



Standard Test Method for Tearing Strength on Nonwoven Fabrics by the Tongue (Single Rip) Procedure (Constant-Rate-of-Extension Tensile Testing Machine)¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 5735; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers the measurement of the tearing strength of nonwoven fabrics by the tongue (single rip) procedure using a recording constant-rate-of-extension (CRE) tensile testing machine.

1.1.1 The CRE-type tensile testing machine has become the preferred test apparatus for determining tongue tearing strength. It is recognized that some constant-rate-of-traverse (CRT) tensile testing machines continue to be used. As a consequence, these test instruments may be used when agreed upon between the purchaser and the supplier. The conditions for the CRT-type tensile tester as used with this test are included in Appendix X1.

1.2 This test method applies to most nonwoven fabrics including those that are treated or untreated, including those heavily sized, coated or resin treated, provided that during the test, the fabric does not tear in the direction crosswise to the direction of the force applied. This test method may not be useful for highloft nonwoven fabrics. If the tear is not substantially lengthwise, the fabric shall be described as untearable in that direction by this test.

1.3 Tongue tear strength as measured in this method is the maximum single-peak force required to continue or propagate a tear started previously in the specimen. The reported value includes the simultaneous force required to shift fibers, break fibers, break fiber bonds and break fiber interlocks in nonwoven fabric. The reported value is not directly related to the force required to initiate or start a tear.

1.4 The values stated in SI units are to be regarded as the standard. The inch-pound units given in parentheses may be approximate.

1.5 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

- D 76 Specification for Tensile Testing Machines for Textiles²
- D 123 Terminology Relating to Textiles²
- D 1776 Practice for Conditioning Textiles for Testing²
- D 4848 Terminology of Force and Deformation Properties for Textiles³

3. Terminology

3.1 Definitions:

3.1.1 *lengthwise direction, n*—in textiles, the direction in a machine-made fabric parallel to the direction of movement the fabric followed in the manufacturing machine.

3.1.1.1 *Discussion*—For nonwovens, an easily distinguishable pattern for orientation may not be apparent, especially if removed from the roll. Care should be taken to maintain the directionality by clearly marking the direction.

3.1.2 *nonwoven fabric, n*—a textile structure produced by bonding or interlocking of fibers, or both, accomplished by mechanical, chemical, thermal, or solvent means and combination thereof.

3.1.3 *tearing force, n*—the average force required to continue a tear previously started in a fabric.

3.1.3.1 *Discussion*—For nonwovens, the tearing force is recorded as the maximum force required to continue a tear previously started in a fabric. The tearing force may appear as a single peak or a series of peaks on a force-extension curve, depending on the nature of the material. Typically for nonwoven fabrics, if a small decrease in force occurs at a time when the applied force is increasing, it is not considered as a peak unless the indicated force exceeds the force required to break, individually or collectively, the fibers, fiber bonds, or fiber interlocks. Lower shifts corresponding to fiber movement do not qualify as peaks since the fibers, fiber bonds, or fiber interlocks are not broken. The tongue tearing force may be calculated from a single-peak or multiple-peak force-extension curve.

3.1.4 *tearing strength, n*—the force required either to start or to continue or propagate a tear in a fabric under specified conditions.

3.1.5 *widthwise direction, n*—in textiles, the direction in a

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D13 on Textiles and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D13.64 on Non-Woven Fabric. Current edition approved June 15, 1995. Published September 1995.

² *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 07.01.

³ *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 07.02.

machine-made fabric perpendicular to the direction of movement the fabric followed in the manufacturing machine.

3.1.6 For other definitions of other textile terms used in this test method, refer to Terminology D 123 and Terminology D 4848.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 A rectangular specimen, cut in the center of the shorter edge to form a two-tongue (trouser type) specimen. One tongue of the specimen is gripped in the upper jaw and the other tongue is gripped in the lower jaw of a tensile testing machine. The separation of the jaws is continuously increased to apply a force to propagate the tear in a ripping action. At the same time, the force developed is recorded. The maximum force to continue the tear is calculated from autographic chart recorders, or microprocessor data collection systems.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test method is used in the trade for acceptance testing of commercial shipments of nonwoven fabrics, however, caution is advised since information about between-laboratory precision is incomplete. Comparative test as directed in 5.1.1 may be advisable.

5.1.1 In case of a dispute arising from differences in reported test results when using this test method for acceptance testing of commercial shipments, the purchaser and the supplier should conduct comparative tests to determine if there is a statistical bias between their laboratories. Competent statistical assistance is recommended for the investigation of bias. As a minimum, the two parties should take a group of test specimens that are as homogeneous as possible and that are from a lot of material of the type in question. Test specimens should then be randomly assigned in equal numbers to each laboratory for testing. The average results from the two laboratories should be compared using the appropriate Student's t-test and an acceptable probability level chosen by the two parties before testing is begun. If a bias is found, either its cause must be found and corrected or the purchaser and the supplier must agree to interpret future test results in view of the known bias.

5.2 The force registered in a tear test is irregular, and as a consequence empirical methods have had to be developed to obtain usable values related to tear strength. In spite of the empirical nature of the reported values, they are considered to reflect comparative performance of similar nonwoven fabrics tested and measured in the same way. No procedure is available that can be used with all fabrics to determine the minimum tearing strength.

5.3 For nonwoven fabrics, because the individual fibers are more or less randomly oriented and capable of some reorientation in the direction of the applied force, the maximum tongue tearing strength is reached when the resistance to further reorientation is greater than the force required to shift and rupture one or more fibers, or the fiber interlocking, simultaneously. The tearing strength is determined primarily by the bonding or interlocking of fibers in this structure.

5.4 Depending on the nature of the specimen, the data recording devices usually will show the tearing force in the form of a single-peak. The highest peak appears to reflect the

strength of the fibers, fiber bonds, or fiber interlocks, individually or in combination, needed to stop a tear in a fabric of the same construction.

5.5 Most nonwoven fabrics can be tested by this test method. Some modification of clamping techniques may be necessary for a given fabric, depending upon its structure. Special adaptation may be necessary with strong fabrics, or fabrics made from glass fibers, to prevent them from slipping in the clamps or being damaged as a result of being gripped in the clamps.

5.6 The CRE-type is the preferred tensile testing machine. This test method allows the use of the CRT-type tensile machine when agreed upon between the purchaser and supplier. However, there may be no overall correlation between the results obtained with the CRT machine and the CRE machine. Consequently, these two tensile testers cannot be used interchangeably unless the degree of quantitative correlation has been established between the purchaser and supplier. In any event, the CRE machine shall prevail.

6. Apparatus

6.1 *Tensile Testing Machine*, of the constant-rate-of-extension (CRE) type conforming to the requirements of Specification D 76 with autographic recorder, or automatic microprocessor data gathering systems.

6.2 *Clamps*, having all jaw surfaces parallel, flat, and capable of preventing slippage of the specimen during a test, and measuring at least 25 by 75 mm (1 by 3 in.) with the longer dimension perpendicular to the direction of application of the force.

6.2.1 The use of hydraulic pneumatic clamping systems with a minimum of 50 by 75 mm (2 by 3 in.) serrated or rubber jaw faces having a clamping force at the grip faces of 13 to 14 kN (2900 to 3111 lbf) is recommended. Manual clamping is permitted providing no slippage of the specimen is observed.

6.2.2 For some materials, to prevent slippage when using jaw faces other than serrated, such as rubber faced jaws, they may be covered with a No. 80 to 120 medium grip emery cloth. Secure the emery cloth to the jaw faces with pressure sensitive tape.


6.3 *Cutting Die or Template*, having essentially the shape and dimensions with tolerances of 0.5 % shown in Fig. 1.

7. Sampling and Test Specimens

7.1 *Lot Sample*—As a lot sample for acceptance testing, take at random the number of rolls, or pieces, of nonwoven fabric directed in an applicable material specification or other agreement between the purchaser and the supplier. Consider the rolls, or pieces, of nonwoven fabric to be the primary sampling units. In the absence of such an agreement, take the number of nonwoven fabric rolls specified in Table 1.

NOTE 1—An adequate specification or other agreement between the purchaser and supplier requires taking into account the variability between rolls or pieces of fabric and between specimens from a swatch from a roll or pieces of fabric to provide a sampling plan with a meaningful producer's risk, consumer's risk, acceptable quality level, and limiting quality level.

7.2 *Laboratory Sample*—For the laboratory sample, take a swatch extending the width of the fabric and approximately 1



NOTE 1—All tolerances $\pm 0.5\%$.

FIG. 1 Template for Cutting and Marking Tongue Test Specimens

TABLE 1 Number of Rolls, or Pieces, of Nonwoven Fabric in the Lot Sample

Number of Rolls, Pieces in Lot, Inclusive	Number of Rolls or Pieces in Lot Sample
1 to 3	all
4 to 24	4
25 to 50	5
over 50	10 % to a maximum of ten rolls or pieces

m (1 yd) along the lengthwise direction from each roll, or piece, in the lot sample. For rolls of fabric, take a sample that will exclude fabric from the outer wrap of the roll or the inner wrap around the core.

7.3 *Test Specimens*—From each laboratory sampling unit, take five specimens from the lengthwise direction and five specimens from the widthwise direction, for each test condition described in 8.1, 8.2, and 8.3, as applicable to a material specification or contract order.

7.3.1 *Direction of Test*—Consider the long direction as the direction of the test.

7.3.2 *Cutting Test Specimens*—Take the specimens for the measurement of the lengthwise direction from different positions across the fabric width. Use the cutting die or template described in 6.3 and shown in Fig. 1. Take the specimens for the measurement of the widthwise direction from different positions along the length of the fabric. Cut rectangular specimens 75 by 200 mm (3 by 8 in.). Cut the specimens to be used for the measurement of the lengthwise direction, with the shorter dimension parallel to the widthwise direction. Cut the specimens to be used for the measurement of the widthwise direction with the shorter dimension parallel to the lengthwise direction. Make a preliminary cut 75 mm (3 in.) long at the center of the 75 mm (3 in.) width as shown in Fig. 1. When specimens are to be tested wet, take the specimens from areas adjacent to the dry test specimens. Label to maintain specimen identity.

7.3.2.1 Cut specimens representing a broad distribution across the width of the laboratory sample and no nearer the edge than one-tenth its width. Ensure specimens are free of folds, creases, or wrinkles. Avoid getting oil, water, grease, etc. on the specimens when handling.

8. Preparation of Apparatus

8.1 Set the distance between the clamps at the start of the test at 75 ± 1 mm (3 ± 0.05 in.). Select the full-scale force range of the testing machine such that the maximum force occurs between 15 and 85 % of full-scale force.

8.2 Set the testing speed to 50 ± 2 mm/min (2 ± 0.1 in./min). When agreed upon between the purchaser and the supplier, the testing speed may be set to 300 ± 10 mm/min (12 ± 0.5 in./min).

8.3 Verify calibration of the tensile testing machine as directed in the manufacturer’s instructions and Test Method D 76.

8.4 When using microprocessor automatic data gathering systems, set the appropriate parameters as defined in the manufacturer’s instructions.

9. Conditioning

9.1 *Condition 1, Unspecified Testing Conditioning*—No conditioning is required unless otherwise specified in a material specification or contract order.

9.2 *Condition 2, Standard Testing Conditions:*

9.2.1 When specified, precondition the specimens by bringing them to approximate moisture equilibrium in the standard atmosphere for preconditioning textiles as directed in Practice D 1776.

9.2.2 After preconditioning, bring the test specimens to moisture equilibrium for testing in the standard atmosphere for testing textiles as directed in Practice D 1776 or, if applicable, in the specified atmosphere in which the testing is to be performed.

9.3 *Condition 3, Wet Specimen Testing Conditioning:*

9.3.1 Place the specimens in a container and submerge in distilled or deionized water at ambient temperature until thoroughly soaked.

9.3.1.1 The time of immersion must be sufficient to wet out the specimens, as indicated by no significant change in tearing force followed by longer periods of immersion. For most fabrics this time period will be about one hour. For fabrics not readily wet out with water, such as those treated with water-repellent or water resistance materials, add a 0.1 % solution of

a nonionic wetting agent to the water bath.

10. Procedure

10.1 Test the specimens in the atmosphere as directed in an applicable material specification or contract order.

10.2 Place the specimens in the clamps with the slit centered in the jaw and one of the tongues held in each clamp in such a manner that the originally adjacent cut edges of the tongues form a straight line joining the centers of the clamps and the two tongues present opposite faces of the fabric to the operator.

10.2.1 For wet specimens, remove the specimens from the water and immediately mount it on the testing machine in the normal set-up. Perform the test within two minutes after removal of the specimen from the water. If more than 2 min elapse between taking the wet specimen from the water bath and starting a tensile testing machine, discard the specimen and take another.

10.3 Start the machine and record the tearing force on the recording device. The tearing force may increase to a simple maximum value, or may show several maxima and minima, as shown in Fig. 2.

10.4 After the crosshead has moved to produce approximately 6 mm (0.25 in.) of fabric tear, record the maximum tearing force. Stop the crosshead motion after a total clamp separation of approximately 75 mm (3 in.) or the fabric has torn completely across and return the crosshead to its starting position.

10.4.1 If a fabric slips in the jaws or if 25 % or more of the specimens break at a point within 5 mm (0.25 in.) of the edge of the jaw, then the jaws may be padded; the fabric may be coated under the jaw face area; or the jaw face may be modified. If any of the modifications listed above are used, state the method of modification in the report.

10.4.2 If 25 % or more of the specimens break at a point within 5 mm (0.25 in.) of the edge of the jaw or does not tear substantially lengthwise after making the modifications described in 10.4.1, consider the fabric untearable by this test method.

10.4.3 Report if the tear occurs cross-wise to the direction of applied force.

10.5 Remove the tested specimen and continue as directed in 10.2-10.4 until five specimens have been tested for each principal direction from each laboratory sampling unit.

11. Calculation

11.1 *Tearing Force, Individual Specimens*—Calculate the

tongue tearing force for individual specimens using readings directly from the data collection system. Record the maximum tearing force to the nearest .05 N (.01 lbf), unless otherwise agreed upon between the purchaser and supplier.

11.2 *Tearing Strength*—Calculate the average tongue tearing strength for each principal direction of the laboratory sample and the lot.

11.3 *Standard Deviation, Coefficient of Variation*—Calculate when requested.

11.4 *Computer Processed Data*—When data is automatically computer processed, calculations are generally contained in the associated software. Record values as read from the direct reading scale to the nearest .05 N (.01 lbf). In any event, it is recommended that computer processed data be verified against known property values and its software described in the report.

12. Report

12.1 Report the following information:

12.1.1 Report that the tongue tearing strength was determined as directed in this test method. Describe the material or product sampled and the method of sampling used.

12.2 Report the following information for both the laboratory sampling unit and the lot average as applicable to a material specification or contract order:

12.2.1 Average tongue tearing strength for each principal direction, as requested,

12.2.2 Number of specimens tested for each direction,

12.2.3 Condition of the specimens (dry or wet),

12.2.4 When calculated, the standard deviation or the coefficient of variation,

12.2.5 For computer processed data, identify the program (software) used,

12.2.6 Make, model and capacity of testing machine,

12.2.7 Type of clamps used,

12.2.8 Test room conditioning, and

12.2.9 Any modification of the test method.

13. Precision and Bias

13.1 *Summary*—A preliminary single operator ruggedness test was conducted in 1994 and a full scale interlaboratory evaluation is in progress to determine the precision of this test method. The following data were generated during the ruggedness test and are presented for reference:




FIG. 2 Typical Tongue Tearing Force-Extension Curves for Individual Test Specimens

Material	Hydroentangled	
Number of Specimens	Ten each direction	
Testing Speed	12 in./min (300 mm)	
	Peak Tearing Force (pounds)	
	Widthwise	Lengthwise
	Direction	Direction
Mean	3.81	2.19
Standard Deviation	0.52	0.25

ing the tearing strength of nonwoven fabrics has no bias because the tearing strength can only be defined in terms of a test method. This test method is generally accepted as a referee method.

14. Keywords

14.1 nonwoven fabric; tearing strength; tongue

13.2 *Bias*—The procedure in this test method for determin-

APPENDIX

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. CONDITIONS WHEN USING CRT-TYPE TENSILE TESTERS

X1.1 The following information is provided for determining tongue tearing strength using the CRT-type tensile tester when agreed upon between the purchaser and the supplier. The conditions cited are used with this test method as a substitute, as applicable.

X1.2 *Tensile Testing Machine*, of the constant-rate-of-traverse (CRT) pendulum type conforming to the requirements

of Specification D 76 with autographic recorder, or automatic microprocessor data gathering systems.

X1.3 Set the testing speed to 300 ± 10 mm/min (12 ± 0.5 in./min). Disengage the pawls from the ratchet to render them inoperative.

X1.4 Report that the tongue tearing test was determined using a CRT-type tensile tester.

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).