



# Standard Practice for In Situ Examination of Ferromagnetic Heat-Exchanger Tubes Using Remote Field Testing<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E 2096; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ε) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 This practice describes procedures to be followed during remote field examination of installed ferromagnetic heat-exchanger tubing for baseline and service-induced discontinuities.

1.2 This practice is intended for use on ferromagnetic tubes with outside diameters from 0.500 to 2.000 in. (12.70 to 50.80 mm), with wall thicknesses in the range from 0.028 to 0.134 in. (0.71 to 3.40 mm).

1.3 This practice does not establish tube acceptance criteria; the tube acceptance criteria must be specified by the using parties.

1.4 The values stated in either inch-pound units or SI units are to be regarded separately as standard. The values stated in each system may not be exact equivalents; therefore, each system shall be used independently of the other. Combining values from the two systems may result in nonconformance with the standard.

1.5 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this practice to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

## 2. Referenced Documents

### 2.1 ASTM Standards:

E 543 Practice for Agencies Performing Nondestructive Testing<sup>2</sup>

E 1316 Terminology for Nondestructive Examinations<sup>2</sup>

### 2.2 Other Documents:

ASNT SNT-TC-1A Recommended Practice for Nondestructive Testing Personnel Qualification and Certification<sup>3</sup>

Can CGSB-48.9712-95 Qualification of Nondestructive Testing Personnel, Natural Resources Canada<sup>4</sup>

## 3. Terminology

3.1 *General*—Definitions of terms used in this practice can be found in Terminology E 1316, Section A, “Common NDT Terms,” and Section C, “Electromagnetic Testing.”

### 3.2 Definitions:

3.2.1 *detector, n*—one or more coils or elements used to sense or measure magnetic field; also known as a receiver.

3.2.2 *exciter, n*—a device that generates a time-varying electromagnetic field, usually a coil energized with alternating current (ac); also known as a transmitter.

3.2.3 *nominal tube, n*—a tube or tube section meeting the tubing manufacturer’s specifications, with relevant properties typical of a tube being examined, used for reference in interpretation and evaluation.

3.2.4 *remote field, n*—*as applied to nondestructive testing*, the electromagnetic field which has been transmitted through the test object and is observable beyond the direct coupling field of the exciter.

3.2.5 *remote field testing, n*—a nondestructive test method that measures changes in the remote field to detect and characterize discontinuities.

3.2.6 *using parties, n*—the supplier and purchaser.

3.2.6.1 *Discussion*—The party carrying out the examination is referred to as the “supplier,” and the party requesting the examination is referred to as the “purchaser,” as required in *Form and Style for ASTM Standards*, October 1999. In common usage outside this practice, these parties are often referred to as the “operator” and “customer,” respectively.

### 3.3 Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:

3.3.1 *flaw characterization standard, n*—a standard used in addition to the RFT system reference standard, with artificial or service-induced flaws, used for flaw characterization.

3.3.2 *nominal point, n*—a point on the phase-amplitude diagram representing data from nominal tube.

<sup>1</sup> This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E07 on Nondestructive Testing and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E07.07 on Electromagnetic Methods.

Current edition approved June 10, 2000. Published August 2000.

<sup>2</sup> *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 03.03.

<sup>3</sup> Available from American Society for Nondestructive Testing, 1711 Arlingate Plaza, P.O. Box 28518, Columbus, OH 43228-0518.

<sup>4</sup> Available from CGSB Sales Centre; Place du Portage, Phase 3, 6B1; 11 Laurier Street, Hull QC, Canada K1A 1G6.

3.3.3 *phase-amplitude diagram, n*—a two-dimensional representation of detector output voltage, with angle representing phase with respect to a reference signal, and radius representing amplitude (Fig. 1a and 1b).

3.3.3.1 *Discussion*—In this practice, care has been taken to use the term “phase angle” (and “phase”) to refer to an angular equivalent of time displacement, as defined in Terminology E 1316. When an angle is not necessarily representative of time, the general term “angle of an indication on the phase-amplitude diagram” is used.

3.3.4 *RFT system, n*—the electronic instrumentation, probes, and all associated components and cables required for performing RFT.

3.3.5 *RFT system reference standard, n*—a reference standard with specified artificial flaws, used to set up and standardize a remote field system and to indicate flaw detection sensitivity.

3.3.6 *sample rate*—the rate at which data is digitized for display and recording, in data points per second.

3.3.7 *strip chart, n*—a diagram that plots coordinates extracted from points on a phase-amplitude diagram versus time or axial position (Fig. 1c).

3.3.8 *zero point, n*—a point on the phase-amplitude diagram representing zero detector output voltage.

3.3.8.1 *Discussion*—Data on the phase-amplitude diagram are plotted with respect to the zero point. The zero point is separate from the nominal point unless the detector is configured for zero output in nominal tube. The angle of a flaw indication is measured about the nominal point.

3.4 *Acronyms:*

3.4.1 *RFT, n*—remote field testing

**4. Summary of Practice**

4.1 The RFT data is collected by passing a probe through each tube. The electromagnetic field transmitted from the exciter to the detector is affected by discontinuities; by the dimensions and electromagnetic properties of the tube; and by objects in and around the tube that are ferromagnetic or conductive. System sensitivity is verified using the RFT system reference standard. System sensitivity and settings are checked and recorded prior to and at regular intervals during the examination. Data and system settings are recorded in a manner that allows archiving and later recall of all data and system settings for each tube. Interpretation and evaluation are




FIG. 1 A and B: Typical Phase-Amplitude Diagrams Used in RFT; C: Generic Strip Chart With Flaw

carried out using one or more flow characterization standards. The supplier generates a final report detailing the results of the examination.

**5. Significance and Use**

5.1 The purpose of RFT is to evaluate the condition of the tubing. The evaluation results may be used to assess the likelihood of tube failure during service, a task which is not covered by this practice.

5.2 *Principle of Probe Operation*—In a basic RFT probe, the electromagnetic field emitted by an exciter travels outwards through the tube wall, axially along the outside of tube, and back through the tube wall to a detector<sup>5</sup> (Fig. 2a).

5.2.1 Flaw indications are created when (1) in thin-walled areas, the field arrives at the detector with less attenuation and less time delay, (2) discontinuities interrupt the lines of magnetic flux, which are aligned mainly axially, or (3) discontinuities interrupt the eddy currents, which flow mainly circumferentially. A discontinuity at any point on the through-

transmission path can create a perturbation; thus RFT has approximately equal sensitivity to flaws on the inner and outer walls of the tube.<sup>5</sup>

5.3 *Probe Configuration*—The detector is typically placed two to three tube diameters from the exciter, in a location where the remote field dominates the direct-coupling field.<sup>5</sup> Other probe configurations or designs may be used to optimize flaw detection, as described in 9.3.

5.4 *Comparison with Conventional Eddy-Current Testing*—Conventional eddy-current test coils are typically configured to sense the field from the tube wall in the immediate vicinity of the emitting element, whereas RFT probes are typically designed to detect changes in the remote field.

**6. Basis of Application**

6.1 *Personnel Qualification:*


6.1.1 Personnel performing examinations to this practice shall be qualified as specified in the contractual agreement.

6.1.2 Recommendations for qualification as an RFT system operator (Level I) are as follows:

6.1.2.1 Forty hours of RFT (Level I) classroom training.

6.1.2.2 Written and practical examinations similar to those described by ASNT SNT-TC-1A or Can CGSB 48.9712-95.

<sup>5</sup> Schmidt, T. R., "The Remote Field Eddy Current Inspection Technique," *Materials Evaluation*, Vol. 42, No. 2, Feb. 1984, pp. 225-230.



NOTE 1—Arrows indicate flow of electromagnetic energy from exciter to detector. Energy flow is perpendicular to lines of magnetic flux.

**FIG. 2 RFT Probes**

6.1.2.3 Two hundred and fifty hours of field experience under the supervision of a qualified RFT Level II, 50 % of which should involve RFT instrumentation setup and operation.

6.1.3 Recommendations for qualification as an RFT data analyst (Level II) are as follows:

6.1.3.1 Forty hours of RFT (Level II) classroom training.

6.1.3.2 Written and practical examinations similar to those described by ASNT SNT-TC-1A or Can CGSB 48.9712-95.

6.1.3.3 Fifteen hundred hours of field experience under the supervision of a qualified RFT Level II or higher, 25 % of which should involve RFT data analysis.

NOTE 1—At the time of approval of this practice, no nationally or internationally recognized guideline for personnel qualification in RFT was available.

NOTE 2—Eddy-current training provides some useful background to RFT training. Previous Level II eddy-current certification may count towards 50 % of training and experience hours for RFT Level I, provided that the remaining experience hours are entirely involved in RFT instrumentation setup and operation.

6.2 *Qualification of Nondestructive Testing Agencies*—If specified in the contractual agreement, NDT agencies shall be qualified and evaluated as described in Practice E 543, with reference to sections on electromagnetic testing. The applicable edition of Practice E 543 shall be specified in the contractual agreement.

## 7. Job Scope and Requirements

7.1 The following items may require agreement between the using parties and should be specified in the purchase document or elsewhere:

7.1.1 Location and type of tubed component to be examined, design specifications, degradation history, previous non-destructive examination results, maintenance history, process conditions, and specific types of flaws that are required to be detected, if known.

7.1.2 The maximum window of opportunity for work. (Detection of small flaws may require a slower probe pull speed, which will affect productivity.)

7.1.3 Size, material grade and type, and configuration of tubes to be examined.

7.1.4 A tube numbering or identification system.

7.1.5 Extent of examination, for example: complete or partial coverage, which tubes and to what length, whether straight sections only, and the minimum radius of bends that can be examined.

7.1.6 Means of access to tubes, and areas where access may be restricted.

7.1.7 Type of RFT instrument and probe; and description of reference standards used, including such details as dimensions and material.

7.1.8 Required operator qualifications and certification.

7.1.9 Required tube cleanliness.

7.1.10 Environmental conditions, equipment, and preparations that are the responsibility of the purchaser; common sources of noise that may interfere with the examination.

NOTE 3—Nearby welding activities may be a major source of interference.

7.1.11 Complementary methods or techniques (including possible tube removal) that may be used to obtain additional information.

7.1.12 Acceptance criteria to be used in evaluating flaw indications.

7.1.13 Disposition of examination records and reference standards.

7.1.14 Format and outline contents of the examination report.

## 8. Interferences

8.1 This section describes items and conditions which may compromise RFT.

### 8.2 *Material Properties:*

8.2.1 Variations in the material properties of ferromagnetic tubes are a potential source of inaccuracy. Impurities, segregation, manufacturing process, grain size, stress history, present stress patterns, temperature history, present temperature, magnetic history, and other factors will affect the electromagnetic response measured during RFT. The conductivity and permeability of tubes with the same grade of material are often measurably different. It is common to find that some of the tubes to be examined are newer tubes with different material properties.

8.2.2 Permeability variations may occur at locations where there was uneven temperature or stress during tube manufacture, near welds, at bends, where there were uneven heat transfer conditions during service, at areas where there is cold working (such as that created by an integral finning process), and in other locations. Indications from permeability variations may be mistaken for, or obscure flaw indications. Effects may be less severe in tubes that were stress-relieved during manufacture.

8.2.3 Residual stress, with accompanying permeability variations, may be present when discontinuities are machined into a reference standard, or during the integral finning process.

8.2.4 The RFT is affected by residual magnetism in the tubing, including residual magnetism created during a previous examination using another magnetic method. Tubes with significant residual magnetism should be demagnetized prior to RFT.

### 8.3 *Ferromagnetic and Conductive Objects:*

8.3.1 Objects near the tube that are ferromagnetic or conductive may reduce the sensitivity and accuracy of flaw characterization in their immediate vicinity. Such objects may in some cases be mistaken for flaws. Knowledge of the mechanical layout of the component to be examined is recommended. Examples of ferromagnetic or conductive objects include: tube support plates, baffle plates, end plates, tube sheets, anti-vibration bars, neighboring tubes, impingement plates, loose parts, and attachments clamped or welded to a tube.

NOTE 4—Interference from ferromagnetic or conductive objects can be of practical use when RFT is used to confirm the position of an object installed on a tube or to detect where objects have become detached and have fallen against a tube.

### 8.3.2 *Neighboring Tubes:*

8.3.2.1 In areas where there is nonconstant tube spacing (bowing) or where tubes cross close to each other, there are indications which may be mistaken for flaws.

8.3.2.2 Neighboring or adjacent tubes, according to their number and position, create an offset in the phase. This phenomenon is known as the bundle effect and is a minor source of inaccuracy when absolute readings in nominal tube are required.

8.3.2.3 In cases where multiple RFT probes are used simultaneously in the same heat exchanger, care should be taken to ensure adequate spacing between different probes.

8.3.3 Conductive or magnetic debris in or on a tube that may create false indications or obscure flaw indications should be removed.

#### 8.4 Tube Geometry Effects:

8.4.1 Due to geometrical effects (as well as to the effects of permeability variations described in 8.2.2), localized changes in tube diameter such as dents, bulges, expansions, and bends create indications which may obscure or distort flaw indications.

8.4.2 Reductions in the internal diameter may require a smaller diameter probe that is able to pass through the restriction. In the unrestricted sections, flaw sensitivity is likely to be limited by the smaller probe fill factor.

8.4.3 *RFT End Effect*—The field from the exciter is able to propagate around the end of a tube when there is no shielding from a tube sheet or vessel shell. A flaw indication may be obscured or distorted if the flaw or any active probe element is within approximately three tube diameters of the tube end.

#### 8.5 Instrumentation:

8.5.1 The operator should be aware of indicators of noise, saturation, or signal distortion particular to the instrument being used. Special consideration should be given to the following concerns:

8.5.1.1 In a given tube, an RFT system has a frequency where the flaw sensitivity is as high as practical without undue influence from noise.

8.5.1.2 Saturation of electronic components is a potential problem in RFT because signal amplitude increases rapidly with decreasing tube wall thickness. Data acquired under saturation conditions is not acceptable.

8.5.2 *Instrument-induced Phase Offset*—During the amplification and filtering processes, instruments may introduce a frequency-dependent time delay which appears as a constant phase offset. The instrument phase offset may be a source of error when phase values measured at different frequencies are compared.

## 9. RFT System

9.1 *Instrumentation*—The electronic instrumentation shall be capable of creating exciter signals of one or more frequencies appropriate to the tube material. The apparatus shall be capable of phase and amplitude analysis of detector outputs at each frequency, independent of other frequencies in use simultaneously. The instrument shall display data in real time. The instrument shall be capable of recording data and system settings in a manner that allows archiving and later recall of all data and system settings for each tube.

9.2 *Driving Mechanism*—A mechanical means of traversing the probe through the tube at approximately constant speed may be used.

9.3 *Probes*—The probes should be of the largest diameter practical for the tubes being examined, leaving clearance for debris, dents, changes in tube diameter, and other obstructions. The probes should be of an appropriate configuration and size for the tube being examined and for the flaw type or types to be detected. Probe centering is recommended.

9.3.1 *Absolute Detectors*—Absolute detectors (Fig. 2c) are commonly used to characterize and locate large-volume and gradual metal loss.

9.3.2 *Differential Detectors*—Differential detectors (Fig. 2c) are commonly used to characterize and locate large-volume and gradual metal loss, and also tend to maximize the response from small volume flaws and abrupt changes along the tube length.

9.3.3 *Array Detector*—Array detectors use a configuration of multiple sensing elements (Fig. 2c). Each element is sensitive to a discrete section of the tube circumference. The elements may be oriented with their axes aligned axially or radially with respect to the tube.

NOTE 5—The detector's response represents an average of responses to all flaws within its sensing area.

9.3.4 *Exciter and Detector Configurations*—Probes may have multiple exciters and detectors in a variety of configurations (see, for example, Fig. 2b). These configurations may reduce interference from support plates and other conductive objects.

#### 9.4 Data Displays:

9.4.1 The data display should include a phase-amplitude diagram (Fig. 1a and 1b).

9.4.2 *Strip Charts*—Coordinates that may be displayed on strip charts include: horizontal position, vertical position, angular position, or radial position. Angular position may represent phase. Angular position and the logarithm of radial position for an absolute detector may be linearly related to overall wall thickness.


## 10. RFT Tube Standards

10.1 The RFT tube standards should be of the same nominal dimensions, material type, and grade as the tubes to be examined. In the case where a tube standard identical to the tubes to be examined is not available, a demonstration of examination equivalency is recommended. Allowable differences in material and dimensional variations are specified in 11.6.2.

10.2 The RFT system reference standard shall not be used for flaw characterization unless the artificial flaws can be demonstrated to be similar to the flaws detected.

10.3 *Typical Artificial Flaws in Flaw Characterization Standards:*

10.3.1 *Through, Round-Bottomed, and Flat-Bottomed Holes*—Holes of different depths are used for pit characterization, and may be machined individually or in groups. Drill and milling tools of different diameters can be used to produce different flaw volumes for a given depth of metal loss (Fig. 3a).



NOTE 1—Not to scale.

FIG. 3 Typical Artificial Discontinuities Used for Flaw Characterization Reference Standards

10.3.2 *Circumferential Grooves*—A circumferential groove is an area of metal loss whose depth at any axial location is uniform around the tube circumference. Short grooves, with a maximum axial length of less than one half a tube diameter, may be used to simulate small-volume metal loss. Grooves with an axial length of several tube diameters may be used to simulate uniform wall loss (Fig. 3b).

10.3.3 *One-Sided Flaws*—Metal loss is referred to as one-sided if it is predominantly on one side of a tube. Outside diameter long, flat flaws typically simulate tube-to-tube wear. Circumferentially tapered one-sided flaws typically simulate tube wear at support plates. Flaws tapered in both axial and circumferential directions typically simulate steam erosion adjacent to the tube support (Fig. 3c).

10.4 *RFT System Reference Standards*—Flaw depths are specified by giving the deepest point of the flaw as a percentage of the measured average wall thickness. Flaw depths shall be measured and accurate to within  $\pm 20\%$  of the depth specified or  $\pm 0.003$  in. ( $\pm 0.08$  mm), whichever is smaller. All other flaw dimensions (such as length and diameter) shall be accurate to within  $\pm 0.010$  in. ( $\pm 0.25$  mm) of the dimension specified. Angles shall be accurate to within  $\pm 5^\circ$ .

10.5 *Artificial Flaws for RFT System Reference Standards:*

10.5.1 The RFT system reference standard has specific artificial flaws. It is used to set up and standardize a remote field system and to indicate flaw detection sensitivity. Unless

otherwise specified by the purchaser, the artificial flaws for the RFT system reference standard are as follows:


10.5.1.1 *Through-Hole*—A through-hole (Fig. 4, Flaw A) whose diameter is equal to the tube wall thickness multiplied by a specified factor. For tubes of outside diameter less than 1.000 in. (25.40 mm), the factor is 1. For tubes of outside diameter greater than or equal to 1.000 in., the factor is 1.5.

10.5.1.2 *Flat-Milled Flaw*—A flat-milled flaw (Fig. 4, Flaw B) of a depth of 50% and axial length one half the tube nominal outside diameter. The flat should be side-milled using a milling tool of a diameter of 0.250 in. (6.35 mm) to create rounded corners.

10.5.1.3 *Short Circumferential Groove*—A short circumferential groove (Fig. 4, Flaw C) of a depth of 20% and axial length of 0.625 in. (15.88 mm). Edges shall be angled at  $105^\circ$  as indicated in the insert in Fig. 4.

10.5.1.4 *Wear Scar*—A simulated wear scar from a tube support plate (Fig. 4, Flaw D), consisting of a circumferentially tapered groove, 40% deep, extending over  $180^\circ$  of the tube circumference. Axial length measured at the bottom surface of the flaw shall be 0.625 in. (15.88 mm). Edges shall be angled at  $105^\circ$  as indicated in the insert in Fig. 4.

10.5.1.5 *Tapered Flaw*—A tapered flaw simulating near-tube-support erosion (Fig. 4, Flaw E) consisting of a groove, 60% deep, tapered circumferentially, and in both directions axially. The steep side of the flaw shall be angled at  $65^\circ$  to the



NOTE 1—Not to scale. See 10.5 for tolerances and details.  
**FIG. 4 Manufacturing Reference for RFT System Reference Standard**

tube axis. The shallow side of the flaw shall be axially tapered so that it extends an axial distance of four tube diameters from the deepest point. The circumferential extent at the maximum point shall be 90°.

10.5.1.6 *Long Circumferential Groove*—A long circumferential groove (Fig. 4, Flaw F) of a depth of 20% and recommended axial length of two tube diameters. Length is optional according to application. Edges shall be angled at 105°, as indicated in the insert in Fig. 4.

10.6 *Simulated Support Structures:*

10.6.1 The RFT tube standards may have simulated support structures to represent heat exchanger bundle conditions.

10.6.2 *Support Plates*—Support plates may be simulated by drilling a single hole through a solid flat plate with a clearance of up to 0.015 in. (0.38 mm) beyond the outside diameter of the RFT tube standard. To prevent the field from propagating around the plate, the minimum distance from the edge of the tube hole to the edge of the plate should be greater than two tube diameters, unless a smaller dimension can be demonstrated to be adequate. For example, the simulated tube support plate for a 1-in. diameter tube should be at least a 5-in. (127.00-mm) square or a 5-in. diameter circle. The accuracy of the support plate simulation may be increased if the simulated plate is of the same thickness and material as the support plates in the component to be examined.

10.7 *Manufacture and Care of RFT Tube Standards:*

10.7.1 *Drawings*—For each RFT tube standard, there shall be a drawing that includes the as-built measured flaw dimen-

sions, material type and grade, and the serial number of the actual RFT tube standard.

10.7.2 *Serial Number*—Each RFT tube standard shall be identified with a unique serial number and stored so that it can be obtained and used for reference when required.

10.7.3 *Flaw Spacing*—Artificial flaws should be positioned axially to avoid overlapping of indications and interference from end effects.

10.7.4 *Machining* personnel shall use proper machining practices to avoid excessive cold-working, over-heating, and undue stress and permeability variations.

10.7.5 Tubes should be stored and shipped so as to prevent mechanical damage.

**11. Procedure**

11.1 If necessary, clean the inside of the tubes to remove obstructions and heavy ferromagnetic or conductive debris.

11.2 *Instrument Settings:*

11.2.1 *Operating Frequency*—Using the appropriate RFT system reference standard, the procedures in 11.2.1.1 or 11.2.1.2 are intended to help the user select an operating frequency. Demonstrably equivalent methods may be used. If the RFT system is not capable of operating at the frequency described by this practice, the supplier shall declare to the purchaser that conditions of reduced sensitivity may exist.

11.2.1.1 Using the RFT system reference standard, and referring to the phase-amplitude diagram, set the frequency to obtain a difference of 50 to 120° between the angles of

indication for the reference through-hole (Flaw A in Fig. 4) and a 20 % circumferential groove of a axial length of 0.125 in. (3.18 mm) (as permitted for Flaw F in Fig. 4).

11.2.1.2 If phase is measured and displayed, set the frequency so that a circumferential groove with an axial length of two tube diameters (as permitted for Flaw F in Fig. 4) creates a phase shift of between 18 and 22° in the absolute detector output.

11.2.2 *Secondary Frequencies*—To detect and characterize some damage mechanisms, it may be necessary to use secondary frequencies to provide additional information.

11.2.3 *Pull Speed*—Determine a pull speed appropriate to the frequency, sample rate, and required sensitivity to flaws.

11.2.4 Set other instrument settings as appropriate to achieve the minimum required sensitivity to flaws.

NOTE 6—Factors which influence sensitivity to flaws include, but are not limited to: operating frequency, instrument noise, instrument filtering, digital sample rate, probe speed, coil configuration, fill factor, probe travel noise, and interferences described in Section 8.

11.3 Ensure that the system yields the minimum required sensitivity to all flaws on the RFT system reference standard at the examination pull speed. For a flaw to be considered detectable, its indication should exceed the ambient noise by a factor of at least 3, unless otherwise specified by the purchaser. An exception may be made when the purchaser requires only a large-volume metal loss examination, in which case, sensitivity should be demonstrated for specified large-volume flaws on the RFT system reference standard.

11.4 Acquire and record data from the RFT system reference standard and flaw characterization standards at the selected examination pull speed.

11.5 Acquire and record data from the tubes to be examined. Maintain as uniform a probe speed as possible throughout the examination to produce repeatable indications.

11.5.1 Record data and system settings in a manner that allows archiving and later recall of all data and system settings for each tube. Throughout the examination, data shall be permanently recorded, unless otherwise specified by the purchaser.

11.5.2 For maintaining system consistency throughout the examination, monitor typical RFT responses from support plates and tube ends, or monitor the absolute phase in the nominal tube. If conditions change, appropriate adjustments need to be made in accordance with 11.6.

11.6 *Compensation for Material and Dimensional Differences*:

11.6.1 To compensate for differences in dimensional and material properties, the system may be re-normalized where appropriate by adjusting frequency or gain, or both. To re-normalize, adjust the settings so that one of the following values remains equal in the reference standard and in a nominal examined tube:

11.6.1.1 The amplitude and angular position of a support plate indication on the phase-amplitude diagram, or

11.6.1.2 The angular difference between a support plate indication and the tube-exit indication on the phase-amplitude diagram, or

11.6.1.3 The absolute phase in the nominal tube.

NOTE 7—For an alternate method of compensating for differences in dimensional and material properties, see 11.12.

11.6.2 The frequencies used in the reference standards and in the tubes to be examined should not differ by more than a factor of two. If the factor exceeds this value, the reference standard should be considered inappropriate and replaced with one that more accurately represents the material to be tested.

11.6.3 After frequency and gain adjustments have been made, apply appropriate compensations to the examination sample rate and pull speed.

11.7 *Compensation for Ferromagnetic or Conductive Objects*:

11.7.1 Techniques that may improve RFT results near interfering ferromagnetic or conductive objects include:

11.7.1.1 Comparison of baseline or previous examination data with the current examination data.

11.7.1.2 Comparison of indications from known objects with and without metal loss. (Obtain a reference indication from a typical object on or near the nominal tube or from a simulated object on a reference standard.)

11.7.1.3 The use of special probe coil configurations.

11.7.1.4 Processing of multiple-frequency signals to suppress nonrelevant indications.

11.7.1.5 The use of a complementary method or technique (see 11.12).

11.8 *System Check*—At regular intervals, carry out a system check using the RFT system reference standard to demonstrate system sensitivity and operating parameters to the satisfaction of the purchaser. Carry out a system check prior to starting the examination, after any field compensation adjustments in accordance with 11.6, at the beginning and end of each work shift, when equipment function is in doubt, after a change of personnel, after a change of any essential system components, and overall at a minimum of every four hours. If the flaw responses from the RFT system reference standard have changed substantially, the tubes examined since the last system check shall be reexamined.

11.9 Interpret the data (identify indications).

11.10 Note areas of limited sensitivity, using indications from the RFT system reference standard as an indicator of flaw detectability.

11.11 Using a flaw characterization standard, evaluate relevant indications in accordance with acceptance criteria specified by the purchaser.

11.11.1 A common parameter used as a flaw depth indicator is the angle of an indication on the phase-amplitude diagram. Different angle-depth calibration curves may be used according to flaw volume, as indicated by the amplitude of the indication on the phase-amplitude diagram.

11.12 If desired, examine selected areas using an appropriate complementary method or technique to obtain more information, adjusting results where appropriate.

11.13 Compile and present a report to the purchaser.

## 12. Report

12.1 The following items may be included in the examination report. All the following information should be archived, whether or not it is required in the report.



12.1.1 Owner, location, type, and serial number of component examined.

12.1.2 Size, material type and grade, and configuration of tubes examined.

12.1.3 Tube numbering system.

12.1.4 Extent of examination, for example, areas of interest, complete or partial coverage, which tubes, and to what length.

12.1.5 Personnel performing the examination and their qualifications.

12.1.6 Models, types, and serial numbers of the components of the RFT system used, including probe and extension length.

12.1.7 For the initial data acquisition from the RFT system reference standard, a complete list of all relevant instrument settings and parameters used, such as operating frequencies, probe drive voltages, gains, types of mixed or processed channels, and probe speed. The list shall enable settings to be referenced to each individual tube examined.

12.1.8 Serial numbers of all of the tube standards used.

12.1.9 Brief outline of all techniques used during the examination.

12.1.10 A list of all heat-exchanger regions or specific tubes where limited sensitivity was obtained. Indicate which flaws on the system reference standard would not have been detectable in those regions. Where possible, indicate factors that may have limited sensitivity.

12.1.11 Specific information about techniques and depth reference curves used for sizing each indication.

12.1.12 Acceptance criteria used to evaluate indications.

12.1.13 A list of flaws as specified in the purchasing agreement.

12.1.14 Complementary examination results that influenced interpretation and evaluation.

### 13. Keywords

13.1 eddy current; electromagnetic testing; ferromagnetic tube; remote field testing; RFT; tube; tubular products

*The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.*

*This standard is copyrighted by ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).*