



Standard Guide for Background Subtraction Techniques in Auger Electron and X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation E 995; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 The purpose of this guide is to familiarize the analyst with the principal background subtraction techniques presently in use together with the nature of their application to data acquisition and manipulation.

1.2 This guide is intended to apply to background subtraction in electron, X-ray, and ion-excited Auger electron spectroscopy (AES), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS).

1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

E 673 Terminology Relating to Surface Analysis²

E 996 Practice for Reporting Data in Auger Electron Spectroscopy and X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy²

3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*—For definitions of terms used in this guide, refer to Terminology E 673.

4. Summary of Guide

4.1 Relevance to AES and XPS:

4.1.1 *AES*—The production of Auger electron excitation by bombardment of surfaces with electron beams is also accompanied by emission of secondary and backscattered electrons. These electrons range in energy from a maximum (near 10 eV for true secondaries), through the Auger spectrum, to a second maximum for backscattered electrons at the energy of the incident electron beam. An additional source of background is associated with Auger electrons, which are inelastically scattered while traveling through the specimen. Auger electron excitation may also occur by ion bombardment of surfaces.

4.1.2 *XPS*—The production of electrons from X-ray excita-

tion of surfaces may be grouped into two categories—photoemission of electrons and the production of Auger electrons from the decay of the resultant core hole states. The source of the background signal observed in the XPS spectrum includes a contribution from inelastic scattering processes, and for non-monochromatic X-ray sources, Bremsstrahlung radiation.

4.2 Various background subtraction techniques have been employed to diminish or remove the influence of these background electrons from the shape and intensity of Auger electron and photoelectron features. Relevance to a particular analytical technique (AES or XPS) will be indicated in the title of the procedure.

4.3 Implementation of any of the various background techniques that are described in this guide may depend on available instrumentation as well as the method of acquisition of the original signal. These subtraction methods fall into two general categories: (1) real-time background subtraction; and (2) post-acquisition background subtraction.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 Background subtraction techniques in AES were originally employed as a method of enhancement of weak Auger signals to distinguish them from the slowly varying background of secondary and backscattered electrons. Interest in obtaining useful information from the Auger peak line shape, concern for greater quantitative accuracy from Auger spectra, and improvements in data gathering techniques, have led to the development of various background subtraction techniques.

5.2 Similarly, the use of background subtraction techniques in XPS has evolved mainly from the interest in the determination of chemical states (binding energy values), greater quantitative accuracy from the XPS spectra, and improvements in data acquisition. Post-acquisition background subtraction is normally applied to XPS data.

5.3 The procedures outlined are popular in XPS and AES. General reviews of background subtraction techniques have been published (**1 and 2**).³

¹ This guide is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee E-42 on Surface Analysis and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee E42.03 on Auger Electron Spectroscopy and XPS.

Current edition approved Feb. 10, 1997. Published April 1997. Originally published as E 995 – 84. Last previous edition E 995 – 95.

² *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 03.06.

³ The boldface numbers in parentheses refer to the references at the end of this standard.

6. Apparatus

6.1 Most AES and XPS instruments either already use, or may be modified to use, one or more of the techniques that are described.

6.2 Background subtraction techniques may require a digital acquisition and digital data handling capability or the attachment of analog instrumentation to existing equipment.

7. Common Procedures

7.1 *Linear Background Subtraction (AES and XPS)*—In this method, two arbitrarily chosen points in the spectrum are selected and joined by a straight line (1). This straight line is used to approximate the true background and is subtracted from the original spectrum. For Auger spectra, the two points may be chosen either on the high-energy side of the Auger peak to result in an extrapolated linear background or such that the peak is positioned between the two points. For XPS spectra, the two points are generally chosen such that the peak is positioned between the two points. The intensity values at the chosen points may be the values at those energies or the average over a defined number of channels or energy interval.

7.2 *Integral Background Subtraction (AES and XPS)*—This method, proposed by Shirley (3), employs a mathematical algorithm to approximate the inelastic scattering of electrons as they escape from the solid. The algorithm is based on the assumption that the background is proportional to the area of the peak above the background at higher kinetic energy. This basic method has been modified to optimize the required iterations (4), to provide for a sloping inelastic background (5), to provide for a background based upon the shape of the loss spectrum from an elastically backscattered electron (6), and to include a band gap for insulators (1).

7.3 *Inelastic Electron Scattering Correction (AES and XPS)*—This method, proposed by Tougaard (7), uses an algorithm which is based on a description of the inelastic scattering processes as the electrons leave the specimen. The scattering cross section which enters in the algorithm is taken either from a simple universal formula which is approximately valid for some solids, or is determined from the energy spectrum of a backscattered primary electron beam by another algorithm (8). Alternatively, the parameters used in the universal formula may also be permitted to vary in an algorithm so as to produce an estimate of the background (9). This background subtraction method also gives direct information on the in-depth concentration profile (10 and 11).

7.4 *Signal Differentiation, $dN(E)/dE$ or $dEN(E)/dE$ (AES) (12 and 13)*—Signal differentiation is among the earliest methods employed to remove the background from an Auger spectrum and to enhance the Auger features. It may be employed in real time or in post acquisition. In real time, differentiation is usually accomplished by superposition of a small (1 to 6-eV peak-to-peak) sinusoidal modulation on the analyzer used to obtain the Auger spectrum. The output signal is then processed by a lock-in amplifier and displayed as the derivative of the original energy distribution $N(E)$ or $EN(E)$. In post-acquisition background subtraction, the already acquired $N(E)$ or $EN(E)$ signal may be mathematically differentiated by digital or other methods. The digital method

commonly used is that of the cubic/quadratic differential as proposed by Savitzky and Golay (14).

7.5 *X-ray Satellite Subtraction: (15) (XPS)*—In this method a fixed satellite structure associated with any given channel intensity such as a K X-ray line so that, starting at low kinetic energies, intensity is removed from higher kinetic energy channels at the spacing of the $K\alpha_{3,4}$, $K\beta$, etc. satellite positions from the $K\alpha_{1,2}$ main peak to remove their contribution to the spectrum. This subtraction proceeds through the spectrum and removes the satellite peaks associated with the photoelectron peaks. It may also erroneously remove an equivalent intensity from any Auger peaks present in the spectrum.

8. Less Common Procedures

8.1 *Deconvolution (AES and XPS) (16-19)*—Deconvolution may be used to reduce the effects due to inelastic scattering of electrons traveling through the specimen. This background is removed by deconvoluting the spectrum with elastically backscattered electrons (set at the energy of the main peak) and its associated loss spectrum. The intensity of the loss spectrum, relative to that of the backscattered primary, is sometimes adjusted to optimize the background subtraction. Deconvolution is usually accomplished using Fourier transforms or iterative techniques.

8.2 *Linearized Secondary Electron Cascades (AES)*—In this method, proposed by Sickafus (20 and 21) the logarithm of the electron energy distribution is plotted as a function of the logarithm of the electron energy. Such plots consist of linear segments corresponding to either surface or subsurface sources of Auger electrons and are appropriate for removing the background formed by the low energy cascade electrons.

9. Rarely Used Procedures

9.1 *Secondary Electron Analog (AES) (22 and 23)*—In this method, a signal that is an electronic analog of the secondary electron cascade is combined with the analyzer signal output so as to neutralize the secondary emission function. It is particularly useful in retarding field systems in which low-energy secondary emission is prominent.

9.2 *Dynamic Background Subtraction (DBS) (AES) (24 and 25)*—Dynamic background subtraction may be used either in real time or post acquisition. It involves multiple differentiation of an Auger spectrum to effect background removal, followed by an appropriate number of iterations to reestablish a background-free Auger spectrum. The amount of background removal depends on the number of derivatives taken, although two are usually sufficient. In real-time analysis, a first derivative of the Auger electron energy distribution obtained using a phase-sensitive detector is fed into an analog integrator, thereby obtaining the Auger electron energy distribution with the background removed.

9.3 *Tailored Modulation Techniques (TMT) (AES) (26 and 27)*—This is a real-time method of background subtraction that uses special modulation waveforms tailored to the analyzer and phase sensitive detection to measure the Auger signal. The $N(E)$ distribution, $EN(E)$ distribution, or areas under Auger peaks over specified energy ranges may be obtained directly using these techniques.

9.4 *Spline Technique (AES and XPS) (28)*—In this method,

a structureless background is calculated from a measured spectrum using a smoothing spline algorithm. This background is then subtracted from the original spectrum.

9.5 Digital Filtration (AES) (29 and 30)—In a method borrowed from energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy, a “top-hat” digital frequency filter is applied to an Auger spectrum to

suppress the slowly varying background continuum, while the more rapidly varying Auger peaks remain unaffected.

10. Keywords

10.1 Auger electron spectroscopy; surface analysis; X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy; background subtraction

APPENDIX

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. COMPARISONS AVAILABLE IN THE LITERATURE

X1.1 At the present time, the most popular methods for AES are analog and digital differentiation (see 7.4). Popular methods for XPS include the straight line (see 7.1), modified Shirley (see 7.2), or variations of the Tougaard method (see 7.3). Comparisons of background subtraction methods men-

tioned here have been offered in the literature. In the case of 7.1 and 7.2, the effect on the peak area calculated in terms of the choice of end points is examined (**4 and 5**). Further comparisons of these procedures and that in 7.2 on a number of materials are also offered (**31-38**).

REFERENCES

- (1) Briggs, D., and Seah, M. P., *Practical Surface Analysis*, Vol 1, 1990, Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, pp. 233–239 and pp. 555–586.
- (2) Grant, J. T., “Background Subtraction Techniques in Surface Analysis,” *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A*, Vol 2, 1984, pp. 1135–1140.
- (3) Shirley, D. A., “High Resolution X-Ray Photoemission Spectrum of the Valence Bands of Au,” *Physical Review B*, Vol 5, No. 12, 1972, pp. 4709–4714.
- (4) Proctor, A., and Sherwood, P. M. A., “Data Analysis Techniques in X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy,” *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol 54, 1982, pp. 13–19.
- (5) Bishop, H. E., “Practical Peak Area Measurements in X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy,” *Surface and Interface Analysis*, Vol 3, 1981, pp. 272–274.
- (6) Burrell, M. C., and Armstrong, N. R., “A Sequential Method for Removing the Inelastic Loss Contribution from Auger Electron Spectroscopic Data,” *Applications of Surface Science*, Vol 17, 1983, pp. 53–69.
- (7) Tougaard, S., “Quantitative Analysis of the Inelastic Background in Surface Electron Spectroscopy,” *Surface and Interface Analysis*, Vol 11, 1988, pp. 453–472.
- (8) Jansson, C., Hansen, H. S., Yubero, F., and Tougaard, S., “Accuracy of the Tougaard Method for Quantitative Surface Analysis. Comparison of the Universal and REELS Inelastic Cross Sections,” *Journal of Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena*, Vol 60, 1992, pp. 301–319.
- (9) Tougaard, S., “Practical Algorithm for Background Subtraction,” *Surface Science*, Vol 216, 1989, pp. 343–360.
- (10) Tougaard, S., “In-Depth Concentration Profile Information Through Analysis of the Entire XPS Peak Shape,” *Applied Surface Science*, Vol 32, 1988, pp. 332–337.
- (11) Tougaard, S., “Formalism for Quantitative Surface Analysis by Electron Spectroscopy,” *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A*, Vol 8, 1990, pp. 2197–2203.
- (12) Harris, L. A., “Analysis of Materials by Electron Excited Auger Electrons,” *Journal of Applied Physics*, Vol 39, No. 3, 1968, pp. 1419–1427.
- (13) Taylor, N. J., “Resolution and Sensitivity Considerations of an Auger Electron Spectrometer Based on LEED Display Optics,” *Review of Scientific Instruments*, Vol 40, No. 6, 1969, pp. 792–804.
- (14) Savitzky, A., and Golay, M., *Analytical Chemistry*, Vol 61, 1964, pp. 1627–1639.
- (15) Klauber, C., “Refinement of Magnesium and Aluminum K X-ray Source Functions,” *Surface and Interface Analysis*, 1993, pp. 703–715.
- (16) Mularie, M. C., and Peria, W. T., “Deconvolution Technique in Auger Electron Spectroscopy,” *Surface Science*, Vol 26, 1971, pp. 125–141.
- (17) Carley, A. F., and Joyner, R. W., “The Application of Deconvolution Methods in Electron Spectroscopy—A Review,” *Journal of Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena*, Vol 16, 1979, pp. 1–23.
- (18) Ramaker, D. E., Murday, J. S., and Turner, N. H., “Extracting Auger Lineshapes from Experimental Data,” *Journal of Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena*, Vol 17, 1979, pp. 45–65.
- (19) Koenig, M. F., and Grant, J. T., “Deconvolution in X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy,” *Journal of Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena*, Vol 33, 1984, pp. 9–22.
- (20) Sickafus, E. N., “Linearized Secondary—Electron Cascades for the Surface of Metals, I. Clean Surfaces of Homogeneous Metals,” *Physical Review B*, Vol 16, No. 4, 1977, pp. 1436–1447.
- (21) Sickafus, E. N., “Linearized Secondary Electron Cascades for the Surfaces of Metals, II. Surface and Subsurface Sources,” *Physical Review B*, Vol 16, No. 4, 1977, pp. 1448–1458.
- (22) Sickafus, E. N., “A Secondary Emission Analog for Improved Auger Spectroscopy with Retarding Potential Analyzers,” *Review of Scientific Instruments*, Vol 42, 1971, pp. 933–941.
- (23) Avery, N. R., Lee, J. B., and Spink, J. A., “Enhanced Low-Energy Detectability in Auger Spectroscopy,” *Journal of Physics E: Scientific Instruments*, Vol 13, 1980, pp. 30–31.
- (24) Houston, J. E., “Dynamic Background Subtraction and Retrieval of Threshold Signals,” *Review of Scientific Instruments*, Vol 45, No. 7, 1974, pp. 897–903.
- (25) Grant, J. T., Hooker, M. P., and Haas, T. W., “Use of Analog Integration in Dynamic Background Subtraction for Quantitative Auger Electron Spectroscopy,” *Surface Science*, Vol 46, 1974, pp. 674–675.
- (26) Springer, R. W., Pocker, D. J., and Haas, T. W., “Integral Auger Information via Tailored Modulation Techniques,” *Applied Physics Letters*, Vol 27, 1975, pp. 368–370.
- (27) Springer, R. W., and Pocker, D. J., “Tailored Waveform Modulation

- Calculation for Integral Auger Spectra,” *Review of Scientific Instruments*, Vol 48, 1977, pp. 74–82.
- (28) Heese, R., Littmart, U., and Staib, P., “A Method for Background Determination in Quantitative Auger Spectroscopy,” *Applied Physics*, Vol 2, 1976, pp. 233–239.
- (29) Moon, D. P., and Bishop, H. E., “Determination of Elemental Intensities from Direct Auger Spectra by Pre-Filtered Least Squares Fitting,” *Scanning Electron Microscopy 1984*, Vol III, pp. 1203–1210, SEM Inc., Chicago, IL.
- (30) Sekine, T., and Mogami, A., “Quantitative Analysis of Complex Auger Spectra by Least-Squares Fitting with Prefiltering of Spectra,” *Surface and Interface Analysis*, Vol 7, 1985, pp. 289–294.
- (31) Tougaard, S., and Jansson, C., “Background Correction in XPS: Comparison of Validity of Different Methods,” *Surface and Interface Analysis*, Vol 19, 1992, pp. 171–174.
- (32) Tokutaka, H., Ishihara, N., Nishimori, K., Kishida, S., and Isomoto, K., “Background Removal in X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy,” *Surface and Interface Analysis*, Vol 18, 1992, pp. 697–704.
- (33) Tougaard, S., Braun, W., Holub-Krappe, E., and Saalfeld, H., “Test of Algorithm for Background Correction in XPS Under Variation of XPS Peak Energy,” *Surface and Interface Analysis*, Vol 13, 1988, pp. 225–227.
- (34) Repoux, M., “Comparison of Background Removal Methods for XPS,” *Surface and Interface Analysis*, Vol 18, 1992, pp. 567–570.
- (35) Jansson, C., Hansen, H. S., Jung, C., Braun, W., and Tougaard, S., “Validity of Background Correction Algorithms Studied by Comparison with Theory of Synchrotron-radiation-excited Core Levels and Their Corresponding Auger Peak Intensities,” *Surface and Interface Analysis*, Vol 19, 1992, pp. 217–221.
- (36) Hansen, H. S., Jansson, C., and Tougaard, S., “Inelastic Peak Shape Method Applied to Quantitative Surface Analysis of Inhomogeneous Samples,” *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A*, Vol 10, 1992, pp. 2938–2944.
- (37) Tougaard, S., and Jansson, C., “Comparison of Validity and Consistency of Methods for Quantitative XPS Peak Analysis,” *Surface and Interface Analysis*, Vol 20, 1993, pp. 1013–1046.
- (38) Jansson, C., Tougaard, S., Beamson, G., Briggs, D., Dench, S. F., Rossie, A., Havert, R., Hubi, G., Brown, N. M. D., Meenan, B. J., Anderson, C. A., Repoux, M., Malitesta, C. and Sabbatini, L., “Intercomparison of Algorithms for Background Correction in XPS,” *Surface and Interface Analysis*, Vol 23, 1995, pp. 484–494.

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (<http://www.astm.org>).