



# Standard Practice for Silver Corrections in Metal Bearing Ores, Concentrates, and Related Metallurgical Materials by Fire Assay Slag Recycling and Cupel Proof Gravimetry<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E 2296; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

## 1. Scope

1.1 This practice covers the silver loss correction, utilizing slag recycling and cupellation of proof silver during the fire assay of metal bearing ores, concentrates and related metallurgical materials.

1.2 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.* (See Practices E 50 and ISO Guide 35.)

## 2. Referenced Documents

### 2.1 ASTM Standards:

E 29 Practice for Using Significant Digits in Test Data to Determine Conformance with Specifications<sup>2</sup>

E 50 Practices for Apparatus, Reagents, and Safety Precautions for Chemical Analysis of Metals<sup>3</sup>

E 135 Terminology relating to Analytical Chemistry for Metals, Ores and Related Materials<sup>3</sup>

E 882 Guide for Accountability and Quality Control in the Chemical Analysis of Metals<sup>3</sup>

### 2.2 Other Documents:

ISO 35:1989 Certification of Reference Materials- General and Statistical Principles

ISO 10378:1994 Copper Sulfide Concentrates—Determination of Gold and Silver Contents—Fire Assay Gravimetric and Atomic Absorption Spectrometric Method

Bugbee, Edward, *Textbook of Fire Assaying*<sup>4</sup>

Smith, E. A., *The Sampling and Assay of Precious Metals*<sup>5</sup>

## 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—For definitions of terms used in this Practice, refer to Terminology E 135.

## 4. Summary of Practice

4.1 In the process of fire assay fusion, the slag is retained from the initial fusion and reprocessed through the fusion procedure. The resulting lead button is combined with the preliminary lead button during cupellation. Proof silver is carried through the cupellation procedure to determine the silver losses. (See ISO 10378, Bugbee, Smith).

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 This practice is primarily intended to be used for the correction of silver loss in the fire assay process. Silver contents are determined by fire assay for the purpose of metallurgical exchange between buyer and seller. It is assumed that all who use this practice will be trained analysts capable of performing skillfully and safely. It is expected that work will be performed in a properly equipped laboratory under appropriate quality control practices such as those described in Guide E 882.

## 6. Apparatus

6.1 *Assay Furnace*, capable of temperatures up to 1100°C, accurate to  $\pm 5^\circ\text{C}$  with draft controls.

6.2 *Analytical Balance*, capable of weighing to 0.001 mg.

6.3 *Hammer*, blacksmith type.

6.4 *Hammering Block*, flat steel or iron.

<sup>1</sup> This practice is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E01 on Analytical Chemistry for Metals, Ores and Related Materials and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E01.02 on Ores, Concentrates, and Related Metallurgical Materials. Current edition approved June 10, 2003. Published July 2003.

<sup>2</sup> *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 14.02.

<sup>3</sup> *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 03.05.

<sup>4</sup> Bugbee, E. E., *A Textbook of Fire Assaying*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., Third Ed., 1946.

<sup>5</sup> Smith, E. A., *The Sampling and Assay of the Precious Metals*, Charles Griffin and Co., Ltd., Second Ed., 1947.

**TABLE 1 Slag Re-Fusion Flux**

litharge	55.4 g
sodium carbonate	9.3 g
silica sand	9.4 g
potassium bitartrate	7.3 g
borax	18.7 g

## 7. Reagents and Materials

7.1 *Purity of Reagents*—Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society where such specifications are available.<sup>6</sup> Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.

7.2 *Borax*, sodium tetraborate ( $\text{Na}_2\text{B}_4\text{O}_7$ ), technical grade.

7.3 *Crucibles*, standard fire assay clay.

7.4 *Cupels*, magnesite ( $\text{MgCO}_3$ ) or bone ash, size determined by lead button weight.

NOTE 1—Cupel size is determined by the weight of the lead button. Cupels must be large enough to hold all the molten lead from the primary fire assay fusion and slag re-fusion.

7.5 *Lead Foil* (99.99 % purity min; 1 ppm silver max).

7.6 *Litharge* ( $\text{PbO}$ ), technical grade, precious metal free.

7.7 *Potassium Bitartrate* ( $\text{K}_2\text{C}_4\text{H}_4\text{O}_6$ ), technical grade.

7.8 *Silica Sand* ( $\text{SiO}_2$ ), technical grade.

7.9 *Silver Metal* (99.99 % purity), foil.

7.10 *Sodium Carbonate* ( $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$ ), technical grade.

7.11 *Wax paper Flux Bag*, 25 by 25  $\text{cm}^2$ .

## 8. Hazards

8.1 For precautions to be observed in this method, refer to Practice E 50.

## 9. Procedure

9.1 Perform the initial fire assay according to proper accepted procedures to produce the initial lead button. (See Bugbee, and Smith.)

9.2 Collect the fire assay fusion slag from the original fusion and place back into the original fire assay crucible.

9.3 Add 50 g of the slag re-fusion flux to the crucible. The composition is shown in Table 1.

<sup>6</sup> *Reagent Chemical, American Chemical Society Specifications*, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. For suggestions on the testing of reagents not listed by the American Chemical Society, see *Analar Standards for Laboratory Chemicals*, BDH Ltd., Poole Dorset, U. K., and the *United States Pharmacopeia and National Formulary*, U.S. Pharmaceutical Convention, Inc., (USPC), Rockville, MD.

9.4 Place crucible in the fire assay furnace. Raise the temperature to 1060°C. After the furnace obtains that temperature, hold for at least 30 min. In most instances, the total furnace time will be approximately 1 h. Fusion must be in a liquid state.

NOTE 2—In most cases, the best way to add re-fusion flux is with a wax paper bag. Place the re-fusion flux into the bag, twist the top, and place on top of the original fusion slag material in the original fire assay crucible.

9.5 After 1 h, carefully pour the melt into fire assay moulds, taking care to ensure that no portion is lost.

9.6 After cooling, break off slag and retain lead button.

9.7 Place the re-fusion lead button with the original fusion lead button for each test sample.

9.8 Weight two proof silver foil samples to match the typical weight of the expected doré bead and record the weights. If the weight of the doré bead is unknown, weigh two proof silver samples approximately 75 to 100 mg and 250 to 350 mg.

9.9 Wrap the proof silver beads in lead foil, pound into a lead square with a hammer.

NOTE 3—The weight of the lead foil should approximate the weight of the combined lead buttons collected in 9.1 and 9.6.

9.10 Transfer the lead square (9.9) and the combined lead buttons (9.7) into the cupellation furnace into three cupels with the silver proofs on two sides of the combined test sample beads.

9.11 Cupel to obtain doré beads by normal fire assay cupellation procedures.

9.12 After cupellation, re-weigh the silver proofs on the balance and record the weights.

NOTE 4—The loss of silver due to the original fire assay fusion technique is recovered by the slag recycling and re-fusion steps.

## 10. Calculation

10.1 Calculate the silver ratio as follows:

$$\text{Silver Ratio} = \frac{B}{A} \quad (1)$$

where:

$A$  = initial weight of proof silver, mg, and

$B$  = final weight of proof silver, mg.

10.2 Round the silver ratio to the nearest 0.0001 in accordance with Practice E 29.

10.3 To correct a silver fire assay result, divide the weight of the silver in the test sample, determined by the difference in weight before and after parting, by the average silver ratio for the two proofs to obtain the corrected silver weight.

## 11. Keywords

11.1 cupellation; fire assay; silver; silver correction

*ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.*

*This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.*

*This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org) (e-mail); or through the ASTM website ([www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org)).*