

Standard Test Method for Measuring Angular Displacement of Multiple Images in Transparent Parts¹

This standard is issued under the fixed designation F 1165; the number immediately following the designation indicates the year of original adoption or, in the case of revision, the year of last revision. A number in parentheses indicates the year of last reapproval. A superscript epsilon (ϵ) indicates an editorial change since the last revision or reapproval.

1. Scope

1.1 This test method covers measuring the angular separation of secondary images from their respective primary images as viewed from the design eye position of an aircraft transparency. Angular separation is measured at 49 points within a 20 by 20° field of view. This procedure may be performed on any aircraft transparency in a laboratory or in the field. However, the procedure is limited to a dark environment. Laboratory measurements are done in a darkened room and field measurements are done at night.

1.2 *This standard may involve hazardous materials, operations, and equipment. This standard does not purport to address all of the safety concerns, associated with its use. It is the responsibility of the user of this standard to establish appropriate safety and health practices and determine the applicability of regulatory limitations prior to use.*

1.3 The values stated in acceptable metric units are to be regarded as the standard. The values in parentheses are for information only.

2. Referenced Documents

2.1 ASTM Standards:

E 177 Practice for Use of the Terms Precision and Bias in ASTM Test Methods²

E 691 Practice for Conducting an Interlaboratory Study to Determine the Precision of a Test Method²

3. Terminology (see Fig. 1)

3.1 *primary image*—the image formed by the rays transmitted through the transparency without being reflected (solid lines).

3.2 *secondary image*—the image resulting from internal reflections of light rays at the surfaces of the transparency (dashed lines).

3.3 *angular displacement*—the apparent angular separation of the secondary image from the primary image as measured from the design eye position (θ).

3.4 *installed angle*—the part attitude as installed in the

¹ This test method is under the jurisdiction of ASTM Committee F-7 on Aerospace and Aircraft and is the direct responsibility of Subcommittee F07.08 on Transparent Enclosures and Materials.

Current edition approved April 10, 1998. Published July 1998. Originally published as F 1165 – 88. Last previous edition F 1165 – 88.

² *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*, Vol 14.02.

FIG. 1

aircraft; the angle between the surface of the windscreen and the pilot's 0° azimuth, 0° elevation line of sight.

4. Summary of Test Method

4.1 The procedure for determining the angular displacement of secondary images entails photographing a light array of known size and distance from the transparency. The photograph is then used to make linear measurements of the image separation, which can be converted to angular separation using a scale factor based on the known geometry.

5. Significance and Use

5.1 With the advent of thick, highly angled aircraft transparencies, multiple imaging has been more frequently cited as an optical problem by pilots. Secondary images (of outside lights), often varying in intensity and displacement across the windscreen, can give the pilot deceptive optical cues of his altitude, velocity, and approach angle, increasing his visual workload. Current specifications for multiple imaging in transparencies are vague and not quantitative. Typical specifications state "multiple imaging shall not be objectionable."

5.2 The angular separation of the secondary and primary images has been shown to relate to the pilot's acceptability of the windscreen. This procedure provides a way to quantify angular separation so a more objective evaluation of the transparency can be made. It may be used for research of multiple imaging, quantifying aircrew complaints, or as the basis for windscreen specifications.

5.3 It should be noted that the basic multiple imaging characteristics of a windscreen are determined early in the design phase and are virtually impossible to change after the windscreen has been manufactured. In fact, a perfectly manufactured windscreen has some multiple imaging. For a particular windscreen, caution should be taken in the selection of specification criteria for multiple imaging, as the inherent

FIG. 2

multiple imaging characteristics may vary significantly depending upon windscreen thickness, material, or installation angle. Any tolerances that might be established should allow for inherent multiple imaging characteristics.

6. Apparatus

6.1 *Light Array*—The light array is a 7 by 7 matrix of small incandescent lights (flashlight bulbs) mounted on a metal frame. The separation of the lights is 406.4 mm (16 in.) on center making the overall dimensions of the array 2.44 by 2.44 m (8 by 8 ft). A suitable power supply, such as a rechargeable 12-V d-c gel cell, is also required. A backdrop of nonreflective material (such as black velvet) should be placed several inches behind the array to block out background lights and prevent reflections.

6.2 *Camera/film*—No special camera or modification is needed for this process. The lens should have a focal length of about 50 mm or as is necessary to permit the light array to fill most of the field of view of the camera. The film should be black and white.³

7. Test Specimen

7.1 Position the part to be measured in the installed angle (or installed in the aircraft for a field measurement) such that the camera is located in the pilot's design eye position. No special conditioning other than cleaning is required.

8. Procedure

8.1 The procedure for taking the multiple image photograph should be performed in a darkened room to reduce ambient light that decreases the visibility of the secondary images seen through the transparency. If the procedure is performed in the field at night, turn off nearby lights that affect the visibility of the secondary images.

8.2 Set up the light array so the center light is 7 m (23 ft, $\pm 5\%$) from the design eye position on the line of sight corresponding to 0 azimuth, 0 elevation (Fig. 2). The array should be perpendicular ($\pm 5^\circ$) to the line of sight. For field measurements, it may be necessary to attach the array to a maintenance stand to elevate it to the appropriate height. Care should be taken to ensure that the array is securely attached to the maintenance stand railing and avoid hitting the nose of the aircraft when moving the elevated array. If wind conditions present a hazard, do not attempt to measure.

³ Kodak Tri-X ASA 400 has been found satisfactory, also an equivalent may be used.

8.3 Turn the array board on.

8.4 Place the camera in the design eye position and adjust the camera such that the array is centered in the field of view; focus the lens on the center light of the array.

8.5 Set the camera aperture to f/16 and the shutter speed to an appropriate setting.

8.6 Take the picture(s) and develop 8 by 10 prints or a suitable enlargement.

8.7 On the photograph, measure the distance (L) from the second light to the sixth light on the middle row. To ensure accuracy, use a precision measuring device, such as a digital caliper.

8.8 For each light in the 8 by 10 print, measure the linear separation (r) of the secondary image from the primary image using the calipers. Measure from the center of both spots when taking the measurement.

9. Calculation

9.1 To obtain the scale factor F , which relates the linear distances on the photograph to actual angular distances as measured from the design eye position, use the equation as follows:

$$F = \frac{229.2}{L} \text{ mrad/mm} \quad (1)$$

9.2 Compute the angular separation θ for each light of the array using the equation:

$$\theta = r \times F \quad (2)$$

9.3 Enter the angular separation data into a 7 by 7 table so the rows and columns correspond to the location of lights on the array.

10. Precision and Bias

10.1 *Precision*—An interlaboratory study (ASTM RR-F07 – 1003) was conducted to determine the precision of this standard. Twenty laboratories (people) measured five different multiple image (MI) photographic distances plus one scale factor, ten times each. Tables 1 and 2 and summarize the results.

10.1.1 Since the accuracy of the measurements should not and did not depend upon the size of the measured object, it is logical to take a mean of the six samples to derive the composite precision values indicative of this method.

The composite (mean repeatability (S_r) and reproducibility (S_R) values:

$$\text{mean } S_r = 0.128 \text{ mm and}$$

TABLE 1 Repeatability (S_r) and Reproducibility (S_R) Values in Millimetres

| | Repeatability (S_r) Within Labs ^A | Reproducibility (S_R) Between Labs ^B |
|--------------|--|---|
| Sample 1 | 0.114 | 0.198 |
| Sample 2 | 0.119 | 0.226 |
| Sample 3 | 0.122 | 0.199 |
| Sample 4 | 0.149 | 0.253 |
| Sample 5 | 0.128 | 0.240 |
| Scale factor | 0.133 | 0.261 |
| Mean | 0.128 | 0.230 |

^A S_r ranged from 0.114 to 0.149 mm.

^B S_R ranged from 0.198 to 0.261 mm.

F 1165

TABLE 2 95 % Repeatability (*r*) Limits and 95 % Reproducibility (*R*) Limits in Millimetres

| | 95 % <i>r</i> Limits Within Labs ^A | 95 % <i>R</i> Limits Between Labs ^B |
|--------------|---|--|
| Sample 1 | 0.316 | 0.550 |
| Sample 2 | 0.329 | 0.627 |
| Sample 3 | 0.337 | 0.550 |
| Sample 4 | 0.412 | 0.701 |
| Sample 5 | 0.354 | 0.665 |
| Scale factor | 0.368 | 0.723 |
| Mean | 0.353 | 0.636 |

^A *r* ranged from 0.316 to 0.412 mm.

^B *R* ranged from 0.550 to 0.723 mm.

mean $S_R = 0.230$ mm.

The composite (mean) 95 % limits for repeatability (*r*) and 95 % limits for reproducibility (*R*) values:

mean $r = 0.353$ mm and

mean $R = 0.636$ mm.

NOTE 1—The 95 % limits were calculated using the formulas below. Because the 95 % limits are based on the difference between two test results, the $\sqrt{2}$ factor was incorporated into the calculation (Practice E 177; Section 27.3.3).

$$r = 1.960 * \sqrt{2} * S_r \quad (3)$$

where:

S_r = repeatability standard deviation and

r = 95 % repeatability limit (within laboratories).

$$R = 1.960 * \sqrt{2} * S_R \quad (4)$$

where:

S_R = reproducibility standard deviation and

R = 95 % reproducibility limit (between laboratories).

10.1.2 The final value determined by Test Method F 1165 is angular displacement (in mrads). This final angular value depends upon and is relative to the original photographic geometry and enlargement size; therefore, no precision value in terms of angular displacement can be calculated or expressed. The error in the method is due to people using calipers to make actual physical measurements of separated dots of lights on photographs, not in the calculation of angular displacement.

10.1.3 In summary, the statistical analysis (Practices E 691 and E 177) revealed that the method's mean repeatability (S_r) was 0.128 mm and the mean reproducibility (S_R) was 0.230 mm. The mean 95 % limits for repeatability (*r*) was 0.353 mm and the mean 95 % limits for reproducibility (*R*) was 0.636 mm.

10.2 *Bias*—The procedure in this test method has no bias because the angular separation of the multiple image is defined only in terms of the test method.

11. Keywords

11.1 aircraft transparency; angular displacement; canopy; primary image; secondary image; transparent parts; windscreen

APPENDIXES

(Nonmandatory Information)

X1. DERIVATION OF EQUATIONS

X1.1 The angular separation between the lights of the array can be calculated by dividing the actual distance between adjacent lights (0.406 m) by the distance of the center light from the design eye position (7 m). Take the arctan of the result to get the angle in degrees:

$$A = \arctan(0.406/7) = 3.3^\circ \quad (X1.1)$$

X1.2 Convert the angular separation from degrees to milliradians by multiplying by 17.45 mrads/°.

$$A = 3.3^\circ \times 17.45 \text{ mrads}/^\circ = 57.6 \text{ mrads} \quad (X1.2)$$

NOTE X1.1—If laboratory or field constraints require changing the size of the array or the distance from the array to the design eye position, it is

necessary to recalculate a new value of *A* using Eq X1.1 and X1.2 and substituting in the appropriate values.

X1.3 Compute the average linear separation of lights on the photograph by dividing *L* (the distance from the second to the sixth light of the middle row) by 4 (the number of intervals between these lights).

X1.4 Divide the angular separation of the lights, *A*, by their average linear separation, *L*/4, to obtain the scale factor *F*, in units of mrads/mm.

$$F = \frac{A}{L/4} = \frac{4A}{L} = \frac{229.2}{L} \text{ mrads/mm} \quad (X1.3)$$

F 1165

X2. SELECTION OF ARRAY DISTANCE

X2.1 This procedure was developed to permit the evaluation of multiple image parameters both in the laboratory and in the field. Therefore, the equipment is portable in nature and should accommodate measurements on a variety of aircraft.

X2.2 The selection of 7 m as the distance from the array to the design eye location was made considering several factors:

X2.2.1 The array should clear the nose of large aircraft to permit field measurements of installed transparencies.

X2.2.2 The distance should not be excessively long so that laboratory measurements can be performed in a reasonably sized room.

X2.2.3 Shorter distances decrease the accuracy of the results because of the increased relative effect of lateral displacement.

X2.3 If necessary, the 7-m distance may be changed to meet additional requirements. If this is done, the calculations in Appendix X1 must be repeated using the new distance value.

The American Society for Testing and Materials takes no position respecting the validity of any patent rights asserted in connection with any item mentioned in this standard. Users of this standard are expressly advised that determination of the validity of any such patent rights, and the risk of infringement of such rights, are entirely their own responsibility.

This standard is subject to revision at any time by the responsible technical committee and must be reviewed every five years and if not revised, either reapproved or withdrawn. Your comments are invited either for revision of this standard or for additional standards and should be addressed to ASTM Headquarters. Your comments will receive careful consideration at a meeting of the responsible technical committee, which you may attend. If you feel that your comments have not received a fair hearing you should make your views known to the ASTM Committee on Standards, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428.

This standard is copyrighted by ASTM, 100 Barr Harbor Drive, West Conshohocken, PA 19428-2959, United States. Individual reprints (single or multiple copies) of this standard may be obtained by contacting ASTM at the above address or at 610-832-9585 (phone), 610-832-9555 (fax), or service@astm.org (e-mail); or through the ASTM website (<http://www.astm.org>).