given any rotation, you can use elements of $G_{2}$ to compensate for the rotation in two of three imaginary planes, leaving a 4D rotation which can always be described by one left and one right multiplication. (I'm being overly sloppy here. Can I do better?)
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