



Designation: D 6501 – 9904

An American National Standard

## Standard Test Method for Phosphonate in Brines<sup>1</sup>

This standard is issued under the fixed designation D 6501; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon ( $\epsilon$ ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

### 1. Scope\*

1.1 This test method covers the colorimetric determination of phosphonate (PNA) in brines from gas and oil production operations in the range from 0.1 to 5 mg/L.

1.2 This phosphonate method is intended for use to analyze low concentration of phosphonate in brine containing interfering elements. This test method is most useful for analyzing phosphonate at 0.1 to 1 mg/L range in brines with interfering elements; however, it requires personnel with good analytical skill.

1.3 This test method has been used successfully with reagent water and both field and synthetic brine. It is the user's responsibility to ensure the validity of this test method for waters of untested matrices.

1.4 *This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, if any, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.* For specific hazard statements, see 9.1.3.

---

<sup>1</sup> This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee D19 on Water and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee D19.05 on Inorganic Constituents in Water. Current edition approved ~~Dec. 10, 1999~~, March 1, 2004. Published April 2004. Originally approved in 1999. Last previous edition approved in 1999 as D 6501-99.

\*A Summary of Changes section appears at the end of this standard.

## 2. Referenced Documents

### 2.1 ASTM Standards:<sup>2</sup>

- D 1129 Terminology Relating to Water
- D 1192 Specification for Equipment for Sampling Water and Steam in Closed Conduits
- D 1193 Specification for Reagent Water
- D 2777 Practice for Determination of Precision and Bias of Applicable Test Methods of Committee D-19 on Water
- D 3370 Practices for Sampling Water from Closed Conduits
- D 3856 Guide for Good Laboratory Practices in Laboratories Engaged in Sampling and Analysis of Water
- D 4375 Terminology for Basic Statistics in Committee D-19 on Water
- D 5810 Guide for Spiking into Aqueous Samples
- D 5847 Practice for Writing Quality Control Specifications for Standard Test Methods for Water Analysis
- E 275 Practice for Describing and Measuring Performance of Ultraviolet, Visible, and Near Infrared Spectrophotometers

## 3. Terminology

3.1 *Definitions*— For definitions of terms used in this test method, refer to Terminology D 1129.

3.2 *Definitions of Terms Specific to This Standard:*

3.2.1 *phosphonate, n*—a group of organophosphorus compounds typically used for mineral scale and corrosion control, as cleaning agents, dispersants, and chelants. Typical phosphonate compounds include, but are not limited to, the following phosphonic acid and their neutralized salts: Aminotri(methylenephosphonic acid), 1-hydroxyethylidene-1,1-diphosphonic acid, ethylenediaminetetra (methylenephosphonic acid), hexamethylenediaminetetra (methylenephosphonic acid), and diethylenetriaminepenta (methylenephosphonic acid).

## 4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 Phosphonate materials are converted to orthophosphate by potassium persulfate digestion. The orthophosphate is then reacted with ammonium molybdate to form a phosphomolybdate complex. The complex is extracted with a methyl isobutyl ketone/cyclohexane mixture and measured colorimetrically.

## 5. Significance and Use

5.1 This test method is useful for the determination of trace level phosphonate residues in brines. Chemical treatment which contain phosphonates are used as mineral scale and corrosion inhibitors in gas and oil drilling and production operations; and other industrial applications. Often, the decision for treatment is based on the ability to measure low phosphonate concentration and not upon performance criteria. Phosphonate concentrations as low as 0.16 mg/L have been shown effective in carbonate scale treatment. This test method enables the measurement of sub-mg/L phosphonate concentration in brines containing interfering elements.

5.2 The procedure includes measuring total (see 12.3.8) and free orthophosphate (see 12.4.3) ions and the difference in concentration is the phosphonate concentration. The sample could contain orthophosphate naturally, or from decomposition of the phosphonate during processing or well treatment or from treating compounds containing molecular dehydrated phosphates.

## 6. Interferences

6.1 Sulfide interferes in this test method, but techniques described in the procedure (see 9.1.2) eliminate this interference. Concentrations less than 1000 mg/L copper ( $\text{Cu}^{+2}$ ) and silica ( $\text{SiO}_2/\text{SiO}_3^{-2}/\text{Si}^{+4}$ ); and less than 200 mg/L of iron ( $\text{Fe}^{+2}/\text{Fe}^{+3}$ ) can be tolerated.

6.2 Produced brines can contain high concentrations of dissolved solids. Some of these dissolved solids tend to precipitate when produced brines reach new equilibria at atmospheric temperature and pressure. Phosphonate will coprecipitate or adsorb onto these newly formed solids and become unavailable for analysis. This problem can be minimized by acidifying the brine sample on-site with hydrochloric acid to pH below 2.

6.3 Glassware must be cleaned with phosphate free detergent and rinsed with 0.1 N hydrochloric acid to remove all residual phosphate or phosphonate.

6.4 The standard addition method in 12.6 is recommended for brine with high matrix interference.

## 7. Apparatus

7.1 *Pressure Cooker or Sterilizer (Autoclave)*<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>2</sup> For referenced ASTM standards, visit the ASTM website, [www.astm.org](http://www.astm.org), or contact ASTM Customer Service at [service@astm.org](mailto:service@astm.org). For Annual Book of ASTM Standards; Vol 11.01; volume information, refer to the standard's Document Summary page on the ASTM website.  
*Annual Book of ASTM Standards, Vol 11.02.*

<sup>3</sup> Fisher Scientific No. 14-141-S has been satisfactory for this purpose, or equivalent, should be used.

7.2 *Spectrophotometer*<sup>4</sup>, for measurement above 650 nm with 4-cm light path cells. A longer light path will yield a corresponding higher sensitivity (see 12.5.1). Spectrophotometer practices prescribed in this test method shall conform to Practice E 275.

7.3 *Bottle Top Liquid Dispenser*<sup>5</sup>, 20-mL capacity, <1 % accuracy, and <0.1 % precision.

7.4 *Pipetter*, automated<sup>6</sup>, 10-mL capacity with 0.2 to 0.5 % accuracy.

7.5 *Glass Bottles*<sup>7</sup>, 60 mL and 240 mL with Teflon<sup>®</sup><sup>8</sup> lined screw cap closure.

## 8. Reagents and Materials

8.1 *Purity of Reagents*—Reagent grade chemicals shall be used in all tests. Unless otherwise indicated, it is intended that all reagents shall conform to the specifications of the Committee on Analytical Reagents of the American Chemical Society<sup>9</sup>. Other grades may be used, provided it is first ascertained that the reagent is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without lessening the accuracy of the determination.

8.2 *Purity of Water*— Unless otherwise indicated, references to water shall be understood to mean reagent water conforming to Specification D 1193, Type I. Other reagent water types may be used provided it is first ascertained that the water is of sufficiently high purity to permit its use without adversely affecting the precision and bias of the test method. Type III water was specified at the time of round robin testing of this test method.

8.3 *Alcoholic Sulfuric Acid Solution*—Cautiously add 20 mL concentrated H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (sp. gr. 1.89) to 900 mL methyl alcohol and dilute to 1 L with methyl alcohol. It is recommended to dispense the liquid with a bottle top liquid dispenser, which dispenses a 10-mL volume.

8.4 *Ammonium Molybdate Solution*—Dissolve 39.1 g (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>7</sub>O<sub>24</sub> · 4H<sub>2</sub>O in 200 mL water. Cautiously add 210 mL concentrated HCl (sp. gr. 1.19) to 400 mL water. Cool, add molybdate solution, and dilute to 1 L. It is recommended to dispense the liquid with a liquid dispenser, which dispenses a 10-mL volume.

8.5 *Glycerol*—Reagent grade, 99 % or greater.

8.6 *Hydrochloric Acid (6N)*—Add 500 mL of concentrated HCl (sp. gr. 1.19) to 500 mL of water.

8.7 *Methyl Alcohol*— Reagent grade, 99 % or greater.

8.8 *Methyl Isobutyl Ketone/Cyclohexane Solvent*—Mix equal volumes of methyl isobutyl ketone (MIBK) and cyclohexane.

NOTE 1—**Warning:** This solvent is highly flammable.

It is recommended to dispense the liquid with a bottle top liquid dispenser, which dispenses a 20-mL volume.

8.9 *Phosphate Solution*, standard (1.00 mL = 0.05 mg PO<sub>4</sub>). Dissolve 71.6 mg anhydrous KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> in water and dilute to 1 L.

8.10 *Phosphonate Solution*, (50-mg/L phosphonate)—If the standard addition procedure (see 12.6) is to be used, a stock solution of 50 mg/L, as phosphonate, should be prepared. To prepare this solution, a concentrated sample of the phosphonate to be measured along with the wt/wt percent phosphonate concentration must be obtained from the manufacturer. The wt/wt percent phosphonate concentration also can be calibrated by this procedure as described in 12.2 and 12.3.

8.11 *Potassium Persulfate*, K<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>.

8.12 *Sodium Chloride Solution (1.0 M, Synthetic Brine)*—Dissolve 58.44 g NaCl in 800 mL water and dilute to 1 L. This solution is used as a synthetic brine.

8.13 *Sodium Hypochlorite*, (5.65–6 %).

8.14 *Stannous Chloride Solution*—Mix 0.4 g SnCl<sub>2</sub> · 2H<sub>2</sub>O in 100 mL glycerol. This reagent is stable for at least six months. The solution is stored in a dropper bottle.

## 9. Hazards

9.1 *Precautions:*

9.1.1 Most phosphonate inhibitors are strongly adsorbed to glass or metal; therefore, polyethylene beakers, flasks, pipets, etc., should be used to contain and transfer brine solutions from the field.

9.1.2 A glass bottle is recommended for use in the color development steps (see 12.2 and 12.3) for better visualization of the reaction. Since the reaction media is acidic, phosphonate will not adsorb to the glass surface.

*Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 03.06:

<sup>4</sup> Varian DMS-100 has been satisfactory for this purpose, or equivalent, should be used.

<sup>5</sup> Fisher Scientific No. 44-141-S 13-687-21 REPIPET has been satisfactory for this purpose, or equivalent, should be used.

<sup>6</sup> Varian DMS-100

<sup>6</sup> Fisher Scientific No. 21-279-25 Eppendorf Maxipipetter has been satisfactory for this purpose, or equivalent, should be used. purpose.

<sup>7</sup> Fisher Scientific No. 43-687-21 REPIPET has 03-326-3C and 03-326-3G have been satisfactory for this purpose, or equivalent, should be used. purpose.

<sup>8</sup> Fisher Scientific No. 21-279-25 Eppendorf Maxipipetter has been satisfactory for this purpose.

<sup>9</sup> Fisher Scientific No. 03-326-3C and 03-326-3G have been satisfactory

<sup>9</sup> *Reagent Chemicals, American Chemical Society Specifications*, American Chemical Society, Washington, DC. For suggestions on the testing of Reagents not listed by the American Chemical Society, see *Analar Standards for Laboratory Chemicals*, BDH Ltd., Poole, Dorset, U.K., and the *United States Pharmacopeia and National Formulary*, U.S. Pharmaceutical Convention, Inc. (USPC), Rockville, MD.

9.1.3 Personnel performing this test must be familiar with all precautions for handling strong sulfuric acid, hydrochloric acid and sulfide-containing brine. Personnel should consult the material safety data sheet for handling strong acids. Protective clothing and latex gloves should be worn. The sulfide brine should be handled in the hood with good ventilation. Sulfide containing brine can be treated with sodium hypochlorite prior to analysis to oxidize the hydrogen sulfide.

## 10. Sampling

10.1 Collect the sample in accordance with Specification D 1192 or Practices D 3370, as applicable.

10.2 Preserve the samples immediately at the time of collection by adding 4 mL of 6 N hydrochloric acid per 100-mL brine.

## 11. Calibration and Standardization

11.1 Prepare standards by adding 2.0, 4.0, 6.0, 8.0, 10.0 mL each of phosphate standard solution (1.00 mL = 0.05 mg PO<sub>4</sub>) to separate 100-mL volumetric flasks. Dilute to 100 mL with 1 M sodium chloride solution. These solutions will contain 1.0, 2.0, 3.0, 4.0, 5.0 mg/L phosphate as PO<sub>4</sub>. If the procedure in 12.5 is used for samples with low phosphonate concentrations, then solutions containing 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.0 mg/L phosphate as PO<sub>4</sub> should be used.

11.2 Follow the procedure in 12.2 and 12.3 to develop color, and determine the absorbance at 725 nm.

11.3 Prepare a calibration curve showing phosphate ion concentration in mg/L on the X axis with the corresponding absorbance (A) reading of the spectrophotometer on the Y axis of linear graph paper.

## 12. Procedure

12.1 The procedures in 12.2 and 12.3 are applicable to samples containing 0.5 to 5 mg/L phosphonate. For samples containing less than 0.5 mg/L phosphonate, a larger sample volume or a different light path cell can be used (see 12.5).

12.2 *Persulfate Digestion Procedure* :

12.2.1 Pipet 20 mL of the following samples (a, b, c) into separate 60-mL glass bottles, each containing 200 mg of potassium persulfate. Multiple samples can be digested at the same time.

12.2.1.1 Blank, 1-M sodium chloride (see 8.12).

12.2.1.2 Phosphate standards (see 11.1).

12.2.1.3 Samples of acidified brine.

12.2.2 Close the sample bottles loosely with Teflon<sup>®</sup>-lined caps.

12.2.3 Heat the samples for 30 minutes in a pressure cooker or sterilizer at 100–120°C (15–20 psig).

12.2.4 Make sure the samples are cooled to room temperature before proceeding to color development. The temperature of solution is critical in procedure 12.2.3. At this point in the procedure, all of the phosphonate has been oxidized to phosphate.

12.3 Color development and extraction procedure:

12.3.1 The timings specified in procedures 12.3.3, 12.3.4, and 12.3.7 are critical to the test. It is recommended to run small numbers of samples at a time in order to manage the timing.

12.3.2 Standard addition method (see 12.6) should be used for data quality control.

12.3.3 Add 20 mL MIBK/Cyclohexane solvent and 10 mL ammonium molybdate solution to the sample bottles, and immediately, vigorously shake each bottle for 15 s. At this point, the clear, and electrically-neutral phosphomolybdate complex has been formed and extracted into the organic solvent phase.

12.3.4 Wait exactly five minutes to allow the aqueous and organic solvent phases to be separated, and withdraw 10.0 mL of liquid from the organic solvent layer into a clean 60-mL glass bottle using an automatic pipetter. Care should be taken not to disturb the solvent/water interface or accidentally withdraw some aqueous solution, since the excess molybdenum in the aqueous phase can also be reduced by stannous chloride to form a deep blue color.

12.3.5 Add 10 mL alcoholic H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution to the samples, and swirl to mix.

12.3.6 Add four drops stannous chloride solution to each sample, and mix thoroughly.

12.3.7 After 10 minutes, but before 20 minutes, pour each sample into a 4-cm cell and read the absorbance against the blank at 725 nm. Absorbance readings also can be taken at 650 or 700 nm, but with reduced sensitivity. Use the sample blank as reference solution in measuring the sample.

12.3.8 Read the total phosphate concentration (  $C_{T-PO_4}$  ) from a calibration curve prepared by analyzing known phosphate standards, as described in Section 11.

12.4 *Procedure for Analyzing Orthophosphate Concentration in the Brine:*

12.4.1 Pipet 20 mL of the acidified brine sample to a separate 60-mL glass bottle.

12.4.2 Follow the procedure in 12.3.3-12.3.7 to develop phosphomolybdate complex and to extract the complex to the organic liquid phase.

12.4.3 Read the orthophosphate concentration (  $C_{F-PO_4}$  ) from a calibration curve prepared in Section 11.

12.5 Procedure for brines containing phosphonate concentrations outside the range(s) specified.

12.5.1 The above concentration range is specified for using a 4-cm light path cell. Longer light path cells are suitable for analyzing phosphonate at low concentrations (see the following):

Approximate Phosphonate Range (mg/L)

Light Path (cm)

12.5.2 Alternatively, the sample size can be adjusted to analyze brines containing low phosphonate concentration other than that specified in 12.1. An example of 100-mL sample size is given below.

12.5.2.1 Pipet 100 mL instead of 20 mL into a 240-mL bottle. The organic solvent phase in the 240-mL bottle will be a thin layer. Care should be taken not to disturb the solvent/water interface or accidentally withdraw aqueous solution when removing the phosphomolybdate complex from the organic solvent phase.

12.5.2.2 Add 1 g potassium persulfate to the sample bottle.

12.5.2.3 Follow 12.2.2-12.3.8 to analyze for phosphate ion.

12.6 *Standard Additions Procedure* :

12.6.1 This procedure is recommended to determine the concentration of phosphonate in brine containing interfering components.

12.6.2 Prepare a blank and three samples, as in 12.2.1. Add 100  $\mu\text{L}$  of 50 mg/L phosphonate standard solution to one of the sample bottles. Add 200  $\mu\text{L}$  of 50 mg/L phosphonate Standard solution to a second sample bottle.

12.6.3 Complete the procedures in 12.2.2-12.3.7 to digest phosphonate and to analyze for phosphate ion concentration.

12.6.4 Plot the absorbance versus concentration of added phosphonate. Draw a straight line through these three data points. Extend this line to intersect the X axis at a negative value of phosphonate concentration. The absolute value of this intersection is the concentration of phosphonate in the sample of interest.

### 13. Calculation

13.1 Calculate the phosphonate concentration in the sample (as mg/L  $\text{PO}_4$ ) as follows:

$$\text{mg/L } \text{PO}_4 = [(C_T - \text{PO}_4) - (C_F - \text{PO}_4)] \left[ \frac{\text{Volume of Standard}}{\text{Volume of Sample}} \right] \text{ (Field Dilution )} \quad (1)$$

where:

$C_{T-\text{PO}_4}$  = Concentration of total phosphate (mg/L) read from calibration curve (see 12.3.8);

$C_{F-\text{PO}_4}$  = Concentration of orthophosphate (mg/L) read from calibration curve (see 12.4.3);

Volume of Standard = Volume (mL) of standard used (see 12.2.1); and,

Volume of Sample = Volume (mL) of sample used (see 12.2.1, 12.5.2.1).

13.1.1 See 10.2 and as follows:

$$\text{Field Dilution} = \left( \frac{\text{Field Sample Volume(mL)} + \text{Acid Volume(mL)}}{\text{Field Sample Volume(mL)}} \right) \quad (2)$$

13.2 Use the following conversion factor to convert the mg/L  $\text{PO}_4$ , in Eq 2, to phosphonate:

$$\text{mg/L Phosphonate} = \frac{\text{mg/L } \text{PO}_4}{95 \text{ g/mol}} \times \frac{\text{Molecular Wt. of Phosphonate}}{\text{No. of phosphorus atoms phosphonate}} \quad (3)$$

13.2.1 For example, see Table 1.

### 14. Report

14.1 Report mg/L as phosphonate.

14.2 Report to one significant figure.

### 15. Precision and Bias <sup>10</sup>

15.1 An interlaboratory study was conducted that involved eight laboratories analyzing samples at three different concentrations of phosphonate, each in a different brine concentration (see Table 2). The difference in brines was not expected to have any effect

<sup>10</sup> Supporting data is available from ASTM Headquarters. Request RR:D19-1166.

**TABLE 1**

Common Names <sup>A</sup>	Formula	Molecular Weight (g/mol)	No. of P-atoms/mole
ATMP, Dequest 2000 <sup>®</sup>	(H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> PCH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> N	299 g/mol	3
DTPMP, Dequest 2060 <sup>®</sup>	{(H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> PCH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> NCH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> } <sub>2</sub> N-CH <sub>2</sub> PO <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub>	573 g/mol	5
HEPP, Dequest 2110 <sup>®</sup>	(H <sub>2</sub> OO <sub>3</sub> P) <sub>2</sub> CCH <sub>3</sub> OH	206 g/mol	2

<sup>A</sup>Dequest<sup>®</sup> is a registered trade name of the Monsanto Company, St. Louis, MO 63167.

**TABLE 2 Composition of Synthetic Brine Samples**

	Brine 1, mg/L	Brine 2, mg/L	Brine 3, mg/L
NaCl	6.1	31	96.33
CaCl <sub>2</sub>	3.9	15	54.47
MgCl <sub>2</sub>	0.064	5	7.71
CaCl <sub>2</sub> · 2H <sub>2</sub> O	5.166	19.87	1696
MgCl <sub>2</sub> · 6H <sub>2</sub> O	0.166	10.674	386.3
Na <sub>2</sub> SO <sub>4</sub>	0.0739	0.037	0.0074
TDS, mg/L	10 000	51 000	157 000

on the analytical results for phosphonate, but simulated different typical matrices. Each laboratory analyzed each sample in triplicate to provide a basis for estimating the single-operator standard deviation. It is recognized that the design of this study does not meet the requirements of D 2777, but it is believed that the following statistical results are adequate to give the user’s legitimate estimates of the precision and bias of the test method and for use as a basis for establishing generic quality control criteria to be used in the test method.

15.2 Results from the interlaboratory study are given in Table 3. The outliers in Table 3 are underlined. Outliers were determined when a mean of replicates from a laboratory failed the *T*-test (see D 2777) among related means or when an individual result failed the *T* test among related results. Statistical details are listed in Tables X1.1-X1.5 in the Appendix. Table 4 is a statistical data summary table of the interlaboratory study. The following statistical estimates were estimated from the retained data:

15.3 *Precision Estimates*—The overall and single operator precision for this test within the designed range is expressed as the following:

$$S_T = 0.0863 * X + 0.0277 \quad (4)$$

The correlation coefficient for this equation is 0.97 (*r*<sup>2</sup>).

$$S_O = 0.0303 * X + 0.0129 \quad (5)$$

The correlation coefficient for this equation is 0.95 (*r*<sup>2</sup>).

where:

*S<sub>T</sub>* ≡ overall precision;

*S<sub>O</sub>* ≡ single-operator precision; and,

*X* ≡ true concentration of the phosphonate, mg/L.

15.4 *Bias Estimates*— The bias of the test method determined from the recoveries of known amounts of phosphonate ion in the synthetic brines is shown in Table 4.

15.5 Fig. 1 is a plot of the true concentration of PNA, mg/L versus mean concentration (outliers removed) of PNA, mg/L reported from the interlaboratory study. The unweighted least squares regression equation developed (Fig. 1) for mean concentration (*XBAR*) is as follows:

$$XBAR = 0.8848 * X - 0.038 \quad (6)$$

The correlation coefficient for this equation is 0.0.96 (*r*<sup>2</sup>).

where:

*XBAR* ≡ mean concentration of PNA reported (outliers removed), mg/L; and,

*X* ≡ true concentration of PNA, mg/L.

15.6 These collaborative test data were obtained on synthetic brine waters. For other matrices, these data may not apply. It is the user’s responsibility to ensure the validity of this test method for waters of untested matrices.

15.7 Precision and bias for this test method conforms to Practice D 2777–77, which was in place at the time of collaborative testing. Under the allowances made in 1.4 of D 2777–98, these precision and bias data do meet existing requirements for


**TABLE 3 Results of Interlaboratory Study**

Brine Matrix True Concentration, PNA, mg/L	1 <sup>A</sup>			2 <sup>A</sup>			3 <sup>A</sup>		
	0.5	0.8	3	0.5	0.8	3	0.5	0.8	3
Laboratory	Reported Results mg/L								
A	0.35	0.84	1.34 <sup>A</sup>	0.3	0.84	0.99	0.39	0.89	1.12 <sup>A</sup>
B	0.24	0.83	2.58	0.28	0.83	2.6	0.18	0.86	2.56
C	0.3	0.9	2.8	0.3	0.9	2.8	0.3	0.9	2.7
D	0.2	0.7	3	0.1	0.7	3	0.1	0.7	3
E	0.33	0.88	2.46	0.3	0.76	2.13	0.35	0.84	2.55
G	0.36	0.95	2.71	0.37	0.95	2.7	0.37	0.94	2.71
H	0.19	0.48 <sup>A</sup>	2.06	0.17	0.5	2.05	0.17	0.48 <sup>A</sup>	2.1
I	0.3	0.83	2.7	0.35	0.85	2.5	0.39	0.83	2.8

<sup>A</sup>These results are outliers.

**TABLE 4 Statistical Summary**

True Concentration	Retained Values	Mean	Std. Dev. Single Operator	Std. Dev. (Overall)	% Bias
0.5	24	0.28	0.037	0.089	-44.2
0.8	21	0.84	0.027	0.076	5.5
3.0	21	2.60	0.105	0.289	-13.3



**FIG. 1 True Concentration vs. Mean Concentration**

interlaboratory studies of Committee D19 test methods.

**16. Quality Control**

156.1 The concentration specified in the following quality control is for the procedure in 12.1-12.4. If low range procedure is used (see 12.5), reduce the specified concentration by a factor of 3.3.

156.2 In order to be certain that analytical values obtained from using this test method are valid and accurate within the confidence limits of the test, the following quality control procedures must be followed when performing the test:

*156.2.1 Analyst Performance Check:*

156.2.1.1 If the analyst has not performed the test before or if there has been a major change in the measurement system, for example, new analyst, new instrument, etc., a precision and bias study must be performed to demonstrate the laboratory capability. Analyze seven replicates of a standard solution, Initial Demonstration of Performance (IDP) solution, prepared from a reference material (the matrix and chemistry of the solution should be equivalent to the solutions used in the collaborative study) containing 2 mg/L of phosphonate (PNA) for the procedure described in 12.1-12.4. If the low-range procedure, described in 12.5, is used, the reference material should contain 0.6 mg/L PNA. Each replicate must be taken through the complete analytical test method including any sample preservation steps. Calculate the mean and standard deviation of these values as described in Terminology D 4375. The criteria for evaluating the mean of seven replicates is listed as follows:

Phosphonate, mg/L	Mean(interval), mg/L
2.0	1.2 to 2.3
0.6	0.3 to 0.6

The mean and standard deviation of the seven values should be calculated and compared, according to Practice D 5847, to the single operator precision established for this test method, as detailed below:

Analyte	IDP Solution Concentration	Method $S_o$	Acceptable IDP Precision, $n = 7$
Phosphonate	2 mg/L	0.0735	$\leq 0.15$ mg/L
Phosphonate	0.6 mg/L	0.01472 mg/L	$\leq 0.07$ mg/L

**156.3 Calibration Verification:**

156.3.1 When using this test method, an Instrumentation Verification Standard (IVS) should be used to verify the calibration standard and acceptable instrument performance. Analyze at least duplicate IVS containing 2 mg/L PNA prior to the analysis of samples to check the instrument. If low range procedure is used, see 12.5, then at least duplicate IVS should contain 0.6 mg/L PNA. If the determined IVS concentrations are not within  $\pm 15\%$  of known values, the analyst should reanalyze the IVS. Anomalies must be investigated and corrected prior to analysis.

156.3.2 Analyze a test method blank each time the test is run. Use reagent water in place of a sample and analyzed as described in 12.1-12.4. The mean value found for this reagent blank must be below 0.05 mg/L.

156.3.3 To insure that the test method is in control, analyze a Quality Control Sample (QCS) containing 2 mg/L PNA for procedure in sections 12.1-12.4 and 0.6 mg/L PNA for low range procedure in section 12.5 at the beginning and end of the analytical run or every 20 samples or at least once a quarter. The QCS must be taken through all the steps of the procedure including sample preservation and preparation. The value obtained for the QCS should be in the range of 2.33 to 1.13 for samples at 2 mg/L PNA and 0.73 to 0.25 for samples at 0.6 mg/L PNA. The analyte source used to prepare the QCS must be completely independent of the analyte source used to prepare routine calibration standards.

156.3.4 To check for interferences in the specific matrix being tested, perform a recovery spike on a least one sample from each set of samples being analyzed by spiking a portion of a sample selected randomly from the set with a known concentration of phosphonate and taking it through the complete procedure, the spike concentration plus the background concentration of phosphonate must be between 2 and 5 mg/L for procedure in 12.1-12.4, and between 0.1 mg/L and 2.0 mg/L PNA for low range procedure, see section 12.5. However, the measured background concentration of phosphonate in the selected sample must not be greater than the spiked addition to the total sample concentration, see Guide D 5810. Calculate percent recovery of the spike ( $P$ ) using the following formula:

$$P = 100 [A(V_s + V) - BV_s]/CV \quad (7)$$

where:

- $A$  = Concentration found in spiked sample;
- $B$  = Concentration found in unspiked sample;
- $C$  = Concentration of phosphonate in spiking solution;
- $V_s$  = Volume of sample used; and,
- $V$  = Volume added with spike.

156.3.4.1 The percent recovery of the spike should fall within the calculated acceptable recovery limits, see Guide D 5810 and Practice D 5847. If it does not, an interference may be present and data for the set of samples must be qualified with a warning that the data are suspect or an alternate test method should be used to reanalyze the set.

156.3.5 To check the precision of the sample analysis, analyze a sample in duplicate each day, each batch or shift the test is run. When large numbers of samples are being analyzed, analyze one out of every twenty samples in duplicate. Calculate the standard deviation of these replicate values and compare to the single operator precision found in the collaborative study using an  $F$ -Test. Refer to Guide D 3856 and Practice D 5847 for information on applying the  $F$ -Test. Alternatively, accumulate data from duplicate analyses and develop a relationship between single operator precision and concentration within the laboratory. Refer to Guide D 3856 for information on determining the acceptability of accumulated data.

## 16. Precision and Bias

16.1 An interlaboratory study was conducted that involved eight laboratories analyzing samples at three different concentrations of phosphonate, each in a different brine concentration (see Table 2). The difference in brines was not expected to have any effect on the analytical results for phosphonate, but simulated different typical matrices. Each laboratory analyzed each sample in triplicate to provide a basis for estimating the single-operator standard deviation. It is recognized that the design of this study does not meet the requirements of D 2777, but it is believed that the following statistical results are adequate to give the user's legitimate estimates of the precision and bias of the test method and for use as a basis for establishing generic quality control criteria to be used in the test method.

16.2 Results from the interlaboratory study are given in Table 3. The outliers in Table 3 are underlined. Outliers were determined when a mean of replicates from a laboratory failed the  $T$ -test (see D 2777) among related means or when an individual result failed the  $T$  test among related results. Statistical details are listed in Tables X1.1-X1.5 in the Appendix. Table 4 is a statistical data summary table of the interlaboratory study. The following statistical estimates were estimated from the retained data:

16.3 *Precision Estimates*—The overall and single operator precision for this test within the designed range is expressed as the following:

$$S_T = 0.0863 * X + 0.0277 \quad (5)$$

The correlation coefficient for this equation is 0.97 ( $r^2$ ).

$$S_O = 0.0303 * X + 0.0129 \quad (6)$$

The correlation coefficient for this equation is 0.95 ( $r^2$ ).



where:

$S_T$  = overall precision;

$S_O$  = single-operator precision; and,

$X$  = true concentration of the phosphonate, mg/L.

16.4 *Bias Estimates*—The bias of the test method determined from the recoveries of known amounts of phosphonate ion in the synthetic brines is shown in Table 4.

16.5 Fig. 1 is a plot of the true concentration of PNA, mg/L versus mean concentration (outliers removed) of PNA, mg/L reported from the interlaboratory study. The unweighted least squares regression equation developed (Fig. 1) for mean concentration (XBAR) is as follows:

$$\text{XBAR} = 0.8848 * X - 0.038 \quad (7)$$

The correlation coefficient for this equation is 0.0.96 ( $r^2$ ).

where:

XBAR = mean concentration of PNA reported (outliers removed), mg/L; and,

$X$  = true concentration of PNA, mg/L.

16.6 These collaborative test data were obtained on synthetic brine waters. For other matrices, these data may not apply. It is the user's responsibility to ensure the validity of this test method for waters of untested matrices.

## 17. Keywords

17.1 analysis; brine; colorimetric; phosphonate; scale inhibitor

## APPENDIX

(Nonmandatory Information)

### X1. STATISTICAL DETAILS AND ANALYSIS OF VARIANCE OF THE RESULTS FROM THE INTERLABORATORY STUDY

#### X1.1 Tables X1.1 through X1.5

X1.1.1 See Tables X1.1-X1.5 for

#### SUMMARY OF CHANGES

Committee D19 has identified the location of selected changes to this standard since the last issue (D 6501-99) that may impact the use of this standard.

(1) Section 8.2 was modified to use Type 1 water.

(2) Sections 15 Quality Control and 16 Precision and Bias were changed to Section 15 Precision and Bias and 16 Quality Control. Section 15.7 was added to the Precision and Bias.

**TABLE X1.1 Statistical Details and Analysis of Variance for the 0.5 mg/L Samples**

Laboratory	Brine			Lab Sum	Lab Mean	Lab Std	Outlier Mean ? =
	1	2	3				
A	0.35	0.3	0.39	1.04	0.3467	0.0451	Xbar mean = 0.279167
B	0.24	0.28	0.18	0.7	0.2333	0.0503	S mean = 0.0876637
C	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.9	0.3000	0.0000	
D	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.1333	0.0577	Xbar mean – 0.1333/S mean = 1.66 < 2.1
E	0.33	0.3	0.35	0.98	0.3267	0.0252	No
G	0.36	0.37	0.37	1.1	0.3667	0.0058	
H	0.19	0.17	0.17	0.53	0.1767	0.0115	Outlier single value ? =
I	0.3	0.36	0.39	1.05	0.3500	0.0458	Xbar – 0.1/S = 2.009 < 2.80
Brine sum	2.27	2.18	2.25	6.7			
Brine mean	0.28375	0.2725	0.28125				No
Brine std	0.066103274	0.09239	0.1147				
Mean	0.279166667			So	0.0367		
Std	0.089195275						

Conclusion: All values are within the 95 % upper and lower confidence limits.

Anova Table Source of Variance	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Sum Squares	Mean Squares
Labs	0.161383333	7	0.02305	15.3394
Brine	0.000558333	2	0.00028	0.18574
Error	0.021041667	14	0.0015	
Total	0.182983333			
<i>F</i> (lab)	15.33940594			
<i>F</i> (brine)	0.185742574			
Critical <i>F</i> (lab)		2.7642		
Critical <i>F</i> (brine)		3.73889		

Conclusion: There are no differences for the results between the brines at the 95 % CL.

Conclusion: There are differences for the results between the laboratories at the 95 % CL.

**Anova: Two-Factor Without Replication**

Summary	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Row 1	3	1.04	0.34667	0.00203
Row 2	3	0.7	0.23333	0.00253
Row 3	3	0.9	0.3	2.8E-17
Row 4	3	0.4	0.13333	0.00333
Row 5	3	0.98	0.32667	0.00063
Row 6	3	1.1	0.36667	3.3E-05
Row 7	3	0.53	0.17667	0.00013
Row 8	3	1.05	0.35	0.0021
Column 1	8	2.27	0.28375	0.00437
Column 2	8	2.18	0.2725	0.00854
Column 3	8	2.25	0.28125	0.01316

**Anova**

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i> -Value	<i>F</i> crit
Rows	0.16138	7	0.02305	15.3394	1.4E-05	2.7642
Columns	0.00056	2	0.00028	0.18574	0.8325	3.73889
Error	0.02104	14	0.0015			
Total	0.18298	23				

**TABLE X1.2 Statistical Details and Analysis of Variance Results 0.8 mg/L Samples**

Laboratory	Brines			Lab Sum	Lab Mean	Lab Std	Outlier mean test ? =
	1	2	3				
A	0.84	0.84	0.89	2.57	0.85667	0.02887	Xbar = 0.7991337
B	0.83	0.83	0.86	2.52	0.84	0.01732	S mean = 0.1446918
C	0.9	0.9	0.9	2.7	0.9	0	
D	0.7	0.7	0.7	2.1	0.7	1.1E-08	Xbar – 0.486667/S mean = 2.160 > 2.13
E	0.88	0.76	0.84	2.48	0.82667	0.0611	
G	0.95	0.95	0.94	2.84	0.94667	0.00577	Yes, remove data from Lab h
H	0.48	0.5	0.48	1.46	0.48667	0.01155	
I	0.83	0.85	0.83	2.51	0.83667	0.01155	
Brine sum	6.41	6.33	6.44	19.18			
Brine mean	0.80125	0.79125	0.805				
Brine std	0.14865	0.14066	0.14928				
Mean	0.79917						
Std	0.13987						

Conclusions: All values from laboratory h were identified as outliers (outside of 95 % upper and lower confidence limits).

Anova Table Source of Variance	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Squares
Labs	0.43965	7	0.06281
Brine	0.00081	2	0.0004
Error	0.00952	14	0.00068
Total	0.44998		
<i>F</i> (lab)	92.315		
<i>F</i> (brine)	0.59405		
Critical <i>F</i> (lab)		92.315	
Critical <i>F</i> (brine)		0.59405	

Conclusion: There are no significant differences between results in different brines at the 95 % confidence level.

Conclusion: There are significant differences between results from different laboratories at the 95 % confidence level.

**Anova: Two-Factor Without Replication**

Summary	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Row 1	3	2.57	0.85667	0.00083
Row 2	3	2.52	0.84	0.0003
Row 3	3	2.7	0.9	0
Row 4	3	2.1	0.7	1.1E-16
Row 5	3	2.48	0.82667	0.00373
Row 6	3	2.84	0.94667	3.3E-05
Row 7	3	1.46	0.48667	0.00013
Row 8	3	2.51	0.83667	0.00013
Column 1	8	6.41	0.80125	0.0221
Column 2	8	6.33	0.79125	0.01978
Column 3	8	6.44	0.805	0.02229

**Anova**

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	F	P-Value	F crit
Rows	0.43965	7	0.06281	92.315	1.2E-10	2.7642
Columns	0.00081	2	0.0004	0.59405	0.56542	3.73889
Error	0.00952	14	0.00068			
Total	0.44998	23				

**TABLE X1.3 Statistical Details and Analysis of Variance for 3 mg/L Samples**

Laboratory	Brines			Lab Sum	Lab Mean	Lab Std	Outlier mean test ? =
	1	2	3				
A	1.34	0.99	1.12	3.45	1.15	0.176918	Xbar = 2.415000 S mean = 0.5808860
B	2.58	2.6	2.56	7.74	2.58	0.02	
C	2.8	2.8	2.7	8.3	2.766667	0.057735	Xbar – 1.15/Smean = 2.178 > 2.13
D	3	3	3	9	3	0	
E	2.46	2.13	2.55	7.14	2.38	0.221133	Yes, remove data from Lab a
G	2.71	2.7	2.71	8.12	2.706667	0.005774	
H	2.06	2.05	2.1	6.21	2.07	0.026458	
I	2.7	2.5	2.8	8	2.666667	0.152753	
Brine sum	19.65	18.77	19.54	57.96			
Brine mean	2.45625	2.34625	2.4425				
Brine std	0.528608	0.634754	0.593795				
Mean	2.415						
Std	0.563452						

Conclusions: All values from laboratory a were identified as outliers (outside of 95 % upper and lower confidence limits and *T* value, extreme mean tested, that exceeds the critical value (see Practice D 2777–98).

Anova Table Source of Variance	Sum Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Squares	
Labs	7.086	7	1.012286	1.55E-10
Brine	0.057475	2	0.028737	0.114685
Error	0.158525	14	0.011323	
Total	7.302	23		
<i>F</i> (lab)	89.39915			
<i>F</i> (brine)	2.537928			
Critical <i>F</i> (lab)	2.764196			
Critical <i>F</i> (brine)	3.73889			

Conclusion: There are no significant differences between results with different brines at the 95 % confidence level.

Conclusion: There are significant differences between results from different laboratories at the 95 % confidence level.

Anova: Two-Factor Without Replication

Summary	Count	Sum	Average	Variance
Row 1	3	3.45	1.15	0.0313
Row 2	3	7.74	2.58	0.0004
Row 3	3	8.3	2.766667	0.003333
Row 4	3	9	3	0
Row 5	3	7.14	2.38	0.0489
Row 6	3	8.12	2.706667	3.33E-05
Row 7	3	6.21	2.07	0.0007
Row 8	3	8	2.666667	0.023333
Column 1	8	19.65	2.45625	0.279427
Column 2	8	18.77	2.34625	0.402913
Column 3	8	19.54	2.4425	0.352593

Anova

Source of Variation	SS	df	MS	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i> -Value	<i>F</i> crit
Rows	7.086	7	1.012286	89.39915	1.55E-10	2.764196
Columns	0.057475	2	0.028737	2.537928	0.114685	3.73889
Error	0.158525	14	0.011323			
Total	7.302	23				

**TABLE X1.4 Statistical Details for the 0.8-mg/L Samples After the Removal of Outliers**

NOTE 1—These are the values at 0.8 mg/L after the removal of the outliers.

Laboratory	Brines			Lab Sum	Lab Mean	Lab Std	Outlier Single Value ? =
	1	2	3				
A	0.84	0.84	0.89	2.57	0.8567	0.0289	
B	0.83	0.83	0.86	2.52	0.8400	0.0173	Xbar – 0.7/S= 1.90 < 2.73
C	0.9	0.9	0.9	2.7	0.9000	0.0000	
D	0.7	0.7	0.7	2.1	0.7000	0.0000	No
E	0.88	0.76	0.84	2.48	0.8267	0.0611	
G	0.95	0.95	0.94	2.84	0.9467	0.0058	
I	0.83	0.85	0.83	2.51	0.8367	0.0115	
Brine sum	5.93	5.83	5.96	17.72			
Brine mean	0.8471	0.8329	0.8514				
Brine std	0.0783	0.0832	0.0767				
Mean	0.8438			So		0.0268	
Std	0.0758						

**TABLE X1.5 Statistical Details for the 3 mg/L Samples After the Removal of Outliers**

NOTE 1—Data for 3 mg/L after outliers have been removed.

Laboratory	Brines			Lab Sum	Lab Mean	Lab Std	Outlier Single Value ? =
	1	2	3				
B	2.58	2.6	2.56	7.74	2.5800	0.0200	Xbar – 2.05/S= 1.89 < 2.73
C	2.8	2.8	2.7	8.3	2.7667	0.0577	
D	3	3	3	9	3.0000	0.0000	No
E	2.46	2.13	2.55	7.14	2.3800	0.2211	
G	2.71	2.7	2.71	8.12	2.7067	0.0058	
H	2.06	2.05	2.1	6.21	2.0700	0.0265	
I	2.7	2.5	2.8	8	2.6667	0.1528	
Brine sum	18.31	17.78	18.42	54.51			
Brine mean	2.6157	2.5400	2.6314				
Brine std	0.2978	0.3460	0.2797				
Mean	2.5957			So		0.1047	
Std	0.2889						

ASTM International takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM International Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, at the address shown below.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM International, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, PO Box C700, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (www.astm.org).