



Designation: C 805 – 9702

Standard Test Method for Rebound Number of Hardened Concrete¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation C 805; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

This standard has been approved for use by agencies of the Department of Defense.

1. Scope*

- 1.1 This test method covers the determination of a rebound number of hardened concrete using a spring-driven steel hammer.
- 1.2 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard.
- 1.3 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

- 2.1 *ASTM Standards:*

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee C-9 C09 on Concrete and Concrete Aggregates and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee C09.64 on Nondestructive and In-Place Testing of Concrete.
Current edition approved Nov. 10, 1997; 2002. Published October 1998; August 2002. Originally published as C 805 – 75 T. Last previous edition C 805 – 947.

***A Summary of Changes section appears at the end of this standard.**

C 125 Terminology Relating to Concrete and Concrete Aggregates²

C 670 Practice for Preparing Precision and Bias Statements for Test Methods for Construction Materials²

~~E-177 Practice 18 Test Methods for Use of the Terms Precision Rockwell and Bias in ASTM Test Methods~~² Rockwell

² *Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol T 04.02.*

Superficial Hardness of Metallic Materials³

3. Summary Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 For definitions of Test Method

3.1 A steel hammer impacts with a predetermined amount of energy, a steel plunger terms used in contact with a surface of concrete, and the distance that the hammer rebounds is measured. this test method, refer to Terminology C 125.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 A steel hammer impacts, with a predetermined amount of energy, a steel plunger in contact with a surface of concrete, and the distance that the hammer rebounds is measured.

5. Significance and Use

45.1 This test method ~~may be used~~ is applicable to assess the in-place uniformity of concrete, to delineate regions in a structure of poor quality or deteriorated concrete, and to estimate in-place strength development.

45.2 To use this test method to estimate strength requires establishing a relationship between strength and rebound number. The relationship shall be established for a given concrete mixture and given apparatus. The relationship shall be established over the range of concrete strength that is of interest. To estimate strength during construction, establish the relationship by performing rebound number tests on molded specimens and measuring the strength of the same or companion molded specimens. To estimate strength in an existing structure, establish the relationship by correlating rebound numbers measured on the structure with the strengths of cores taken from corresponding locations. See ACI 228.1R⁴ for additional information on developing the relationship and on using the relationship to estimate in-place strength.

45.3 For a given concrete mixture, the rebound number is affected by factors such as moisture content of the test surface, the method used to obtain the test surface (type of form material or type of finishing), and the depth of carbonation. These factors need to be considered in preparing the strength relationship and interpreting test results.

4.4 ~~Because~~

5.4 ~~Different hammers of the same nominal design may give rebound numbers differing from 1 to 3 units. Therefore, tests should be made with the same hammer in order to compare results. If more than one hammer is to be used, perform tests on a range of typical concrete surfaces so as to determine the estimated strength, this magnitude of the differences to be expected.~~

5.5 This test method is not intended as the basis for acceptance or rejection of concrete because of the inherent uncertainty in the estimated strength.

56. Apparatus

56.1 Rebound Hammer, consisting of a spring-loaded steel hammer ~~which that~~ when released strikes a steel plunger in contact with the concrete surface. The spring-loaded hammer must travel with a consistent and reproducible velocity. The rebound distance of the steel hammer from the steel plunger is measured on a linear scale attached to the frame of the instrument.

NOTE 1—Several types and sizes of rebound hammers are commercially available to accommodate testing of various sizes and types of concrete construction.

56.2 Abrasive Stone, consisting of medium-grain texture silicon carbide or equivalent material.

56.3 Test Anvil, ~~A~~ approximately 150-mm (6-in.) diameter by 150-mm (6-in.) high cylinder made of tool steel with an impact area hardened to Brinell 500 or Rockwell 52 C: 66 ± 2 HRC as measured by Test Methods E 18. An instrument guide is provided to center the rebound hammer over the impact area and keep the instrument perpendicular to the surface.

6.4 Verification—Rebound hammers shall be serviced and verified annually and whenever there is reason to question their proper operation. Verify the functional operation of a rebound hammer using the test anvil described in 6.3. During verification, support the test anvil on a bare concrete floor or slab. The manufacturer shall report the rebound number to be obtained by a properly operating instrument when tested on an anvil of specified hardness.

NOTE 2—Typically, a rebound hammer will result in a rebound number of 80 ± 2 when tested on the anvil described in 6.3. The test anvil needs to be supported on a rigid base to obtain reliable rebound numbers. Verification on the test anvil does not guarantee that the hammer will yield repeatable data at other points on the scale. The hammer can be verified at lower rebound numbers by using blocks of polished stone having uniform hardness. Some users compare several hammers on concrete or stone surfaces encompassing the usual range of rebound numbers encountered in the field.

³ ACI 228.1R-89, "In-Place Methods for Determination

³ *Annual Book of Strength of Concrete*, "ACI Manual of Concrete Practice-Part 2, 1994 ASTM Standards," American Concrete Institute, 38800 Country Club Drive, Farmington Hills, MI 48331; Vol 03.01.

⁴ Gaynor, R. D.;

⁴ ACI 228.1R-95, "In-Place Strength of Concrete—A Comparison of Two Test Systems," and "Appendix Methods to Series 193," National Ready Mixed Concrete Assn., TIL No. 272, November 1969; "Strength," ACI Manual of Concrete Practice-Part 2, 2000, American Concrete Institute, 38800 Country Club Drive, Farmington Hills, MI 48331.

7. Test Area and Interferences

~~67.1 Selection of Test Surface~~—Concrete members to be tested shall be at least 100 mm (4 in.) thick and fixed within a structure. Smaller specimens must be rigidly supported. ~~Avoid areas exhibiting honeycombing, scaling, or high porosity should be avoided. The porosity. Do not compare test results if the form material against which the concrete was placed should be is not similar (see Note 2 3).~~ Troweled surfaces generally exhibit higher rebound numbers than screeded or formed finishes. If possible, test structural slabs should be tested from the underside to avoid finished surfaces.

~~67.2 Preparation of Test Surface~~—A test area shall be at least 150 mm (6 in.) in diameter. Heavily textured, soft, or surfaces with loose mortar shall be ground ~~smooth flat~~ with the abrasive stone described in ~~5 6.2~~. Smooth-formed or troweled surfaces do not have to be ground prior to testing (see Note ~~2 3~~). Do not compare results from ground and unground surfaces.

NOTE ~~23~~—Where formed surfaces were ground, increases in rebound number of 2.1 for plywood formed surfaces and 0.4 for high-density plywood formed surfaces have been noted. ~~Dry concrete surfaces give higher rebound numbers than wet surfaces. The presence of surface carbonation can also result in higher rebound numbers.~~⁵ Dry concrete surfaces give higher rebound numbers than wet surfaces. The presence of surface carbonation can also result in higher rebound numbers.⁶ The effects of drying and surface carbonation can be reduced by thoroughly wetting the surface for 24 h prior to testing. In cases of a thick layer of carbonated concrete, it may be necessary to remove the carbonated layer in the test area, using a power grinder, to obtain rebound numbers that are representative of the interior concrete. Data are not available on the relationship between rebound number and thickness of carbonated concrete. The user must exercise professional judgement when testing carbonated concrete.

~~6.2.1 Ground and unground surfaces should~~

~~7.3 Do not be compared.~~

~~6.3 Other factors that may affect the results of the test are as follows:~~

~~6.3.1 Concrete at 0°C (32°F) or less may exhibit very high rebound values. Concrete should be tested only after it has thawed.~~

~~6.3.2 The temperatures of the rebound hammer itself may affect the rebound number. frozen concrete.~~

NOTE ~~34~~—Moist concrete at 0 °C (32 °F) or less may exhibit high rebound values. Concrete should be tested only after it has thawed. The temperatures of the rebound hammer itself may affect the rebound number. Rebound hammers at ~~—~~ -18 °C (0 °F) may exhibit rebound numbers reduced by as much as 2 or ~~3.~~⁶

⁵Zoldners, N. G., “Calibration and Use

⁵ Gaynor, R. D., “In-Place Strength of Impact Concrete—A Comparison of Two Test Hammer,” *Proceedings, American Systems*, and “Appendix to Series 193,” National Ready Mixed Concrete Institute, Vol 54, August 1957, pp. 161–165; Assn., TIL No. 272, November 1969.

⁶National Ready Mixed

⁶ Zoldners, N. G., “Calibration and Use of Impact Test Hammer,” *Proceedings, American Concrete Assn.*, TIL No. 260, April 1968; Institute, Vol 54, August 1957, pp. 161–165.

~~6.3.3 For 3⁷.~~

~~7.4 For readings to be compared, the direction of impact, horizontal, downward, upward, etc., or at another angle, must be the same or established correction factors shall be applied to the readings.~~

~~6.3.4 Different hammers of the same nominal design may give rebound numbers differing from 1 to 3 units and therefore,~~

~~7.5 Do not conduct tests should be made directly over reinforcing bars with the same hammer in order to compare results. If more cover less than one hammer is to be used, a sufficient number of tests must be made on typical concrete surfaces so as to determine the magnitude of the differences to be expected.~~

~~6.3.5 Rebound hammers shall be serviced and verified semiannually and whenever there is reason to question their proper operation. Test anvils described in 5.3 are recommended for verification. 20 mm [0.75 in.].~~

~~NOTE 4—Verification on an anvil will not guarantee that the hammer will yield repeatable data at other points on the scale. Some users compare several hammers on concrete or stone surfaces encompassing the usual range 5—The location of rebound numbers encountered in the field.~~

7. Procedure

~~7.1 Hold the instrument firmly so that the plunger is perpendicular to the test surface. Gradually push the instrument toward the test surface until the hammer impacts. After impact, maintain pressure on the instrument and, if necessary, depress the button on the side of the instrument to lock the plunger in its retracted position. Estimate the rebound number on the scale to the nearest whole number and record the rebound number. Take ten readings from each test area. No two impact tests shall reinforcement may be closer together than 25 mm (1 in.). Examine the impression made on the surface after impact, and if the impact crushes established using reinforcement locators or breaks through a near-surface air void disregard metal detectors. Follow the reading and take another reading. manufacturer's instructions for proper operation of such devices.~~

8. Calculation

~~8.1 Discard readings differing from Procedure~~

~~8.1 Hold the average of 10 readings by more than 6 units and determine instrument firmly so that the average of plunger is perpendicular to the remaining readings. If more than 2 readings differ from test surface. Gradually push the average by 6 units, discard instrument toward the test surface until the hammer impacts. After impact, maintain pressure on the instrument and, if necessary, depress the button on the side of readings and determine the instrument to lock the plunger in its retracted position. Read the rebound numbers at 10 new locations within number on the scale to the nearest whole number and record the rebound number. Take ten readings from each test area. No two impact tests shall be closer together than 25 mm (1 in.). Examine the impression made on the surface after impact, and if the impact crushes or breaks through a near-surface air void disregard the reading and take another reading.~~

9. Report

~~9.1 Report Calculation~~

~~9.1 Discard readings differing from the following information for each test area:~~

~~9.1.1 Date and time average of testing;~~

~~9.1.2 Identification of location tested in the concrete construction 10 readings by more than 6 units and determine the type and size average of member tested;~~

~~9.1.2.1 Description of the concrete mixture proportions including type of coarse aggregates if known, and~~

~~9.1.2.2 Design strength of concrete tested;~~

~~9.1.3 Description of remaining readings. If more than 2 readings differ from the test area including:~~

~~9.1.3.1 Surface characteristics (trowelled, screeded) average by 6 units, discard the entire set of area;~~

~~9.1.3.2 If surface was ground readings and depth of grinding;~~

~~9.1.3.3 Type of form material used for test area;~~

~~9.1.3.4 Curing conditions of test area;~~

~~9.1.3.5 Type of exposure to the environment;~~

~~9.1.4 Hammer identification and serial number;~~

~~9.1.4.1 Air temperature determine rebound numbers at 10 new locations within the time of testing;~~

~~9.1.4.2 Orientation of hammer during test;~~

~~9.1.5 Average rebound number for test area, and~~

~~9.1.5.1 Remarks regarding discarded readings of test data or any unusual conditions. area.~~

10. Report

~~10.1 Report the following information for each test area:~~

~~10.1.1 Date and time of testing.~~

~~10.1.2 Identification of location tested in the concrete construction and the type and size of member tested,~~

⁷ National Ready Mixed Concrete Assn., TIL No. 260, April 1968.

- 10.1.2.1 Description of the concrete mixture proportions including type of coarse aggregates if known, and
- 10.1.2.2 Design strength of concrete tested.
- 10.1.3 Description of the test area including:
 - 10.1.3.1 Surface characteristics (trowelled, screeded) of area,
 - 10.1.3.2 If surface was ground and depth of grinding,
 - 10.1.3.3 Type of form material used for test area,
 - 10.1.3.4 Curing conditions of test area,
 - 10.1.3.5 Type of exposure to the environment,
- 10.1.4 Hammer identification and serial number,
 - 10.1.4.1 Air temperature at the time of testing,
 - 10.1.4.2 Orientation of hammer during test,
- 10.1.5 Average rebound number for test area, and
 - 10.1.5.1 Remarks regarding discarded readings of test data or any unusual conditions.

11. Precision and Bias

101.1 Precision—The single-specimen, single-operator, machine, day standard deviation is 2.5 units (1s) as defined in Practice E 177, C 670. Therefore, the range of ten readings should not exceed 12.

101.2 Bias—The bias of this test method cannot be evaluated since the rebound number can only be determined in terms of this test method.

112. Keywords

- 112.1 concrete; in-place strength; nondestructive testing; rebound hammer; rebound number

SUMMARY OF CHANGES

The following changes to this test method have been incorporated since the last issue, C 805–97.

- (1) Section 3 on Terminology has been added.
- (2) Nonmandatory language in various sections has been replaced with mandatory language. Some nonmandatory language has been moved into a note or into the Significance and Use section.
- (3) The hardness of the test anvil has been revised to correct an error in the previous issue.
- (4) The verification procedure has been moved to the Apparatus section and more guidance has been provided.
- (5) Section 7.5 has been added to prevent testing directly over reinforcement with shallow cover.
- (6) Spelling and grammatical errors have been corrected.

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).